

Duration: 30 minutes

- Write your number and name below.
- Add your answers to this and the following page.
- Please justify all your answers.
- This test has ONE PAGE and TWO QUESTIONS. The total of points is 5.0.

Number: _____ Name: _____

1. Twenty-four vehicles have completed a 74 000 km scheduled run at an automobile company's proving grounds. During the test eight radiator hoses failed (and were replaced) at kilometers: 2 760, 3 700, 7 100, 17 220, 29 500, 48 400, 52 600, 65 000. Assume that the times to failure are i.i.d. exponentially r.v. (1.5)

Compute a 95% confidence interval for the probability that a radiator hose is not replaced during a 24 000 km warranty period.

• **Failure times**

$T_i =$ failure time of a radiator hose $i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{exponential}(\lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ ($n = 20$)
 $\lambda > 0$ (UNKNOWN)

• **Censored data**

Since the test lasted for $t_0 = 74\,000$ hours and none of the $r = 8$ failed radiator hoses was replaced, we are dealing with a Type I/item censored testing with replacement.

• **Total time on test**

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} &\stackrel{\text{Table 5.11}}{=} n t_0 \\ &= 24 \times 74\,000 \\ &= 1\,776\,000 \end{aligned}$$

• **Unknown parameter**

$$P(T > 24\,000) = e^{-24\,000 \times \lambda}$$

• **Confidence interval for λ**

Since we are dealing with a Type I/item censored testing with replacement, we get from Table 5.16

$$\begin{aligned} CI_{(1-\alpha) \times 100\%}(\lambda) &= \left[\frac{F_{\chi_{(2r)}^2}^{-1}(\alpha/2)}{2 \times \tilde{t}}, \frac{F_{\chi_{(2r+2)}^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha/2)}{2 \times \tilde{t}} \right] = [\lambda_L, \lambda_U] \\ CI_{95\%}(e^{-24\,000 \times \lambda}) &= \left[e^{-24\,000 \times \lambda_U}, e^{-24\,000 \times \lambda_L} \right] = \left[e^{-24\,000 \times \frac{F_{\chi_{(2 \times 8 + 2)}^2}^{-1}(1-0.05/2)}{2 \times \tilde{t}}}, e^{-24\,000 \times \frac{F_{\chi_{(2 \times 8)}^2}^{-1}(0.05/2)}{2 \times \tilde{t}}} \right] \\ &= \left[e^{-24\,000 \times \frac{31.53}{2 \times 1\,776\,000}}, e^{-24\,000 \times \frac{6.908}{2 \times 1\,776\,000}} \right] \\ &\approx [0.808123, 0.954397]. \end{aligned}$$

2. An engineer uses a g-chart to monitor the proportion p of incompletely filled low-voltage liquid crystal display units in a high-yield process. The associated control statistic X has c.d.f. $F_p(x) = 1 - (1 - p)^{x+1}$, $x \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where p may shift from its target value $p_0 = 10^{-3}$ to $\rho \times p_0$, with $0 < \rho < 1/p_0$. The adopted control limits are $LCL_\alpha = \frac{\ln(1-\alpha/2)}{\ln(1-p_0)}$ and $UCL_\alpha = \frac{\ln(\alpha/2)}{\ln(1-p_0)}$, where $\alpha = 0.005$ is the acceptable risk of false alarm. (2.0)

Determine and comment on its ARL values when $\rho = 1, 1.1$.

- **Control limits**

$$LCL_\alpha = \frac{\ln(1 - \alpha/2)}{\ln(1 - p_0)} = \frac{\ln(1 - 0.005/2)}{\ln(1 - 0.001)} = 2.501878$$

$$UCL_\alpha = \frac{\ln(\alpha/2)}{\ln(1 - p_0)} = \frac{\ln(0.005/2)}{\ln(1 - 0.001)} \approx 5988.468315$$

- **Probability of a signal and ARL function**

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\alpha(\rho) &= P_{\rho \times p_0}(X \notin [LCL_\alpha, UCL_\alpha]) = 1 - [F_{\rho \times p_0}(UCL_\alpha) - F_{\rho \times p_0}(LCL_\alpha^-)] \\ &= 1 - [F_{\rho \times p_0}(5988.468315) - F_{\rho \times p_0}(2.501878^-)] = 1 - F_{\rho \times p_0}(5988) + F_{\rho \times p_0}(2) \\ &= 1 - [1 - (1 - \rho \times p_0)^{1+5988}] + [1 - (1 - \rho \times p_0)^{1+2}] \\ &= 1 - (1 - \rho \times 0.001)^3 + (1 - \rho \times 0.001)^{5989}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1/p_0 \\ ARL_\alpha(\rho) &= 1/\xi_\alpha(\rho), \quad 0 < \rho < 1/p_0 \end{aligned}$$

- **Requested ARL values and comments**

$$ARL_\alpha(1) = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - 0.001)^3 + (1 - 0.001)^{5989}} \approx 181.961;$$

$$ARL_\alpha(1.1) = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - 1.1 \times 0.001)^3 + (1 - 1.1 \times 0.001)^{5989}} \approx 214.210.$$

Note that for this g-chart:

- $ARL_\alpha(1) = 181.961 < \frac{1}{\alpha} = 200$, i.e., the in-control ARL (does not coincide with and) is smaller than the reciprocal of the *acceptable risk of false alarm* — an undesirable property;
- regrettably $ARL_\alpha(1.1) = 214.210 > ARL_\alpha(1) = 181.961$, i.e., it takes longer, in average, to trigger a valid signal in the presence of a 10% increase in p than to trigger a false alarm — another unwelcome property.

3. The high-voltage output of a certain power supply used in a copy machine is assumed to have a normal distribution with nominal mean and standard deviation equal to $\mu_0 = 350$ and $\sigma_0 = 2.0$ (V dc at 20 milliamps). Samples of $n = 9$ power supply units have been inspected every half-hour. The process mean and standard deviation have increased and the magnitudes of the associated shifts are $\delta = \sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)/\sigma_0 = 0.25$ and $\theta = \sigma/\sigma_0 = \sqrt{23.574603/21.95}$. The out-of-control ARL of the \bar{X} -chart with 3-sigma limits and the upper one-sided S^2 -chart are $ARL_\mu(\delta, \theta) \approx 1/0.004839$ and $ARL_\sigma(\theta) = 1/0.005009$. (1.5)

Compute the probability that the first 10 samples are associated with at least two valid signal triggered by the joint (\bar{X}, S^2) -scheme.

- **Probability of a signal**

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mu, \sigma}(\delta, \theta) &= \xi_\mu(\delta, \theta) + \xi_\sigma(\theta) - \xi_\mu(\delta, \theta) \times \xi_\sigma(\theta) \\ &= ARL_\mu^{-1}(\delta, \theta) + ARL_\sigma^{-1}(\theta) - ARL_\mu^{-1}(\delta, \theta) \times ARL_\sigma^{-1}(\theta) \\ &\approx 0.004839 + 0.005009 - 0.004839 \times 0.005009 \\ &\approx 0.009824. \end{aligned}$$

- **Auxiliary r.v.**

W = number of valid signals triggered by the joint scheme in the first 10 samples

$W \sim \text{binomial}(10, \xi_{\mu, \sigma}(\delta, \theta) \approx 0.009824)$

$$P(W = w) \approx \binom{10}{w} 0.009824^w (1 - 0.009824)^{10-w}, \quad w = 0, 1, \dots, 10$$

- **Requested probability**

$$\begin{aligned} P(W \geq 2) &= 1 - P(W \leq 1) \\ &\approx 1 - (1 - 0.009824)^{10} - 10 \times 0.009824 \times (1 - 0.009824)^{9} \\ &\approx 0.004121. \end{aligned}$$