

Duration: 120 minutes

- Please justify all your answers.
- This exam has TWO PAGES and TWELVE QUESTIONS. The total of points is 20.0.

Chap. 1 — Probability spaces

3.5 points

1. $A (A \subset \Omega)$ is called a *co-finite set* if A^c is finite. Let \mathcal{A} consist of all the finite and co-finite subsets of Ω . (1.5)

Admit that Ω is finite. Show that, in this case, \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra on Ω .

• **Requested proof**

We ought to mention that a minimal set of postulates for a non-empty class of subsets \mathcal{A} of Ω to be a σ -algebra on Ω is:

- (i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^{+\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

Hence, we have to prove that all these 3 postulates are true for the class of all the finite and co-finite subsets of Ω .

- (i) Ω is finite and $\Omega^c = \emptyset$ is also finite, we conclude that Ω is *co-finite*, hence $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (ii) We know that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ iff it is finite or co-finite and since Ω is finite, then $A^c = \Omega \setminus A$ is also finite, thus $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (iii) Note that there are $2^{\#\Omega}$ subsets of the finite sample space Ω . Moreover, $\cup_{j=1}^{2^{\#\Omega}} B_j [= \Omega]$ is also finite. Consequently, if we consider $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\cup_{i=1}^{+\infty} A_i \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{2^{\#\Omega}} B_j$, thus $\cup_{i=1}^{+\infty} A_i$ is also finite and therefore it belongs to \mathcal{A} . ✓

2. The Borel-Cantelli lemma reads as follows. (2.0)

- (i) If $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(A_n) < +\infty$ then $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n) = 0$.
- (ii) If $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(A_n) = +\infty$ and A_1, A_2, \dots are (mutually) independent events then $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n) = 1$.

Now, consider $\Omega = (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}((0, 1))$, and P the Lebesgue measure. Show that the sequence of events $\{A_n = (0, 1/n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ illustrates two facts.

1. In general, the converse of (i) is not true.
2. The (mutual) independence condition in (ii) is essential.

• **Events**

$$A_n = (0, 1/n), \quad P(A_n) = 1/n, \quad A_n \downarrow$$

For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i < j$, we have $P(A_i \cap A_j) = P(A_j) = \frac{1}{j} \neq P(A_i) \times P(A_j) = \frac{1}{i} \times \frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{ij}$. Therefore these events are not (pairwise) independent.

• **Checking fact 1.**

Note that

$$A_n \downarrow \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n = \emptyset$$

$$P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n) = P(\emptyset) = 0,$$

hence, (i) checks. However, even though $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} = +\infty, \quad (*)$$

thus, the converse of (i) is not true. \checkmark

• **Checking fact 2.**

Looking at these dependent events, at (*), and at (ii), we can conclude that the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(A_n) = +\infty$ does not imply that $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n) = 1$ and consequently the independence of A_1, A_2, \dots is absolutely essential in (ii).

Chap. 2 — Random variables

3.5 points

3. Let X and Y be two r.v. and prove that XY is also a r.v. (2.0)

Hint: Prove that X^2 is a r.v.; rewrite XY , for example, in terms of a difference between the square of a sum and two squares; take for granted that the sum and difference of two r.v. are also r.v.

• **R.v.**

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ be two measurable spaces. Then, $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

• **Auxiliary result**

[A function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel measurable iff $g^{-1}(B) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \in B\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover,] if

$$g^{-1}((-\infty, z]) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \leq z\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R},$$

then $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel measurable.

Now, let us consider $g(X) = X^2$.

– for $z < 0$,

$$g^{-1}((-\infty, z]) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) = x^2 \leq z\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R});$$

– for $z \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}((-\infty, z]) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) = x^2 \leq z\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -\sqrt{z} \leq x \leq \sqrt{z}\} \\ &= (-\infty, \sqrt{z}] \setminus (-\infty, -\sqrt{z}) = (-\infty, \sqrt{z}] \cap (-\infty, -\sqrt{z})^c \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $g(X) = X^2$ is a Borel measurable function and therefore a r.v.

• **Requested proof**

Since we just proved that X^2 is a r.v. and we can take for granted that the sum and difference of two r.v. are r.v., we conclude that Y^2 , $(X + Y)$, and $(X + Y)^2$ are also r.v., and so is

$$XY = \frac{-X^2 - Y^2 + (X + Y)^2}{2}. \quad \checkmark$$

□

4. Let: X , Y , and Z be r.v. such that X and Y are identically distributed; $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel measurable function. (1.5)

Show that $g(X)$ and $g(Y)$ are identically distributed and give a simple example to show that XZ and YZ can have different distributions.

Hint: Consider X a discrete r.v., with values in $\mathbb{R}_X = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and a symmetric p.f.

- **R.v.**

$$X \sim Y,$$

$g(X), g(Y)$, where $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel measurable function

- **Requested proof**

Since g is a Borel measurable function, we can add that $g(X)$ and $g(Y)$ are both r.v. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{g(Y)}(z) &= P[g(Y) \leq z] = P[Y \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \leq z\}] \stackrel{X \sim Y}{=} P[X \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \leq z\}] = P[g(X) \leq z] \\ &= F_{g(X)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $g(X)$ and $g(Y)$ are identically distributed r.v. ✓

- **Requested example**

X a discrete r.v., with values in $\mathbb{R}_X = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and a symmetric p.f., i.e., $P(X = x) = P(X = -x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

$$Y = -X, \quad Z = Y$$

$$XZ = -X^2, \quad R_{XZ} = \mathbb{Z}^-, \quad YZ = (-X)^2 = X^2, \quad R_{YZ} = \mathbb{Z}^+$$

It suffices to note that the r.v. XZ and YZ have different ranges to conclude that they cannot possibly have the same distribution.

- **[Note**

The trivial case of X such that $P(X = 0) = 1$ is of no interest.]

Chap. 3 — Independence

4.5 points

5. Let $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{16}$ and A, B , and C be events such that:

(1.0)

- $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A \cap B \cap C^c) = \frac{1}{8}$;
- $P(A \cap B^c \cap C) = P(A^c \cap B \cap C) = \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon$;
- $P(A \cap B^c \cap C^c) = P(A^c \cap B \cap C^c) = \frac{1}{8} + \epsilon$;
- $P(A^c \cap B^c \cap C) = \frac{1}{8} + 2\epsilon$;
- $P(A^c \cap B^c \cap C^c) = \frac{1}{8} - 2\epsilon$.

Show that the events A, B , and C are not mutually independent.

- **Events and probabilities**

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= P(A \cap B \cap C) + P(A \cap B \cap C^c) + P(A \cap B^c \cap C) + P(A \cap B^c \cap C^c) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} + \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \\ P(B) &= P(A \cap B \cap C) + P(A \cap B \cap C^c) + P(A^c \cap B \cap C) + P(A^c \cap B \cap C^c) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} + \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \\ P(C) &= P(A \cap B \cap C) + P(A \cap B^c \cap C) + P(A^c \cap B \cap C) + P(A^c \cap B^c \cap C) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon + \frac{1}{8} + 2\epsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

- **Requested proof**

Note that:

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A \cap B \cap C) + P(A \cap B \cap C^c) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4} \equiv P(A) \times P(B);$$

$$P(A \cap C) = P(A \cap B \cap C) + P(A \cap B^c \cap C) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon = \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon \neq P(A) \times P(C).$$

Hence, events A and C are not pairwise independent and therefore events A, B , and C cannot be mutually independent. ✓

6. Let X and Y be two independent r.v. with common p.d.f. $f(x) = x^{-2} \times I_{[1,+\infty)}(x)$. (2.0)

Derive (directly) the c.d.f. of $Z = \sqrt{XY}$ and describe a method to generate pseudorandom numbers from the distribution of Z .

Note: There is no explicit expression for the quantile function of Z .

• **Random vector and range**

$$(X, Y), \quad X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y, \quad X \sim Y, \quad f_X(x) = f_Y(y) = f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-2}, & x \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{R}_{X,Y} = [1, +\infty)^2$$

• **Transformation of (X, Y) and its range**

$$Z = g(X, Y) = \sqrt{XY}, \quad \mathbb{R}_Z = g(\mathbb{R}_{X,Y}) = [1, +\infty)$$

• **C.d.f. of Z**

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= P\left(\sqrt{XY} \leq z\right) \\ &= \int \int_{\{(x,y) \in [1,+\infty)^2: \sqrt{xy} \leq z\}} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx \\ &\stackrel{X \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} Y}{=} \int \int_{\{(x,y) \in [1,+\infty)^2: y \leq \frac{z^2}{x}\}} f(x) \times f(y) dy dx \\ &= \int_1^{z^2} \int_1^{\frac{z^2}{x}} \frac{1}{x^2} \times \frac{1}{y^2} dy dx \\ &= \int_1^{z^2} \frac{1}{x^2} \times \left(-\frac{1}{y} \Big|_1^{\frac{z^2}{x}}\right) dx \\ &= \int_1^{z^2} \frac{1}{x^2} \times \left(1 - \frac{x}{z^2}\right) dx \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{x} - \frac{\ln(x)}{z^2}\right) \Big|_1^{z^2} \\ &= \frac{z^2 - 2\ln(z) - 1}{z^2}, \quad z \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

• **Generation of a pseudorandom number from Z**

There is no explicit expression for the quantile function of Z but there is one for the common quantile function of X and Y . Indeed:

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 1 \\ \int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} dt = -\frac{1}{t} \Big|_1^x = 1 - \frac{1}{x}, & x \geq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$F(x) = u \Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{x} = u \Leftrightarrow F^{-1}(u) = \frac{1}{1-u}, \quad 0 < u < 1.$$

Furthermore, by resorting to the quantile transformation, we know that if $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$ then $F^{-1}(U) \equiv \frac{1}{1-U} \sim X \sim Y$.

Consequently, to generate a pseudorandom number from Z , z , we have to:

- independently generate two pseudorandom numbers, u_1 and u_2 , from the uniform(0,1) distribution;
- assign $x = \frac{1}{1-u_1}$, $y = \frac{1}{1-u_2}$, and, finally, $z = \sqrt{xy}$.

7. Admit that jobs arrive to a workstation according to a non-homogeneous Poisson process with intensity function $\lambda(t) = 1 + e^{-t}$, $t \geq 0$ (time in hours). (1.5)

Suppose two jobs arrived during the first hour. What is the probability that both jobs arrived during the first 20 minutes?

- **Stochastic process**

$$\{N(t) : t > 0\} \sim NHPP(\lambda(t))$$

$N(t)$ = number of jobs arrived to the workstation until time t

- **Intensity and mean value functions**

$$\lambda(t) = 1 + e^{-t}, \quad t \geq 0$$

$$m(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds = \int_0^t (1 + e^{-s}) ds = t + 1 - e^{-t}, \quad t \geq 0$$

Requested probability

Since

$$(N(s) | N(t) = n) \sim \text{binomial}(n, m(s)/m(t)), \quad 0 < s < t,$$

$s = 1/3, t = 1, n = 2$, and

$$\frac{m(s)}{m(t)} = \frac{1/3 + 1 - e^{-1/3}}{1 + 1 - e^{-1}} \approx 0.377914,$$

we get

$$P[N(1/3) = 2 | N(1) = 2] \approx \binom{2}{2} \times (0.377914)^2 \times (1 - 0.377914)^{2-2} \approx (0.377914)^2 \approx 0.142819.$$

Chap. 4 — Expectation

3.5 points

8. Let X and Y be a two i.i.d. r.v. with standard normal distribution. Show that $E(\max\{X, Y\}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$. (2.0)

- **R.v.**

$$X \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Y \sim \text{normal}(0, 1), \quad f_X(x) = f_Y(x) = \phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty$$

- **Requested expected value**

$$\begin{aligned} E(\max\{X, Y\}) &\stackrel{X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \max\{x, y\} \times f_X(x) \times f_Y(y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \max\{x, y\} \times \phi(x) \times \phi(y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_y^{+\infty} x \times \phi(x) dx \right] \times \phi(y) dy + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_x^{+\infty} y \times \phi(y) dy \right] \times \phi(x) dx \\ &= 2 \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_y^{+\infty} x \times \phi(x) dx \right] \times \phi(y) dy \\ &= 2 \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_y^{+\infty} \frac{x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx \right] \times \phi(y) dy \\ &= 2 \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \Big|_y^{+\infty} \right) \times \phi(y) dy \\ &= 2 \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{2\pi \left(1/\sqrt{2}\right)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \left(1/\sqrt{2}\right)^2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2 \left(1/\sqrt{2}\right)^2}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{N(0, (1/\sqrt{2})^2)}(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \end{aligned}$$

9. Admit that $(X, Y) \sim \text{normal}_2(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$, where $\underline{\mu}$ and Σ are such that: $\mu_X = 55.57$, $\mu_Y = 75.86$; $\sigma_X^2 = 7.6735$, $\sigma_Y^2 = 35.5510$, $\text{cov}(X, Y) = 13.6531$. (1.5)

Compute $P(Y > X + 10)$.

- **Random vector** (X, Y)

$$(X, Y) \sim \text{normal}_2(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma), \quad \text{where: } \underline{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 55.57 \\ 75.86 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 7.6735 & 13.6531 \\ 13.6531 & 35.5510 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Requested probability and auxiliary r.v.**

$$P(Y > X + 10) = P(W = Y - X > 10)$$

$$W = Y - X = \mathbf{C} \times \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} + \underline{b}, \quad \text{where: } \mathbf{C} = [-1 \quad 1]; \quad \underline{b} = [0].$$

$$W \stackrel{\text{Th. 4.216}}{\sim} \text{normal}(E(W), V(W)), \quad \text{where:}$$

$$E(W) = \mathbf{C}\underline{\mu} + \underline{b} = -55.57 + 75.86 + 0 = 20.29;$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(W) &= \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathbf{C}^T = [-1 \quad 1] \times \begin{bmatrix} 7.6735 & 13.6531 \\ 13.6531 & 35.5510 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [-7.6735 + 13.6531 \quad -13.6531 + 35.5510] \times \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 15.9183. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} P(W > 10) &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{10 - E(W)}{\sqrt{V(W)}}\right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{10 - 20.29}{\sqrt{15.9183}}\right) \\ &\simeq 1 - \Phi(-2.58) \\ &= \Phi(2.58) \\ &\stackrel{\text{tables}}{=} 0.9951. \end{aligned}$$

Chap. 5 — Stochastic convergence concepts and classical limit theorems

5.0 points

10. Prove that complete convergence of sequences of r.v. is stronger than almost sure convergence. (1.5)

Hint: Without loss of generality, assume that $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is completely convergent to 0 ($X_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$) and use an alternative criterion when it comes to almost sure convergence of $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to zero ($X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$).

- **Sequence of r.v.**

$$\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

- **Requested proof**

Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is completely convergent to 0 ($X_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$), i.e., $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(|X_n| > \epsilon) < +\infty$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$. Equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} P(|X_k| > \epsilon) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \quad (\star)$$

In order to relate this mode of convergence with a.s. convergence, recall that

$$\begin{aligned} X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 &\Leftrightarrow P(\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X_n(\omega) = 0\}) = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(\sup_{k \geq n} |X_k| > \epsilon) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(\cup_{k \geq n} \{|X_k| > \epsilon\}) = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the probability P is semi-additive, we obtain, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(\cup_{k \geq n} \{|X_k| > \epsilon\}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} P(|X_k| > \epsilon) \stackrel{(*)}{=} 0.$$

Hence, $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. ✓

11. Let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v. with common p.d.f. $f_X(x) = \frac{2x}{3\alpha^2} \times I_{[\alpha, 2\alpha]}(x)$, where α is an unknown positive constant. (2.0)

After having derived the c.d.f. of $Y_n = \frac{X_{(n:n)}}{2}$, where $X_{(n:n)} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} X_i$, show that $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} \alpha$.

• **Sequence of r.v.**

$$\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2x}{3\alpha^2}, & \alpha \leq x \leq 2\alpha \quad (\alpha > 0) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• **Another sequence of r.v.**

$$\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$Y_n = \frac{X_{(n:n)}}{2}$$

• **Requested c.d.f.**

For $y \in [\frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Y_n}(y) &= P\left[Y_n = \frac{X_{(n:n)}}{2} \leq y\right] = P[X_{(n:n)} \leq 2y] = [F_X(2y)]^n = \left(\int_{\alpha}^{2y} \frac{2x}{3\alpha^2} dx\right)^n = \left(\frac{x^2}{3\alpha^2} \Big|_{\alpha}^{2y}\right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{4y^2}{3\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ \left(\frac{4y^2}{3\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{3}\right)^n, & \frac{\alpha}{2} < y < \alpha \\ 1, & y \geq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

• **Requested proof**

Since $\frac{4y^2}{3\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{3} \in (0, 1)$, when $y \in (\frac{\alpha}{2}, \alpha)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_{Y_n}(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ 0, & \frac{\alpha}{2} < y < \alpha \\ 1, & y \geq \alpha \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0, & y < \alpha \\ 1, & y \geq \alpha, \end{cases}$$

which coincides with c.d.f. of a degenerate r.v. at α , $F_{\alpha}(y) = I_{[\alpha, +\infty)}(y)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, thus, for all points at which $F_{\alpha}(y)$ is continuous. Hence, $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} \alpha$. ✓

12. Let: $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v. to $X \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, 1)$, where α is an unknown positive constant; (1.5)
 $U_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)$.

Prove that $U_n \xrightarrow{P} \alpha^{-1}$.

Note: $Z_n = 2n\alpha \times U_n \sim \chi_{(2n)}^2$.

- **Sequence of r.v.**

$$\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, 1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\alpha > 0)$$

- **Another sequence of r.v.**

$$\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$U_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)$$

- **Rewriting U_n in terms of Z_n**

$$Z_n = 2n\alpha \times U_n \sim \chi_{(2n)}^2$$

$$U_n = \frac{Z_n}{2n\alpha}$$

- **Expected value and variance of U_n**

$$E(U_n) = E\left(\frac{Z_n}{2n\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{2n\alpha} \times E[\chi_{(2n)}^2] \stackrel{\text{form}}{=} \frac{1}{2n\alpha} \times 2n = \alpha^{-1}$$

$$V(U_n) = V\left(\frac{Z_n}{2n\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{(2n\alpha)^2} \times V[\chi_{(2n)}^2] \stackrel{\text{form}}{=} \frac{1}{4n^2\alpha^2} \times 4n = \frac{1}{n\alpha^2}$$

- **Requested proof**

The application of the definition of convergence in probability and Chebyshev-Bienaymé's inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(|U_n - \alpha^{-1}| > \epsilon) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P\left[|U_n - E(U_n)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{V(U_n)}} \sqrt{V(U_n)}\right] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\left[\epsilon / \sqrt{1/(n\alpha^2)}\right]^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \alpha^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $U_n \xrightarrow{P} \alpha^{-1}$. ✓