

Duration: 90 minutes

Test 1 (Recurso)

- Please justify all your answers.
- This test has TWO PAGES and THREE GROUPS. The total of points is 20.0.

Group 0 — Introduction to Stochastic Processes

2.5 points

Let $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a Gaussian process,¹ with mean and autocovariance functions $\mu(t) = 0$ and $\gamma(s, t) = s$ ($s \leq t$), and α a positive real constant.

$\{V(t) = e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} X(e^{\alpha t}) : t \geq 0\}$ is called the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and has been proposed as a model for describing the velocity of a particle immersed in a liquid or gas.

(a) Compute the mean function and the autocovariance function of $\{V(t) : t \geq 0\}$ (1.0)

Auxiliary stochastic process; mean and autocovariance functions

$\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ (Gaussian process)

$$\mu(t) = E[X(t)] = 0$$

$$\gamma(s, t) = cov(X(s), X(t)) = s, \quad s \leq t$$

Stochastic process

$$\{V(t) = e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} X(e^{\alpha t}) : t \geq 0\}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

Mean function

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[V(t)] &= E\left[e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} X(e^{\alpha t})\right] \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} E[X(e^{\alpha t})] \\
 &\stackrel{E[X(e^{\alpha t})]=0}{=} 0, \quad t \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Autocovariance function

Taking advantage of the properties of the covariance operator and on the fact that $cov(X(s), X(s')) = s, s \leq s'$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 cov(V(t), V(t+h)) &= cov\left(e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} X(e^{\alpha t}), e^{-\frac{\alpha(t+h)}{2}} X(e^{\alpha(t+h)})\right) \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t+h)}{2}} cov\left(X(e^{\alpha t}), X(e^{\alpha(t+h)})\right) \\
 &= e^{-\alpha t - \frac{\alpha h}{2}} cov\left(X(e^{\alpha t}), X(e^{\alpha(t+h)})\right) \\
 &\stackrel{h, \alpha > 0}{=} e^{-\alpha t - \frac{\alpha h}{2}} e^{\alpha t} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\alpha h}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show that $\{V(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is not only a second order weakly stationary process, but also a strictly stationary process. (1.5)

Checking whether the process is second order weakly stationary

The mean function $E[V(t)]$ does not depend on t and the autocovariance $cov(V(t), V(t+h))$ only depends on the time lag h , hence $\{V(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a second order weakly stationary process.

Checking whether the process is strictly stationary

Since $X(t) \sim \text{normal}(0, t)$ and $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ has a multivariate normal distribution (for all $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$), we can successively conclude that:

- $V(t) \sim \text{normal}(\mu(t) = 0, \gamma(0, 0) = 1)$;

¹That is, for all t_1, \dots, t_n , each finite-dimensional vector $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ has a multivariate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}_n(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})$ for some mean vector $\underline{\mu}$ and some covariance matrix $\underline{\Sigma}$ which may depend on t_1, \dots, t_n .

- $(V(t_1), \dots, V(t_n))$ has a multivariate normal distribution (for all $t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0$), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= P[V(t_1) \leq v_1, \dots, V(t_n) \leq v_n] \\
 &= F_{\mathcal{N}_n(\underline{\mu}_n, \underline{\Sigma}_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the mean vector equals

$$\underline{\mu}_n = [E[V(t_i)]]_{i=1, \dots, n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\Sigma}_n &= [cov(V(t_i), V(t_j))]_{i, j=1, \dots, n} \\
 &= [\gamma(0, t_j - t_i)]_{i, j=1, \dots, n} \\
 &= \left[e^{-\frac{\alpha(t_j - t_i)}{2}} \right]_{i, j=1, \dots, n};
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\{V(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is also a Gaussian process.

Moreover, the mean vector $\underline{\mu}_n$ is constant over time and the covariance matrix $\underline{\Sigma}_n$ only depends on the time lag, hence $(V(t_1+h), \dots, V(t_n+h))$ also has the same multivariate normal distribution with parameters $\underline{\mu}_n$ and $\underline{\Sigma}_n$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{t_1+h, \dots, t_n+h}(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= F_{\mathcal{N}_n(\underline{\mu}_n, \underline{\Sigma}_n)}(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
 &= F_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(v_1, \dots, v_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\{V(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a strictly stationary process.

[We could have invoked that: as the finite dimensional distributions of a Gaussian process (being multivariate normal) are determined by their means and covariance, it follows that a second order weakly stationary Gaussian process is strictly stationary.]

Group 1 — Poisson Processes

8.5 points

1. Jobs are submitted for execution on a central computer and come from four independent sources. The interarrival times for the jobs submissions from source i are i.i.d. r.v. with exponential distributions with mean μ_i minutes ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), with $\mu_1 = 10, \mu_2 = 15, \mu_3 = 30, \mu_4 = 60$.

Let $N(t)$ be the total number of job submissions from all four sources in the interval $(0, t]$.

(a) Obtain $P\{N(60) = 10, N(120) = 22\}$. (1.5)

Auxiliary stochastic process

It is said that sources are independent and the interarrival times for the jobs submissions from source i are i.i.d. r.v. with an exponential distribution with mean μ_i , hence

$$\{N_i(t) : t \geq 0\} \stackrel{indep.}{\sim} PP(\lambda_i = 1/\mu_i \text{ jobs per minute}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where: $N_i(t)$ = number of job submissions from source i in the interval $(0, t]$; $\lambda_1 = 1/10, \lambda_2 = 1/15, \lambda_3 = 1/30, \lambda_4 = 1/60$.

Stochastic process

$$N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^4 N_i(t) = \text{total number of job submissions in the interval } (0, t]$$

Since we are dealing with the merging of four independent PP,

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim PP(\lambda),$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i = 13/60$ job submissions per minute (or 13 job submissions per hour).

Relevant distribution

$$N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$$

$$P\{N(t) = x\} = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^x}{x!}, \quad x \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Requested probability

[We want to obtain the probability that a total of 10 job submissions occur in the first hour and a total of 22 job submissions occur in the first two hours.] $P\{N(60) = 10, N(120) = 22\} = *$, where

$$\begin{aligned} * &= P\{N(60) = 10, N(120) - N(60) = 22 - 10\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{indep. incr.}}{=} P\{N(60) = 10\} \times P\{N(120) - N(60) = 12\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{station. incr.}}{=} P\{N(60) = 10\} \times P\{N(120 - 60) = 12\} \\ &= P\{N(60) = 10\} \times P\{N(60) = 12\} \\ N(60) \sim \text{Poi}(\frac{13}{30} \times 60 = 13) &\stackrel{!}{=} \frac{e^{-13} \times 13^{10}}{10!} \times \frac{e^{-13} \times 13^{12}}{12!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-26} \times 13^{22}}{10! \times 12!} \\ &\approx 0.009441. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Compute the probability that the second job submission from source 1 occurs before the arrival of the third job submission from source 2. (1.0)

Relevant r.v.

$S_n^{(1)}$ = time of arrival of the n^{th} job submission from source 1

$S_m^{(2)}$ = time of arrival of the m^{th} job submission from source 2

Requested probability

The job submissions from sources 1 and 2 are governed by two independent PP, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P\{S_n^{(1)} < S_m^{(2)}\} &= 1 - F_{\text{binomial}(n+m-1, \lambda_1/(\lambda_1+\lambda_2))}(n-1) \\ n=2, m=3, \lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{10}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{15} \\ &= 1 - F_{\text{binomial}(2+3-1, \frac{1}{10}/(\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{15}))}(2-1) \\ &= 1 - F_{\text{binomial}(4, \frac{3}{5})}(1) \quad [= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{4}{i} 0.6^i (1-0.6)^{4-i}] \\ &= F_{\text{binomial}(4, 1-\frac{3}{5})}(4-1-1) \\ &= F_{\text{binomial}(4, 0.4)}(2) \\ \stackrel{\text{tables}}{=} &0.8208. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Each job submission from source i takes, on average, ξ_i minutes to complete. What is the mean completion time of a typical job submission if $\xi_1 = 3$, $\xi_2 = 4$, $\xi_3 = 6$, $\xi_4 = 8$?² (1.0)

Auxiliary r.v.

Z = source of a typical job submission

$$P(Z = i) \stackrel{\text{hint}}{=} \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{k=1}^4 \lambda_k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4$$

Requested mean

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \xi_i \times P(Z = i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \xi_i \times \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{k=1}^4 \lambda_k} \\ &= \frac{3 \times \frac{1}{10} + 4 \times \frac{1}{15} + 6 \times \frac{1}{30} + 8 \times \frac{1}{60}}{\frac{13}{60}} \\ &= \frac{18 + 16 + 12 + 8}{13} \\ &= \frac{54}{13} \\ &\approx 4.153846. \end{aligned}$$

²Hint: If $Z_n = i$ in case the n^{th} event in $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ comes from the Poisson process $\{N_i(t) : t \geq 0\}$ then $\{Z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. r.v. with common p.f. $P(Z_n = i) = \lambda_i / \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

2. Admit that hormonal secretion bursts occur according to a non-homogeneous Poisson process with intensity function: $\lambda(t) = \lambda$, for $t \in [2i, 2i + 1]$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}_0$); $\lambda(t) = 0$, for $t \in (2i + 1, 2i + 2)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

(a) Derive: the mean value function; and the survival function of the time S_1 of the occurrence of the first hormonal secretion burst. Obtain $P\{S_1 \in (2, 3)\}$, when $\lambda = 1$. (1.5)

Stochastic process

$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim NHPP$

$N(t)$ = number of hormonal secretion bursts until time t

Intensity function

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & t \in [2i, 2i + 1] \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0) \\ 0, & t \in (2i + 1, 2i + 2) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0) \end{cases}$$

Requested mean value function

$$m(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda \times t, & t \in [0, 1] \\ \lambda, & t \in (1, 2) \\ \lambda + \lambda \times (t - 2) = \lambda \times (t - 1), & t \in [2, 3] \\ 2\lambda, & t \in (3, 4) \\ \lambda + \lambda \times (t - 4) = \lambda \times (t - 2), & t \in [4, 5] \\ 3\lambda, & t \in (5, 6) \\ \vdots & \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \lambda \times (t - i), & t \in [2i, 2i + 1] \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0) \\ \lambda \times (i + 1), & t \in (2i + 1, 2i + 2) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0) \end{cases}$$

Relevant r.v.

S_1 = time of the first hormonal secretion burst

Requested survival function

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_n > t) &= 1 - F_{S_n}(t) \\ &\stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} 1 - [1 - F_{\text{Poisson}(m(t))}(n - 1)] \\ &\stackrel{n=1}{=} F_{\text{Poisson}(m(t))}(0) \\ &= e^{-m(t)} \end{aligned}$$

Requested probability

$$\begin{aligned} P\{S_1 \in (2, 3)\} &= P\{S_1 \leq 3\} - P\{S_1 \leq 2\} \\ &= P\{S_1 > 2\} - P\{S_1 > 3\} \\ &= e^{-m(2)} - e^{-m(3)} \\ &= e^{-\lambda \times (2-1)} - e^{-\lambda \times (3-1)} \\ \stackrel{\lambda=1}{=} &e^{-1} - e^{-2} \\ &\approx 0.232544. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose a hormonal secretion burst occurring at time s is registered by an electronic device with probability $p(s)$, independently of everything else. (1.5)

Let $N_R(t)$ be the number of registered hormonal secretion bursts up to time t . Show that $N_R(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\int_0^{+\infty} \lambda(s) \times p(s) ds)$, for any intensity function $\lambda(s)$.

Relevant r.v.

$N_R(t)$ = number of registered hormonal secretion bursts up to time t

Requested proof

$N_R(t)$ results from a non-homogenous Bernoulli splitting of $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim NHPP(\lambda(t))$, thus we call follow closely the proof found in Kulkarni (1995, pp. 220–221).

According to the formulae, since we are dealing with a $NHPP(\lambda(t))$, the event times S_1, \dots, S_2 behave such as the order statistics of the random sample (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) from the population Y with c.d.f. $F_Y(s) = m(s)/m(t)$, $0 \leq s \leq t$. Hence the p.d.f. of Y is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(s) &\stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} \frac{dm(s)/ds}{m(t)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(s)}{m(t)}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume an event is generated in $(0, t)$ according to the distribution of Y . This event will be registered by the electronic device with probability

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &\stackrel{\text{total prob. law}}{=} \int_0^t p(s) \times f_Y(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{m(t)} \int_0^t \lambda(s) \times p(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since the $Y_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Y, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain:

$$N_R(t) | N(t) = n \stackrel{\text{form.}}{\sim} \text{binomial}(n, \alpha(t));$$

$$\begin{aligned} P[N_R(t) = k] &\stackrel{\text{total prob. law}}{=} \sum_{n=k}^{+\infty} P[N_R(t) = k | N(t) = n] \times P[N(t) = n] \\ &= \sum_{n=k}^{+\infty} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} [\alpha(t)]^k [1 - \alpha(t)]^{n-k} \times \frac{e^{-m(t)} [m(t)]^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-m(t)} [\alpha(t) m(t)]^k}{k!} \times \sum_{n=k}^{+\infty} \frac{[1 - \alpha(t)]^n m(t)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-m(t)} [\alpha(t) m(t)]^k}{k!} \times e^{[1 - \alpha(t)] m(t)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\alpha(t) m(t)} [\alpha(t) m(t)]^k}{k!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $N_R(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\int_0^{+\infty} \lambda(s) \times p(s) ds)$. ✓

3. An actuary admits that the number of claims up to time t forms a conditional Poisson process, $(N(t) : t \geq 0)$, with random rate Λ (in claims per month) with a negative binomial distribution with fixed parameters r ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) and p ($p \in (0, 1)$), and p.f. $P(\Lambda = \lambda) = \binom{\lambda-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{\lambda-r}$, for $\lambda = r, r+1, \dots$ ³

Derive a general expression for the following *a posteriori* probability: $P[\Lambda = \lambda | N(t) = 0]$.

Compute this probability when $r = 2, p = 0.1, \lambda = 2, t = 1$.

• **Stochastic process**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim \text{CondPP}(\text{NegativeBin}(r, p))$$

$N(t)$ = number of claims up to time t

• **Random arrival rate**

$$\Lambda \sim \text{NegativeBin}(r, p), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, p \in (0, 1)$$

$$P(\Lambda = \lambda) = \binom{\lambda-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{\lambda-r}, \quad \lambda = r, r+1, \dots$$

$$G(\lambda) = P(\Lambda \leq \lambda)$$

• **Requested general expression and probability**

Since

$$\begin{aligned} P[N(t) = n | \Lambda = \lambda] &= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ P[N(t) = n] &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!} dG(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ P[N(t) = 0] &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dG(\lambda) \\ &= M_\Lambda(-t) \\ &\stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} \left[\frac{p e^{-t}}{1 - (1-p) e^{-t}} \right]^r, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

³An actuary is a person who compiles and analyses statistics and uses them to calculate insurance risks and premiums. In insurance mathematics the conditional PP is often used to model the *accident proneness* of the members of a collective of risks.

$$\begin{aligned} P[\Lambda = \lambda | N(t) = 0] &\stackrel{\text{T. Bayes}}{=} \frac{P[N(t) = 0 | \Lambda = \lambda] \times P(\Lambda = \lambda)}{P[N(t) = 0]} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t} \times \binom{\lambda-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{\lambda-r}}{\left[\frac{p e^{-t}}{1 - (1-p) e^{-t}} \right]^r} \\ &\stackrel{r=2, p=0.1, \lambda=2, t=1}{=} \frac{e^{-2 \times 1} \times \binom{2-1}{2-1} 0.1^2 (1-0.1)^{2-2}}{\left[\frac{0.1 e^{-1}}{1 - (1-0.1) e^{-1}} \right]^2} \\ &\approx 0.447439. \end{aligned}$$

Group 2 — Renewal Processes

9.0 points

1. Suppose a part in a machine is available from two different suppliers, A and B . When the part fails it is replaced by a new one from supplier A (resp. B) with probability 0.3 (resp. 0.7). A part from supplier A (resp. B) lasts for an exponential amount of time with a mean of 8 days (resp. 5 days), and it takes exactly one day (resp. half a day) to install it. Assume that a failure has occurred at time 0^- and $N(t)$ represents the number of failures in the interval $(0, t]$ ⁴.

- (a) Verify that the inter-renewal distribution of $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ has expected value and variance equal to $\mu = 6.55$ and $\sigma^2 = 39.2725$. (2.0)

• **Renewal process**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$$

$N(t)$ = number of failures in the interval $(0, t]$

• **Inter-renewal times**

$$X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X, i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$X \sim \begin{cases} 1 + Y_A, & \text{with probability } p_A \\ 1 + Y_B, & \text{with probability } p_B \end{cases}$$

where: $Y_A \sim \text{exponential}(1/8), E(Y_A) = 8, V(Y_A) = 8^2, p_A = 0.3; Y_B \sim \text{exponential}(1/5), E(Y_B) = 5, V(Y_B) = 5^2, p_B = 0.7$.

• **Requested mean and variance of the IRT**

Since X is a mixture of the r.v. $(1 + Y_A)$ and $(0.5 + Y_B)$, its expected value is given by the following convex linear combination of expected values:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= E(X) \\ &= p_A \times E(1 + Y_A) + p_B \times E(0.5 + Y_B) \\ &= p_A \times [1 + E(Y_A)] + p_B \times [0.5 + E(Y_B)] \\ &= 0.3 \times (1 + 8) + 0.7 \times (0.5 + 5) \\ &= 6.55. \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second moment of X is a convex linear combination of second moments:

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^2) &= p_A \times E[(1 + Y_A)^2] + p_B \times E[(0.5 + Y_B)^2] \\ &= p_A \times [1 + 2E(Y_A) + E(Y_A^2)] + p_B \times [0.25 + E(Y_B) + E(Y_B^2)] \\ &= p_A \times [1 + 2E(Y_A) + V(Y_A) + E^2(Y_A)] + p_B \times [0.25 + E(Y_B) + V(Y_B) + E^2(Y_B)] \\ &= 0.3 \times (1 + 2 \times 8 + 8^2 + 8^2) + 0.7 \times (0.25 + 5 + 5^2 + 5^2) \\ &= 0.3 \times 145 + 0.7 \times 55.25 \\ &= 82.175. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= V(X) \\ &= E(X^2) - E^2(X) \end{aligned}$$

⁴Hence we are not counting the failure at time 0^- .

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2 &= 82.175 - 6.55^2 \\ &= 39.2725. \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

(b) Calculate an approximate value of the probability that at least 52 failures occur in the first year. (1.0)

• **[Inter-renewal times**

$X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X, i \in \mathbb{N}$

$\mu = E(X) \stackrel{(a)}{=} 6.55$

$\sigma^2 = V(X) \stackrel{(a)}{=} 39.2725$

• **Requested approximate probability**

$$\begin{aligned}P[N(t) \geq n] &= 1 - P[N(t) < n] \\ &\stackrel{form.}{\approx} 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{n - t/\mu}{\sqrt{t\sigma^2/\mu^3}}\right) \\ n=52, t=365, \mu=6.55, \sigma^2=39.2725 &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{52 - 365/6.55}{\sqrt{365 \times 39.2725/6.55^3}}\right) \\ &\approx 1 - \Phi(-0.52) \\ &= \Phi(0.52) \\ &\stackrel{tables}{=} 0.6985.\end{aligned}$$

(c) Provide an approximate value of the expected number of failures bound to occur in the first two weeks of the second year. (1.5)

• **Requested expected value**

Since the inter-renewal distribution is non-lattice, we can apply Blackwell's theorem and state that, for very large t (such as $t = 365$ days), the expected number of failures in the interval $(t, t + a]$ (for $a = 14$ days), $E[N(t + a) - N(t)]$, can be approximated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}E[N(t + a) - N(t)] &\approx \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} [m(z + a) - m(z)] \\ &\equiv \frac{a}{\mu} \\ &= \frac{14}{6.55} \\ &\approx 2.137405.\end{aligned}$$

(d) Admit an inspection was made on February 1 of the second year. Obtain an approximation to the probability that the first failure after this inspection occurred after February 2 of that same year. (2.5)

• **C.d.f. of the IRT**

Since X is a mixture of the r.v. $(1 + Y_A)$ and $(0.5 + Y_B)$, its c.d.f. is a convex linear combination of c.d.f. of these two r.v.:

$$\begin{aligned}F(x) &= P(X \leq u) \\ &= p_A \times P(1 + Y_A \leq u) + p_B \times P(0.5 + Y_B \leq u) \\ &= p_A \times F_{Y_A}(u - 1) + p_B \times F_{Y_B}(u - 0.5),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_{Y_A}(u - 1) &= \begin{cases} 0, & u < 1 \\ 1 - e^{-\frac{u-1}{8}}, & u \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ F_{Y_B}(u - 0.5) &= \begin{cases} 0, & u < 0.5 \\ 1 - e^{-\frac{u-0.5}{5}}, & u \geq 0.5 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

• **Recurrence time**

$Y(t) \stackrel{form}{=} S_{N(t)+1} - t =$ time until the first failure after the inspection at time t

• **Requested probability** (approximate value)

We can once again invoke that $t = 365 + 31 + 1$ days is sufficiently large and provide the following approximate value

$$\begin{aligned}P[S_{N(t)+1} > t + x] &= 1 - P[S_{N(t)+1} - t \leq x] \\ &= 1 - F_{Y(t)}(x) \\ &\approx 1 - \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} P[Y(z) \leq x] \\ form. &= 1 - \frac{\int_0^x (1 - F(u)) du}{E(X)} \\ x=1 &= 1 - \frac{1}{E(X)} \times \int_0^1 [1 - 0.3 \times F_{Y_A}(u - 1) - 0.7 \times F_{Y_B}(u - 0.5)] du \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{E(X)} \times \left[1 - 0.7 \times \int_{0.5}^1 F_{Y_B}(u - 0.5) du \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{E(X)} \times \left[1 - 0.7 \times \int_0^{0.5} F_{Y_B}(u) du \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{E(X)} \times \left[1 - 0.7 \times \int_0^{0.5} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{u}{5}} \right) du \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{E(X)} \times \left[1 - 0.7 \times 0.5 - 0.7 \times 5 \times e^{-\frac{u}{5}} \Big|_0^{0.5} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{6.55} \times [1 - 0.35 - 3.5 \times (e^{-0.1} - 1)] \\ &\approx 0.849913.\end{aligned}$$

2. Consider a renewal process $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ associated with inter-renewal times and rewards (2.0)

$\{(X_i, R_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (X, R)$ and event times $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Let $D(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} e^{-\alpha S_n} \times R_n$ be the total discounted reward earned up to time t , where α is a positive discount factor. Show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E[D(t)] = \frac{E(e^{-\alpha X} R)}{1 - E(e^{-\alpha X})}$.⁵

• **Renewal process**

$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$

$N(t) =$ number of events up to time t

• **Auxiliary r.v.**

$X_i =$ inter-renewal time $i, i \in \mathbb{N}$

$X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X, i \in \mathbb{N}$

$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i =$ time of event $n, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$(X_i, R_i) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (X, R), i \in \mathbb{N}$

• **Relevant r.v.**

$D(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} e^{-\alpha S_n} \times R_n,$ where α represents a positive discount factor.

Requested proof

$$\begin{aligned}E[D(t)] &= E\left\{ E\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} e^{-\alpha S_n} \times R_n \mid N(t) \right] \right\} \\ &= E(\star).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that: $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X, i \in \mathbb{N}; R_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} R, i \in \mathbb{N}; X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$ are independent of R_n . Consequently, \star is a r.v. that takes value

⁵Note: Invoking Jensen's inequality leads to $E(e^{-\alpha X}) \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} e^{-\alpha S_n} \times R_n \mid N(t) = m \right] &= E \left[\sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\alpha(X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1})} \times e^{-\alpha X_n} R_n \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^m E [e^{-\alpha(X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1})}] \times E(e^{-\alpha X_n} R_n) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^m [E(e^{-\alpha X})]^{n-1} \times E(e^{-\alpha X} R) \\
&= E(e^{-\alpha X} R) \times \frac{1 - [E(e^{-\alpha X})]^m}{1 - E(e^{-\alpha X})}
\end{aligned}$$

with probability $P[N(t) = m]$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E[D(t)] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E \left\{ E(e^{-\alpha X} R) \times \frac{1 - [E(e^{-\alpha X})]^{N(t)}}{1 - E(e^{-\alpha X})} \right\} \\
&= \frac{E(e^{-\alpha X} R)}{1 - E(e^{-\alpha X})} \times \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E \{ 1 - [E(e^{-\alpha X})]^{N(t)} \} \\
&= \frac{E(e^{-\alpha X} R)}{1 - E(e^{-\alpha X})},
\end{aligned}$$

because $N(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and according to the note $E(e^{-\alpha X}) \in (0, 1)$.