

Software Architectures

I/O Interfaces and Service

José Costa

Software for Embedded Systems

Departamento de Engenharia Informática (DEI)
Instituto Superior Técnico

2015-10-05

- Software Architectures
- Round-Robin
- Round-Robin with Interrupts
- Function-Queue Scheduling
- Multitasking Operating System (Real-Time OS)

- How much control you need to have over system response
 - Absolut response time requirements
 - Speed of your processor

- Very few response time requirements \Rightarrow very simple architecture

- Rapid response and various processing requirements \Rightarrow more complex architecture

- Priorities
- Worst response time for task code
- Stability of response when the code changes
- Simplicity

- Round-Robin
- Round-Robin with Interrupts
- Function-Queue Scheduling
- Multitasking Operating System (Real-Time OS)

- Simplest imaginable architecture
- No interrupts
- Main loop checks each I/O device in turn
 - Services any that need service
- No shared data
- Suitable for systems with no latency concerns

- Small number of I/O devices
- No particular lengthy processing
- No tight response requirements

Its **simplicity** makes it a very attractive choice for some systems

```
void main (void) {  
    while (TRUE) {  
        if (I/O Device A needs service) {  
            Take care of I/O Device A  
            Handle data to/from I/O Device A  
        }  
        if (I/O Device B needs service) {  
            Take care of I/O Device B  
            Handle data to/from I/O Device B  
        }  
        ...  
        if (I/O Device Z needs service) {  
            Take care of I/O Device Z  
            Handle data to/from I/O Device Z  
        }  
    }  
}
```


- If any one device needs response in less time than it takes the microprocessor to get around the main loop in the worst-case scenario, then the system won't work
 - a workaround is to service the device more than once in the loop
- Even if none of the required response times are absolute deadlines, the system may not work well if there is any lengthy processing to do.
- A single additional device or requirement may break everything

- Digital multimeter
 - Measure: resistance, current and potential
 - For each situation select the right scale
- Washing machine
- Airbag controller
- Anything that displays information
- ...

- Round-Robin
- Round-Robin with Interrupts
- Function-Queue Scheduling
- Multitasking Operating System (Real-Time OS)

- Somewhat more sophisticated architecture
- Interrupt routines deal with the very urgent needs of the hardware and then sets flags
- The main loop polls the flags and does any follow-up processing required by the interrupts

Round-Robin with Interrupts

Example (1/2)

```
BOOL fDeviceA = FALSE;
BOOL fDeviceB = FALSE;
...
BOOL fDeviceZ = FALSE;

void interrupt vHandleDeviceA (void) {
    Take care of Device A
    fDeviceA = TRUE;
}

void interrupt vHandleDeviceB (void) {
    Take care of Device B
    fDeviceB = TRUE;
}

...

void interrupt vHandleDeviceZ (void) {
    Take care of Device Z
    fDeviceZ = TRUE;
}
```

Example (2/2)

```
void main (void) {
    while (TRUE) {
        if (fDeviceA) {
            fDeviceA = FALSE;
            Handle data to/from I/O Device A
        }
        if (fDeviceB) {
            fDeviceB = FALSE;
            Handle data to/from I/O Device B
        }
        ...
        if (fDeviceZ) {
            fDeviceZ = FALSE;
            Handle data to/from I/O Device Z
        }
    }
}
```

- More control over priorities

- Interrupt routines can get good response
 - All the processing that you put in the interrupt routines has a higher priority than the task code in the main routine

- Not as simple as plain round-robin
- All of the task code executes at the same priority
 - One solution is to move the task code into the interrupt routine
 - But this may not be acceptable
 - Or we can interlace the main loop tests of the flags
- Worst-case is when the interrupt for a given device happens just after the round-robin loop passes the task code for that device, and every other device needs service

- Stopwatches
- Modern washing machines
- Coffee machines
- Microwave ovens
- Central heating units
- Traffic light controllers
- Other: data-bridge devices and barcode scanners

- Round-Robin
- Round-Robin with Interrupts
- Function-Queue Scheduling
- Multitasking Operating System (Real-Time OS)

- Interrupt routines adds function pointers to a queue

- The main routine just reads the pointers from the queue and calls the functions

- Main does not have to call the functions in the order that the interrupt routines occurred
- It can call them based on any priority scheme that suits your purposes
- Any task code functions that need quicker response can be executed earlier
- All it takes is a little clever coding in the routines that queue up the function pointers

Example (1/2)

Queue of function pointers;

```
void interrupt vHandleDeviceA (void)
{
    Take care of Device A
    Put function_A on queue of function pointers
}
void interrupt vHandleDeviceB (void)
{
    Take care of Device B
    Put function_B on queue of function pointers
}
...
void interrupt vHandleDeviceZ (void)
{
    Take care of Device Z
    Put function_Z on queue of function pointers
}
```

Example (2/2)

```
void main(void) {
    while (TRUE) {
        while (Queue of function pointers is empty);
        Call first function on queue
    }
}
```

```
void function_A (void) {
    Handle actions required by Device A
}
```

```
void function_B (void) {
    Handle actions required by Device B
}
```

...

```
void function_Z (void) {
    Handle actions required by Device Z
}
```

- Worst wait for the highest-priority task code function is the length of the longest of the task code functions
- Better response than the round-robin-with-interrupts response
- To workaround worst wait problem you can rewrite long functions in pieces
 - each of which schedules the next piece by adding it to the function queue

- More complicated than previous architectures
- Response for lower-priority task code functions may get worse
 - they may never run

- Round-Robin
- Round-Robin with Interrupts
- Function-Queue Scheduling
- Multitasking Operating System (Real-Time OS)

- Interrupt routines take care of the most urgent operations
- Then signal that there is work for the task to do
- Needs a Real-Time Operating System

- RTOS handles the necessary signaling between the interrupt routines and the task code
 - no need for shared variables
- No loop in our code decides what needs to be done next
 - RTOS knows about the various task-code subroutines and will run whichever of them is more urgent at any given time
- RTOS can suspend one task code subroutine in the middle of its processing in order to run another

```
void interrupt vHandleDeviceA (void) {
    Take care of Device A and Set signal X
}
void interrupt vHandleDeviceB (void) {
    Take care of Device B and Set signal Y
}
void Task1 (void) {
    while (TRUE) {
        Wait for Signal X
        Handle data to/from Device A
    }
}
void Task2 (void) {
    while (TRUE) {
        Wait for Signal Y
        Handle data to/from Device B
    }
}
```

- The worst-case wait for the highest-priority task code is zero
- System's response will be relatively stable, even when you change the code
- Widely available and you do not have to write the code yourself
- The main disadvantage is that it uses a certain amount of processing time

- Select the simplest architecture that will meet response requirements
- If your system has response requirements that might necessitate using a RTOS, you should lean toward using a RTOS
- You can create hybrids of the architectures

- Software Architectures
- Round-Robin
- Round-Robin with Interrupts
- Function-Queue Scheduling
- Multitasking Operating System (Real-Time OS)

David E. Simon. An Embedded Software Primer. Addison-Wesley, 1999. Ch. 5.

- Software architectures - exercises