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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a smooth $2 m$-manifold. In some cases, the degeneracy loci of a geometric structure on $M$ give rise to homology classes in $H_{*}(M)$. The computation of their Poincaré duals (usually referred to as Thom polynomials) is an active area of research. In this thesis we compute the Thom polynomials of degeneracy loci in two settings.

Chapter 2 gives some theoretical background and necessary results needed in the rest of the thesis. In chapter 3, we study the degeneracy loci of sections of the bundle $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow M$ or, in other words, of 2 -forms over $M$. There will be a Thom polynomial $P_{R_{k}}$ for each integer $k \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. To compute $P_{R_{k}}$, we define it first as a certain cohomological obstruction to the existence of sections with rank everywhere greater than $2 k$. We compute those obstructions classes and then show that they are indeed the Poincaré duals of the degeneracy loci.

In chapter 4, we consider a smooth map $i: M \rightarrow N$ between a $2 m$-manifold $M$ and an almost symplectic $2 n$-manifold $N$ with $m \leq n$. We study the degeneracy loci of sections of the bundle $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right) \rightarrow M$. In this setting, the degeneracy loci may not give rise to homology classes, but the definition of Thom polynomials as obstructions classes remains valid and that is the one we will use. The procedure to compute the Thom polynomials in this case will be the same as the one used in chapter 3.


Keywords: Degeneracy Loci, Characteristic Classes, Classifying Spaces, Thom Polynomials

## Resumo

Seja $M$ uma $2 m$-variedade diferenciável. Por vezes, os loci de degenerescência de uma estrutura geométrica em $M$ dão origem a classes de homologia em $H_{*}(M)$. O cálculo dos seus duais de Poincaré (usualmente denominados por polinómios de Thom) é uma área ativa de investigação. Nesta tese, calculamos os polinómios de Thom de loci de degenerescência em dois casos.

No capítulo 2 introduzimos preliminares teóricos e conceitos necessários no resto da tese.
No capítulo 3, estudamos os loci de degenerescência de secções do fibrado $\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow M$ ou, por outras palavras, de 2 -formas sobre $M$. Haverá um polinómio de Thom $P_{R_{k}}$ para cada inteiro $k \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. Para calcular $P_{R_{k}}$, definimo-lo primeiro como uma certa obstrução cohomológica à existência de secções com rank em todo o lado maior do que $2 k$. Calculamos essas obstruções e por fim mostramos que são os duais de Poincaré dos loci de degenerescência.

No capítulo 4, consideramos uma aplicação diferenciável $i: M \rightarrow N$ entre uma $2 m$-variedade $M$ e uma $2 n$-variedade quase-simplética $N$, com $m \leq n$. Estudamos neste caso os loci de degenerescência do fibrado $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right) \rightarrow M$. Neste contexto, os loci poderão não dar origem a classes de homologia, mas a definição de polinómios de Thom como classes de obstrução permanece válida e é essa que usamos. O procedimento para calcular os polinómios de Thom é o mesmo que o usado no capítulo 3.

Palavras-Chave: Loci de Degenerescência, Classes Características, Espaços Classificantes, Polinómios de Thom
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

A central problem in singularity theory and enumerative geometry is to study cohomological properties of singularities and degeneracy loci of geometric structures. Perhaps the simplest examples are the Chern classes. The $i$-th Chern class $c_{i}(E)$ of an $n$-vector bundle $E$ can be interpreted as the Poincaré dual of the locus of points where $n-i+1$ generic sections of $E$ become linearly dependent. Another typical (and more complicated) example concerns the degeneracy loci of a vector bundle map: let $E$ and $F$ be complex vector bundles of ranks $m$ and $n$, respectively, over a compact manifold $M$. A vector bundle $\operatorname{map} E \rightarrow F$ is the same as a section $s$ of the bundle


Given a positive integer $k$, the degeneracy locus of rank $k$ of $s$ is the set of points $x \in M$ where the rank of $s(x)$ is at most $k$. Let us denote this degeneracy locus by $F_{k} \subset M$. For a generic section, $F_{k}$ gives rise to an homology class $\left[F_{k}\right] \in H_{*}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, independent of the chosen section. In [Tho57], R. Thom observed that there exists a universal polynomial $P_{F_{k}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ such that, when evaluated on the Chern classes $c_{i}(E)$ and $c_{i}(F)$, yields the Poincaré dual of [ $F_{k}$ ]. Later, I. Porteous in [Por71] found a formula for $P_{F_{k}}$, based on Giambelli's formula for Schubert classes (see equation (10) in page 146 of [Ful97]). For this reason, the formula for $P_{F_{k}}$ is usually called the Giambelli-Thom-Porteous formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{F_{k}}(c(E), c(F))=\operatorname{det}\left((c(F) / c(E))_{n-i+j}\right)_{m \times m} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $(c(F) / c(E))_{i}$ is the $i$-th coefficient of the formal quotient of the total classes $c(F)$ and $c(E)$. Note that if $P_{F_{k}}(c(E), c(F)) \neq 0$, then there cannot exist a section $s$ with rank everywhere greater than $k$. The class $P_{F_{k}}(c(E), c(F))$ is thus a cohomological obstruction to the existence of such sections.

One can replace $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$ by another vector bundle and compute the Poincaré duals of degeneracy loci of other geometric structures. In many cases, there exist universal polynomials, like $P_{F_{k}}$, which evaluate
to the Poincaré duals of the degeneracy loci. Such polynomials are usually called Thom Polynomials, as was R. Thom who initiated their study in the case of singularities of smooth maps. The problem of computing Thom polynomials is, in general, hard and not many examples are known.

In this thesis, we compute the Thom polynomials of two different types of degeneracy loci. In chapter 3, we consider the bundle of 2-forms $\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow M$ over a compact $2 m$-manifold $M$ and compute the Thom polynomials of the following degeneracy loci:

$$
F_{k}=\{x \in M \mid \operatorname{rank}(s(x)) \leq 2 k\},
$$

where $s: M \rightarrow \Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ is a generic section. We conclude the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $w_{i}(M) \in H^{i}(M)$ denote the $i-t h$ Stiefel Whitney class of $T M$. The Poincaré dual of $\left[F_{k}\right] \in H_{*}(M)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{F_{k}}\left(w_{1}(M), \ldots, w_{2 m}(M)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(w_{2(m-k)-2 i+j}\right)_{2(m-k) \times 2(m-k)} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These Thom polynomials have already been computed for the bundle of complex 2-forms (see for instance Theorem 3.1 of [FNR05]). They are given by formula (1.2) but with Chern classes instead of Stiefel-Whitney classes. M. Kazarian, in the beginning of page 4 of [Kaz06], observes that it is a general principle that one may obtain Thom polynomials for real singularities from the Thom polynomials of complex singularities by substituting Chern classes in the formula by Stiefel-Whitney classes and reducing the coefficients to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. This however needs to be checked in each case and we conclude that it is indeed true for degeneracy loci of 2-forms. Observe that, as with the Giambelli-Thom-Porteous classes, the class in (1.2) is a cohomological obstruction to the existence of sections of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ whose rank is everywhere greater than $2 k$.

In chapter 4, we consider a more complicated problem with degeneracy loci that may not give rise to homology classes. In spite of that, we can interpret Thom polynomials as certain cohomological obstructions and compute them for these degeneracy loci as well. Let $M$ be a $2 m$-manifold, $N$ a $2 n$ manifold with $2 m \leq 2 n$ and $i: M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map. Endow $N$ with an almost symplectic form $\omega$ (meaning a non-degenerate 2 -form not necessarily closed). The differential di can be seen as a section of the bundle $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right) \rightarrow M$. And, given $x \in M$, the rank of $(d i)_{x}$ can be any integer $I \in\{0, \ldots, 2 m\}$ and the rank of $(d i)_{x}^{*} \omega_{x}$ can be any integer $2 k$ for $k \in\{0, \ldots,\lfloor I / 2\rfloor\}$. One can thus try to obtain cohomological obstructions to the existence of sections $s$ homotopic to di whose ranks satisfy $\operatorname{rank}(s(x))>/$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(s(x)^{*} \omega_{x}\right)>2 k$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $w_{i}(M)$ denote the $i$-th Stiefel Whitney class of $T M$ and $c_{i}(N)$ denote the $i$-th Chern class of $T N$. Pick integers $I \in\{0, \ldots, 2 m\}$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots,\lfloor I / 2\rfloor\}$. Then, if the class $\mathfrak{o}_{l, k} \in H^{*}(M)$ below is different from zero, there cannot exist a section $s: M \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$, homotopic to di, such that

```
rank(s(x)) > I or rank (s(x)* 的) > 2k for every x }\inM
```

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{o}_{l, k}=\operatorname{det}\binom{\left\{\left(i^{*} c(M) / w(M)\right)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2(m-k)}}{\left\{w_{l-2 k-2 i+j}(M)\right\}_{i=1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i, j=l-2 k}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the elements of the upper submatrix in (1.3) follow the pattern in the Giambelli-Thom-Porteous classes (1.1) and the elements of lower submatrix follow the pattern in (1.2). The obstructions $\mathfrak{o}_{l, k}$ are thus a mix of 1) obstructions to the existence of sections whose rank is everywhere greater than / with 2) obstructions to the existence of sections such that $\operatorname{rank}\left(s(x)^{*} \omega_{x}\right)>2 k$ for all $x \in M$. What is perhaps surprising is that $\mathfrak{o}_{l, k}$ is not just the product of the obstructions coming from (1.1) with the ones coming from (1.2).

## Structure of the Thesis

We start with a chapter on some preliminary concepts which will be needed later. We will provide an introduction to fibre bundles, classifying spaces and characteristic classes. Formulas (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are deeply related to what are known as Schur polynomials, so a quick introduction to those will also be given. Chapter 2 ends with some necessary results about homotopy pushouts and locally trivial stratifications.

In chapter 3, we treat the case of degeneracy loci of 2-forms and compute the classes in (1.2). M. Kazarian introduced a method to obtain Thom Polynomials for loci arising from group actions (details in [Kaz06]). In [FR04], L. M. Fehér and R. Rimányi continue Kazarian's work giving an interpretation of Thom polynomials as cohomological obstructions and describing a method to compute them, introduced by the second author and called the method of restricting equations. This interpretation of Thom polynomials and the method of restricting equations are described in section 3.3 and are used in section 3.5 to compute the classes (1.2). In section 3.5. we also show that the Thom polynomials are indeed the Poincaré duals of the degeneracy loci.

In chapter 4, we treat the case of degeneracy loci of smooth maps to an almost symplectic manifold and compute the classes in (1.3). To do so, we use the same interpretation of Thom polynomials as cohomological obstructions and the method of restricting equations. The classes (1.3) are computed in section 4.5.

In chapters 3 and 4 , we will only work with cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Thus, any time the coefficient ring is not mentioned, one should assume it is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

## Chapter 2

## Preliminaries

### 2.1 Fibre Bundles

### 2.1.1 First Definitions

Let us start by defining fibre bundles.
Definition 2.1. Let $E$ and $B$ be topological spaces, $p: E \rightarrow B$ a continuous map, $G$ a topological group and $F$ a space endowed with an effective ${ }^{1}$ left action of $G$ (or $G$-action). The tuple $\mathcal{E}=(E, B, p, F, G)$ is called a fibre bundle with typical fibre $F$ and structure group $G$ if the following condition is satisfied:

- $B$ has an open cover indexed by a set $J\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ such that for each $j \in J$, there is an homeomorphism

$$
\phi_{j}: V_{j} \times F \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right)
$$

that

- makes the following diagram commute:

with $\pi_{1}$ denoting the projection onto the first factor;
- Fixing $b \in V_{j}$, the map $\phi_{j, b}: F \rightarrow p^{-1}(b)$ defined by $\phi_{j, b}(x)=\phi_{j}(b, x)$ is such that for each $i, j \in J$ and $b \in V_{i} \cap V_{j}$, the homeomorphism $\phi_{j, b}^{-1} \phi_{i, b}: F \rightarrow F$ coincides with the action of an element of $G$ on $F$.
- Moreover, the map $g_{i j}: V_{i} \cap V_{j} \rightarrow G$ given by $g_{i j}(b)=\phi_{j, b}^{-1} \phi_{i, b}$ is continuous.

Remark 2.2 (Terminology).

[^0]- The spaces $E$ and $B$ are named the total and base spaces, respectively. The map $p: E \rightarrow B$ is called the projection map, the functions $\phi_{j}$ are the coordinate functions and $g_{i j}$ the transitions functions. The fibres $p^{-1}(b)$ for each $b \in B$ will be denoted by $F_{b}$.
- When the typical fibre $F$ and structure group $G$ are obvious from context or do not matter, the notation $p: E \rightarrow B$ will be used to denote the fibre bundle $\mathcal{E}=(E, B, p, F, G)$.
- A open cover $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of $B$, along with maps $\phi_{j}$ as in the definition, is called a trivializing cover $B$.

Definition 2.3. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ define a fibre bundle. A section of the bundle is a map $s: B \rightarrow E$ such that $p \circ s=i d_{B}$.

Definition 2.4. Consider the action of $G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by the usual matrix product on the left. A fibre bundle with structure group $G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$ and typical fibre $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with that action is called a vector bundle of rank $n$ (or an $n$-vector bundle).

Example 2.5. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional. It is easy to prove that the tangent bundle $T M \rightarrow M$ is an $m$-vector bundle.

Example 2.6. Consider $G r_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the grassmannian of $k$-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and define

$$
\gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)=\left\{(P, v) \in G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid v \in P\right\}
$$

The projection onto the first factor $\gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right) \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ can be proved to define an $n$-vector bundle. It is called the tautological bundle over $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$.

Having defined fibre bundles, one now wishes to study what it means for two to be isomorphic.
Definition 2.7. Let ( $E, B, p, F, G$ ) and ( $\left.E^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, p^{\prime}, F, G\right)$ be two fibre bundles with the same fibre and group. By a bundle map between them, one means a map $h: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ that satisfies two conditions:

1. $h$ maps each fibre $F_{b} \subset E, b \in B$ homeomorphically into some fibre $F_{b^{\prime}} \subset E^{\prime}$ with $b^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$.

This in particular implies the existence of a map $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ that makes the following diagram commute:

2. Let $\left\{\left(V_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\left\{\left(V_{j}^{\prime}, \psi_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J^{\prime}}$ be any trivializing covers of $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively, and, given $b \in V_{i} \cap f^{-1}\left(V_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, let $h_{b}: F_{b} \rightarrow F_{f(b)}$ be the restriction of $h$ to the fibre over $b$. Then, the composition $\tilde{g}_{i j}(b)=\psi_{j, f(b)}^{-1} h_{b} \phi_{i, b}$ coincides with the action of an element of $G$ and the so defined map $\tilde{g}_{i j}$ : $V_{i} \cap f^{-1}\left(V_{j}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G$ is continuous.

## Remark 2.8.

- It is easy to see that if a map satisfies condition 2 for two covers $\left\{\left(V_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\left\{\left(V_{j}^{\prime}, \psi_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J^{\prime}}$, then it satisfies the condition for any other trivializing covers of $B$ and $B^{\prime}$.
- It is readily checked that the identity $E \rightarrow E$ satisfies these conditions and the composition of bundle maps is a bundle map, so the set of bundles with fixed fibre and group defines, in this way, a category.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be two bundles with the same typical fibre, structure group and base space. One says that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic bundles if there is a bundle map between them that induces the identity map on the base space.

A fibre bundle with base $B$, total space $E$ and fibre $F$ can also be denoted by $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$.
Proposition 2.10. Let $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fibre bundle, fix points $b_{0} \in B$ and $x_{0} \in p^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right)$ and let $F_{0}$ be the fibre over $x_{0}$. There is a long exact sequence of homotopy groups:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(F_{0}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(E, x_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{p_{*}} \pi_{i}\left(B, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}\left(F_{0}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Proof. See Theorem 17.1 of [Ste51].

### 2.1.2 Construction of Bundles

It turns out that, given a base $B$ and a fibre $F$ with an effective $G$-action, the transition functions determine completely the structure of a bundle (up to isomorphism).

Theorem 2.11. Let $G$ a topological group, $F$ a space endowed with an effective left $G$-action, $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ an open cover of a space $B$ and $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}$ a family of maps $g_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G$ that satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j} g_{j k}=g_{i k} \quad \forall i, j, k \in J \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a fibre bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with base space $B$, fibre $F$, structure group $G$ and transition functions $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}$ for the cover $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$. This bundle is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof. See Theorem 3.2 in [Ste51].

Let us now briefly discuss three methods one uses to obtain new bundles out of old ones.
Definition 2.12 (Pullback). Let $\mathcal{E}=(E, B, p, F, G)$ be a fibre bundle and $f: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ a map from some space $B^{\prime}$ to the base space. The pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ by $f$ is the bundle $f^{*} \mathcal{E}$ with base space $B^{\prime}$, total space given by

$$
f^{*} E=\left\{\left(b^{\prime}, e\right) \in B^{\prime} \times E \mid f\left(b^{\prime}\right)=p(e)\right\}
$$

and projection map $p^{\prime}: f^{*} E \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ defined by $p^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}, e\right)=b^{\prime}$.

Let $\pi_{2}: f^{*} E \rightarrow E$ denote the projection onto the second factor. The picture of the pullback one should have in mind is the following commutative square:


If $\left\{\left(U_{j}, \phi_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\right.$ is a trivializing cover of $B$, then the cover $\left\{f^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ with the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{j}: f^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right) \times F & \rightarrow p^{\prime-1}\left(f^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)\right) \\
\left(b^{\prime}, f\right) & \mapsto\left(b^{\prime}, \phi_{j}(f(b), f)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a trivializing cover of $B^{\prime}$. Moreover, if $g_{i j}$ are the transition functions of $\mathcal{E}$ relative to $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$, one can check that those of $f^{*} \mathcal{E}$ relative to $\left\{f^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i j}^{\prime}: f^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) & \rightarrow G \\
b^{\prime} & \mapsto g_{i j}\left(f\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a simple and useful property of pullbacks concerning sections:

Proposition 2.13. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a fibre bundle and $g: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ some map. Then, there is a section of the pullback bundle if and only if there is a map $f: B^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ making the diagram

commute.

Proof. If there is a section $s: B^{\prime} \rightarrow g^{*} E$, then $f=\pi_{2} \circ s$ makes the diagram commute. On the other hand, if there is such a map $f$, then define $s\left(b^{\prime}\right)=\left(g\left(b^{\prime}\right), f\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right)$. It is obviously continuous, the image is contained in $g^{*} E$ and it makes the diagram commute.

Definition 2.14 (Cartesian Products). Now consider two bundles $\mathcal{E}_{1}=\left(E_{1}, B_{1}, p_{1}, F_{1}, G_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}=$ $\left(E_{2}, B_{2}, p_{2}, F_{2}, G_{2}\right)$. The product bundle $\mathcal{E}_{1} \times \mathcal{E}_{2}$ has total space $E_{1} \times E_{2}$, base space $B_{1} \times B_{2}$ and projection map $p=p_{1} \times p_{2}$. Moreover, given $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J_{1}}$ a trivializing cover of $B_{1}$ and $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in J_{2}}$ a trivializing cover of $B_{2}$, then $\left\{U_{j} \times V_{i}\right\}$ is a trivializing cover of $B_{1} \times B_{2}$. The coordinate and the transition functions are also the products of the ones of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$. The typical fibre and structure group are $F_{1} \times F_{2}$ and $G_{1} \times G_{2}$, respectively.

Definition 2.15. (Whitney Sum) Let $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ be two bundles with the same base space and consider
the diagonal embedding of $B$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d: B & \rightarrow B \times B \\
b & \mapsto(b, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Whitney sum of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ is the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2}=d^{*}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1} \times \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$.

### 2.1.3 Principal and Associated Bundles

Let $G$ be any topological group. $G$ acts on itself by left multiplication and this action is effective. When both the fibre and structure group are $G$ with this action, the bundle is called a principal $G$-bundle.

Definition 2.16. Two bundles $\mathcal{E}_{1}=\left(E_{1}, B, p_{1}, F_{1}, G\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}=\left(E_{2}, B, p_{2}, F_{2}, G\right)$, with the same base space and structure group and different fibres, are said to be associated if there is a trivializing cover of both such that the transition functions of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ subordinate to that cover are the same as those of $\mathcal{E}_{2}$.

Example 2.17. The bundle $\wedge^{k}\left(T^{*} M\right) \rightarrow M$ of $k$-forms on $M$ is associated to $T M \rightarrow M$.
Definition 2.18. Let $E$ be a right $G$-space and $F$ a left $G$-space. The balanced product of $E$ and $F$, denoted by $E \times_{G} F$, is the quotient of $E \times F$ by the equivalence relation $(e, f) \sim\left(e \cdot g, g^{-1} \cdot f\right)$ for all $e \in E, f \in F$ and $g \in G$.

Proposition 2.19. Let $\mathcal{E}=(E, B, p, G, G)$ be a principal $G$-bundle and $F$ a space with an effective ${ }^{2}$ left G-action. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q: E \times_{G} F & \rightarrow B \\
{[e, f] } & \mapsto p(e)
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a fibre bundle with fibre space $F$, denoted by $\mathcal{E}[F]$. Moreover, a trivializing cover of $\mathcal{E}$ also trivializes $\mathcal{E}[F]$ and the transition functions of the latter are the ones of $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{U_{j}, \phi_{j}\right\}$ be a trivializing cover of $B$ for $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\phi_{j}^{\prime}: U_{j} \times F \rightarrow q^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)$, defined as $\phi_{j}^{\prime}(b, f)=$ $\left[\phi_{j}(b, 1), f\right]$, is a coordinate function (with inverse $\left.\phi_{j}^{\prime-1}([e, f])=\left(p(e), \phi_{j}^{-1}(e) \cdot f\right)\right)$. The transition functions are

$$
g_{i j}^{\prime}(b) \cdot f=\phi_{j, b}^{\prime}{ }^{-1} \phi_{i, b}^{\prime}(f)=\phi_{j, b}^{-1} \phi_{i, b}^{-1}(1) \cdot f=g_{i j}(b) \cdot f
$$

All these maps are continuous so the statement follows.

A few properties of the balanced product should be mentioned:
Proposition 2.20. Consider $H$ a subgroup of a topological group $G, X$ a right $G$-space, $Y$ a left and right $G$-space and $Z$ a left $G$-space. Then,

[^1]1. $X \times_{G} * \cong / G$, where $*$ denotes the singleton set;
2. $X \times_{G} G \cong X$, where $G$ is regarded as a left $G$-space with the action of left multiplication;
3. $\left(X \times_{G} Y\right) \times_{G} Z \cong X \times_{G}\left(Y \times_{G} Z\right)$. Here $X \times_{G} Y$ is given the action of $G$ coming from the right action of $G$ on $Y$ and the same goes for the left action on $Y \times_{G} Z$;
4. $X \times_{G} G \times_{H} Y \cong X \times_{H} Y$, where $G$ is regarded as a right $H$-space with the action given by multiplication on the right;
5. $X \times_{G}(G / H) \cong X / H$.
6. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a principal $G$-bundle, $F$ has a right $G$-action and $f: X \rightarrow B$ is some map, then

$$
f^{*}\left(E \times_{G} F\right) \cong f^{*} E \times_{G} F .
$$

Here the right action of $G$ on $f^{*} E$ is just $(x, e) \cdot g=(x, e \cdot g)$, for all $(x, e) \in f^{*} E, g \in G$.

Proof. In all cases, the homeomorphisms are fairly obvious. One only needs to pay attention to continuity, which follows from the fact that if $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ is an open $G$-equivariant map, then $g: X \times_{G} Y \rightarrow X \times_{G} Z$ defined as $g([x, y])=[x, f(y)]$ is also open. Details for points 1.-5. can be found in [Bre72]. The isomorphism of point 6 . is just

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: f^{*}\left(E \times_{G} F\right) & \rightarrow f^{*} E \times_{G} F \\
(x,[e, f]) & \mapsto[(x, e), f]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now study some properties related to restricting the structure group.

Definition 2.21. Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup of a topological group $G$ and $\mathcal{E}=(E, B, p, F, G)$ a fibre bundle. One says that $\mathcal{E}$ admits a reduction of structure group to $H$ if there exists a local trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$ whose transition functions all have values in $H$.

Example 2.22. Let $M$ be an $m$-manifold and consider the tangent bundle $T M \rightarrow M$, which has structure group $G L(m ; \mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that $T M$ admits a reduction of structure group to $O(m)$ if and only if $M$ admits a riemannian metric. It is a basic fact that every manifold admits a metric, so actually every tangent bundle admits such a reduction. The same goes for all associated bundles like the bundles of forms on $M$.

Example 2.23. Similarly, if a $2 m$-dimensional manifold $M$ is endowed with an almost symplectic form (a non-degenerate 2-form) then one can show that $T M$ admits a reduction of structure group to the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 m)$.

### 2.2 Classifying Spaces

### 2.2.1 Classification Problem

By Theorem 2.11, the converse of Proposition 2.19 also holds: given a fibre bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with fibre space $F$, one can construct its principal associated bundle $\eta$. Furthermore, one has

Proposition 2.24. Let $\mathcal{E}=(E, B, p, F, G)$ be a fibre bundle and $\eta$ its associated principal bundle. Then,

$$
\eta[F] \cong \mathcal{E}
$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.19 and the uniqueness in Theorem 2.11.

As a consequence,

Corollary 2.25. Two fibre bundles $\mathcal{E}_{i}=\left(E_{i}, B, p_{i}, F, G\right), i=1,2$ are isomorphic if and only if their associated principal bundles are isomorphic.

By this corollary, the classification of bundles is reduced to classifying principal bundles. So fix a topological group $G$ and consider the contravariant functor $\mathcal{P}_{G}: T o p \rightarrow$ Set such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{G}(B)=\{\text { Isomorphism classes of principal } G \text {-bundles over } B\} \\
& \mathcal{P}_{G}(f)=f^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

A first result is that, for paracompact base spaces, this functor descends to the homotopy category hTop:

Theorem 2.26. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a principal $G$-bundle and $f, g: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$. If $B$ is paracompact, then

$$
f \simeq g \Longrightarrow f^{*} \mathcal{E} \cong g^{*} \mathcal{E}
$$

Proof. Theorem 4.9.9 of [Hus94] proves the result for numerable fibre bundles (see Definition 4.9.2 of [Hus94]). It is a standard result that fibre bundles over paracompact spaces are numerable.

### 2.2.2 Classifying Spaces

Now, the question is whether this induced functor $\mathcal{P}_{G}: h T o p \rightarrow$ Set is representable. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a principal $G$-bundle with $B$ paracompact. Then, by the previous theorem, there is a well-defined natural transformation

$$
T_{\mathcal{E}}:[X, B] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{G}(X)
$$

Definition 2.27. A principal bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is called universal if $T_{\mathcal{E}}$ is bijective for every space $X$. The base space of such a bundle is called the classifying space of $G$ and is denoted by $B G$. The total space is denoted by $E G$.

In other words, every principal bundle $\eta$ over $X$ is the pullback of $E G \rightarrow B G$ by a unique map from $X$ to $B G$. This map is called the classifying map of $\eta$.

By Yoneda's Lemma, if a universal bundle exists, it is unique up to isomorphism and the classifying space is unique up to homotopy equivalence. Using this, one can give a criterion for a principal bundle to be universal:

Proposition 2.28. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a principal $G$-bundle, $B$ is paracompact and $E$ is contractible, then the bundle is isomorphic to $E G \rightarrow B G$ and $B \simeq B G$.

Proof. See [Dol63], Theorem 7.5.

## Remark 2.29.

- If $F$ is a space endowed with an effective, right $G$-action, denote by $F i b_{F}(X)$ the set of isomorphism classes of fibre bundles over $X$ with fibre $F$ and structure group $G$. Proposition 2.24 implies there is a bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{G}(X) & \rightarrow F i b_{F}(X) \\
\eta & \mapsto \eta[F]
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the classifying space $B G$ also classifies bundles with fibre $F$. Moreover, by point 6 of Proposition 2.20, the natural transformation

$$
[X, B G] \rightarrow F i b_{F}(X)
$$

maps each $f: X \rightarrow B G$ to $f^{*}$.

- The space $E G \times_{G} F$ will also be denoted by $F_{h G}$.

Example 2.30. Let $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ be the set of $n$-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ and

$$
r^{n}=\left\{(P, v) \in G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid v \in P\right\} .
$$

The projection $\gamma^{n} \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ defines an $n$-vector bundle. In Lemma 5.3 of [MS74], the authors show that $\gamma^{n}$ classifies $n$-vector bundles. Therefore, $B G L(n ; \mathbb{R}) \simeq G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$. If $M$ is an $m$-manifold, the classifying map of the tangent bundle is denoted by $\tau_{M}: M \rightarrow B G L(m ; \mathbb{R})$.

### 2.2.3 Milnor Construction

The universal bundle of a given topological group $G$ can be constructed in the following way, introduced by John Milnor.

Definition 2.31. The join of two spaces $X$ and $Y$ is the quotient space

$$
X \star Y:=X \times I \times Y / \sim
$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by $(x, 0, y) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, 0, y\right)$ and $(x, 1, y) \sim\left(x, 1, y^{\prime}\right)$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in Y$.

In the following, let us use the notation $X^{\star n}=X \star \cdots \star X$, where $X$ appears $n$ times.

Theorem 2.32. Let $G$ be a topological group. Define

$$
E G=\operatorname{colim}_{n} G^{\star n}
$$

where $G^{\star n} \subset G^{\star n+1}$ through the inclusions $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i}+0 g$. Define also an action of $G$ on $E G$ by

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i}\right) \cdot g=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i} g
$$

Finally, write $B G=E G / G$, the orbit space of the action. Then, the projection

$$
p: E G \rightarrow B G
$$

defines a universal principal G-bundle.
Proof. See sections 4.11 and 4.12 of [Hus94].

A nice property of this construction is that it is functorial.
Proposition 2.33. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then, $\phi$ induces a diagram between universal bundles

such that, if $\psi: H \rightarrow K$ is another such homomorphism, then $E(\psi \circ \phi)=E \psi \circ E \phi$ and $B(\psi \circ \phi)=B \psi \circ B \phi$. Proof. $E \phi$ is defined as $E \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \phi\left(g_{i}\right)$. One can check that this map is continuous and that is equivariant with respect to the actions of $G$ and $H$. Thus, it induces a map on the quotients $B G \rightarrow B H$. The composition property is also clear from the definition of $E \phi$.

Example 2.34. As $O(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$, it follows that the inclusion $O(n) \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow}$ $G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$ is an homotopy equivalence (Theorem 2 of [Mos49]). The maps $i$, Ei and Bi induce maps between the homotopy exact sequences of the bundles $O(n) \hookrightarrow E O(n) \rightarrow B O(n)$ and $G L(n ; \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow$ $E G L(n ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$. Since $O(n) \simeq G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$ and $E O(n) \simeq E G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$, it follows that $B O(n) \simeq$ $G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$.

### 2.2.4 Properties of classifying spaces

We end the section about classifying spaces on a quick listing of properties that will be needed in the main chapters. Let $H \subset G$ be topological groups. The action of $G$ on $E G$ restricts to an action of $H$.

## Proposition 2.35.

1. The inclusion $B H \hookrightarrow E G / H$ is an homotopy equivalence.
2. If $F$ is a space with a left $H$-action, then the inclusion $E H \times{ }_{H} F \hookrightarrow E G \times_{H} F$ is an homotopy equivalence.

Proof.

1. One can show that $E G \rightarrow E G / H$ is a principal $H$-bundle. Since $E G$ is contractible, it follows from Proposition 2.28 that $B H \simeq E G / H$.
2. The inclusion in question and $B H \hookrightarrow E G / H$ induce maps between the homotopy exact sequences of the bundles $F \hookrightarrow E H \times_{H} F \rightarrow B H$ and $F \hookrightarrow E G \times_{H} F \rightarrow E G / H$. Using the the previous point and the 5-lemma, the result follows.

The orbit space $G / H$ has a natural $G$-action given by left multiplication by elements of $G$. Then, one may consider the associated bundle $E G \times{ }_{G} G / H \rightarrow B G$.

Proposition 2.36. If $i: H \hookrightarrow G$ denotes the inclusion map, then the following diagram commutes:


Proof. By point 5 of Proposition 2.20,

$$
E G \times_{G} G / H \simeq E G / H
$$

The composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E G \times{ }_{G} G / H \rightarrow E G / H \rightarrow B G \\
& {[x, g H] \mapsto x \cdot g \quad \mapsto x g=x}
\end{aligned}
$$

is just the projection $E G \times_{G} G / H \rightarrow B G$. On the other hand, using the Milnor construction, one sees that the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
B H \hookrightarrow E G / H & \rightarrow B G \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} h_{i} & \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} h_{i}
\end{aligned}>\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} h_{i}
$$

is just $B i$. This translates into the commuting diagram

from which the result follows.

There is a particularly important special case of last proposition:
Corollary 2.37. Let $G$ be a topological group and $V$ be a vector space endowed with a left $G$-action. Fix an element $p \in V$ and denote by $I s o \subset G$ the isotropy group of $p$. Then $O(p)$ the orbit of $p$ is isomorphic to $G / I$ so and the following diagram commutes


Proposition 2.38. Let $G$ and $H$ be topological groups. Then,

$$
B(G \times H) \simeq B G \times B H
$$

Proof. This follows just from the fact that $E G \times E H \rightarrow B H \times B G$ is a principal $G \times H$ bundle and $E G \times E H$ is contractible.

Proposition 2.39. If $G$ is a discrete topological group, then $\pi_{1}(B G) \cong G$. Moreover, if $H$ is another discrete topological group and $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is a continuous homomorphism, then the following diagram commutes:


Proof. Since $G$ is discrete, the local triviality condition of the bundle $E G \rightarrow B G$ translates into the triviality condition of coverings. Hence, it is a covering. The action of $G$ on $E G$ makes it a subgroup of the Deck transformation group. Actually, given $f$ any automorphism of the covering and $x \in E G$, there is some $g \in G$ such that $x \cdot g=f(x)$ so, by the unique lifting property, $g$, as an automorphism, is equal to $f$. Thus, $G$ is, in fact, the Deck transformation group. Let us fix a point $x_{0} \in B G$ and a point $\tilde{x}_{0} \in E G$ over $x_{0}$ and write $\pi_{1}(B G)$ for $\pi_{1}\left(B G ; x_{0}\right)$. EG is contractible so Proposition 1.39 of [Hat02] implies that there is an isomorphism $\pi_{1}(B G) \rightarrow G$ sending each loop $[\gamma] \in \pi_{1}(B G)$ to the element $g$ that takes $\tilde{x}_{0} \in E G$ to $\tilde{\gamma}(1)$, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the lifting of $\gamma$ that begins in $\tilde{x_{0}}$. This proves the first statement.

The lifting of $B \phi \circ \gamma$ that begins in $E \phi\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right)$ is just $E \phi \circ$. The commutativity of the square will follow from the equality $E \phi\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right) \cdot \phi(g)=E \phi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(1)$. To prove this equality, write $\tilde{x}_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i}$. Then,

$$
E \phi \circ \tilde{\gamma}(1)=E \phi\left(\tilde{x}_{0} \circ g\right)=E \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} g_{i} g\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \phi\left(g_{i}\right) \phi(g)=E \phi\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right) \cdot \phi(g)
$$

### 2.3 Characteristic Classes

In this section, we restrict our attention to vector bundles. Characteristic classes are cohomology classes associated to vector bundles, invariant by isomorphisms. We will define and present some properties of three types of characteristic classes: Stiefel-Whitney classes, Euler classes and Chern classes.

### 2.3.1 Stiefel-Whitney Classes

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle with base space $B$. The Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\mathcal{E}$ are the only cohomology classes

$$
w_{i}(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{i}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)
$$

that satisfy the following four axioms.

## Axioms for Stiefel-Whitney Classes:

1. $w_{0}(\mathcal{E})=1$ and $w_{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $i>n$ if $\mathcal{E}$ has rank $n$.
2. If $\eta$ is a vector bundle with base $B^{\prime}$ and there exists a bundle map between $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ that induces $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, then

$$
w_{i}(\mathcal{E})=f^{*} w_{i}(\eta)
$$

3. If $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ are vector bundles with the same base space, then

$$
w_{i}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \eta)=\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{j}(\mathcal{E}) w_{i-j}(\eta)
$$

4. For the line bundle $\gamma^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}, w_{1}\left(\gamma^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.40. For each vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ there exists a unique set of cohomology classes that satisfy these four axioms.

Proof. See chapter 8 of [MS74].

For simplicity of notation, define the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$
w(\mathcal{E})=1+w_{1}(\mathcal{E})+\ldots+w_{n}(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{*}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)
$$

With this notation, the third axiom translates to the equality $w(\mathcal{E} \oplus \eta)=w(\mathcal{E}) w(\eta)$.

Proposition 2.41. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ denote vector bundles over the same base $B$ and $\tau$ the product vector bundle over $B$ (of some rank $n$ ). Then,

- If $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ are isomorphic, then $w(\mathcal{E})=w(\eta)$.
- $w(\tau)_{i}=0$ for $i>0$ and $w(\mathcal{E} \oplus \tau)=w(\mathcal{E})$.
- $w(\mathcal{E} \times \eta)=w(\mathcal{E}) \times w(\eta)$, where the $\times$ on the right denotes the cross-product of cohomology classes.

Proof. The first two items are simple applications of the axioms. For the third and details on the other, see chapter 4 of [MS74].

If one computes $w_{i}\left(\gamma^{n}\right)$ the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the universal bundle of $n$-vector bundles, then, by axiom 2, the classes of any vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow B$ are given by $f^{*} w_{i}\left(\gamma^{n}\right)$, where $f: B \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ is its classifying map. It is important then to understand the cohomology of $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$.

Proposition 2.42. Let $\mathbb{P}^{\infty}=G r_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ be the infinite projective space. Then,

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\infty} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[t]
$$

where $t=w_{1}\left(\gamma^{1}\right)$.

Proof. See Lemma 4.3 of [MS74].

The cohomology ring of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}\right)^{n}$ is then given by $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ where $t_{i}$ is the generator of the cohomology of the $i$-th factor in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}\right)^{n}$.

Proposition 2.43. Let $w_{i}$ denote the $i$-th Stiefel-Whitney class of $\gamma^{n} \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ and $j:\left(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}\right)^{n} \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ the canonical inclusion. Then the map

$$
H^{*}\left(G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}\right)^{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

is injective. Furthermore, it sends $w_{i}$ to $e_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}{ }^{3}$.

Proof. $\left(\gamma^{1}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}\right)^{n}$ is an $n$-vector bundle and $w\left(\left(\gamma^{1}\right)^{n}\right)=w\left(\gamma^{1}\right)^{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+t_{i}\right)$. Moreover, $j$ is covered by the bundle map

$$
\begin{aligned}
h:\left(\gamma^{1}\right)^{n} & \rightarrow \gamma^{n} \\
\left(x_{i}, v_{i}\right) & \mapsto\left(j\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), v_{1}+\ldots+v_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so $j^{*} w=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+t_{i}\right)$ and note that the term of degree $i$ in the product is $e_{i}$. This implies that the classes $w_{i}$ do not satisfy any polynomial relations. The injectivity of $j^{*}$ is then proved if one shows that they generate the cohomology of $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$. See Theorem 7.1 of [MS74] for the rest of the proof. These fact that $w_{i}$ do not satisfy any polynomial relations and generate the cohomology of $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ also prove the next theorem.

The variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ are called the Stiefel-Whitney roots.

Theorem 2.44. The cohomology ring of $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$ is given by

$$
H^{*}\left(G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]
$$

Remark 2.45. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]^{S_{n}}$ denote the algebra of symmetric polynomials in the Stiefel-Whitney roots. Proposition 2.43 implies that

$$
H^{*}\left(G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]^{S_{n}}
$$

### 2.3.2 Euler Class

For orientable vector bundles, there is an important characteristic class called the Euler class.

Definition 2.46. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be an $n$-vector bundle. For any $b \in B$, denote by $F_{b}$ the fibre over $b$. The bundle is said to be orientable if for each $F_{b}$ there is a choice of generator $\left.u_{b} \in H^{n}\left(F_{b}, F_{b} \backslash\{0\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ satisfying the following local compatibility condition: For each point of the base, there exists a neighborhood

[^2]$V \subset B$ and $u \in H^{n}\left(p^{-1}(V), p^{-1}(V) \backslash\{0\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that for every $b \in V$,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{n}\left(p^{-1}(V), p^{-1}(V) \backslash\{0\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & \rightarrow H^{n}\left(F_{b}, F_{b} \backslash\{0\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
u & \mapsto u_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

An orientation is a choice of generator for each fibre.
Theorem 2.47 (Thom Isomorphism Theorem). Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be an oriented n-vector bundle. Then, $H^{i}(E, E \backslash 0 ; \mathbb{Z})=0$ for $0<i<n$ and there exists a unique class

$$
u \in H^{n}(E, E \backslash 0 ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

such that for each $b \in B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{n}(E, E \backslash 0 ; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow H^{n}\left(F_{b}, F_{b} \backslash\{0\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
u & \mapsto u_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{b}$ is the chosen generator of $F_{b}$. Moreover, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{i}(E ; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow H^{i+n}(E, E \backslash 0 ; \mathbb{Z}) \\
a & \mapsto a \cup u
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. See chapter 10 of [MS74].
Remark 2.48. The class $u$ is usually denoted by $u^{E}$, the Thom class of $E$. Observe that the Thom class is functorial, meaning that if $h: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is a bundle map, then

$$
h^{*}\left(u^{E^{\prime}}\right)=u^{E} .
$$

This follows from the uniqueness properties of the Thom class and the fact that $h$ is an isomorphism on each fibre.

Definition 2.49. The Euler class of an oriented $n$-vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is the cohomology class

$$
e(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{n}(B ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

the image of $u^{E} \in H^{n}(E, E \backslash 0 ; \mathbb{Z})$ by the composition

$$
H^{n}(E, E \backslash 0 ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n}(E ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\left(p^{*}\right)^{-1}} H^{n}(B ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

Proposition 2.50. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ be oriented vector bundles over $B$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ an oriented vector bundle over some base $B^{\prime}$. Then,

- If there is an orientation preserving ${ }^{4}$ bundle map from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ that induces a map $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, then

$$
e(\mathcal{E})=f^{*} e\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- $e(\mathcal{E} \oplus \eta)=e(\mathcal{E}) e(\eta)$ and $e(\mathcal{E} \times \eta)=e(\mathcal{E}) \times e(\eta)$
- If $\mathcal{E}$ has a nowhere zero section, then $e(\mathcal{E})=0$.

Proof. These are Property 9.2, Property 9.6 and Property 9.7 of [MS74], respectively.
Remark 2.51. Note that the last property gives an interpretation of the Euler class as an obstruction to the existence of non vanishing sections of a vector bundle.

The projection $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ induces a restriction of coefficients $H^{*}(-; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(-; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.
Proposition 2.52. Under this restriction, $e(\mathcal{E}) \mapsto w_{n}(\mathcal{E})$.
Proof. See Property 9.5 of [MS74].

Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ define an oriented $n$-vector bundle, denote by $p_{0}: E_{0} \rightarrow B$ the restriction of $p$ to $E_{0}$ and let $e$ denote the Euler class of the bundle. $e$ appears in a long exact sequence of cohomology groups:

Theorem 2.53 (Gysin Sequence). One can associate to $p: E \rightarrow B$ a long exact sequence of the form

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{i}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup_{e}} H^{i+n}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_{0}^{*}} H^{i+n}\left(E_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Where $\cup e$ is the map that sends $x \in H^{i}(B ; \mathbb{Z})$ to $x \cup e$.
Proof. See Theorem 12.2 in [MS74].

### 2.3.3 Chern Classes

Definition 2.54. A complex vector bundle of complex rank $n$ is a fibre bundle with fibre $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and structure group $G I(n ; \mathbb{C})$ with the action of $A \in G I(n ; \mathbb{C})$ on $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by the matrix product $A v$.

Example 2.55. Similar to the real case, one has $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ the grassmannian of complex $n$-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$,

$$
r^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)=\left\{(P, v) \in G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \mid v \in P\right\}
$$

and $\gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ defines a complex $n$-vector bundle.
Example 2.56. Moreover, $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ is the grassmannian of complex $n$-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}, \gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ is defined analogously and $\gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ classifies complex $n$-vector bundles. Hence, $B G L(n ; \mathbb{C}) \simeq G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$. Furthermore, $U(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G L(n ; \mathbb{C})$, so $U(n) \simeq G L(n ; \mathbb{C})$ and, as with Example 2.34, one has $B O(n) \simeq B G L(n ; \mathbb{C})$.

[^3]With the usual identification $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\left(x+i y=(x, y)\right.$ for $\left.x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, one can see $G I(n ; \mathbb{C})$ as a subgroup of $G I(2 n ; \mathbb{R})$ under the identification

$$
A+i B \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & -B \\
B & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

$A, B \in G I(n ; \mathbb{R})$

Now let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex $n$-vector bundle. Under these identifications, $\mathcal{E}$ is also a real $2 n$-vector bundle. Denote it by $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Lemma 2.57. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a complex vector bundle, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is orientable and has a canonical choice for orientation. Proof. See Lemma 14.1 of [MS74].

Just as real vector bundles have associated characteristic classes satisfying certain axioms, complex vector bundles have also characteristic classes, now with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. So let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex vector bundle with complex dimension $n$ and base space $B$. The Chern Classes of $\mathcal{E}$ are cohomology classes

$$
c_{i}(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{2 i}(B ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

that satisfy the following four axioms:

## Axioms for Chern Classes:

1. $c_{0}(\mathcal{E})=1$ and $c_{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $i>n$.
2. If $\eta$ is a complex vector bundle with base $B^{\prime}$ and there exists a bundle map between $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ that induces $f: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, then

$$
c_{i}(\mathcal{E})=f^{*} c_{i}(\eta) .
$$

3. Let $c(\mathcal{E})=1+c_{1}(\mathcal{E})+\ldots+c_{n}(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\eta$ be a complex vector bundle also with base $B$. Then,

$$
c(\mathcal{E} \oplus \eta)=c(\mathcal{E}) c(\eta) .
$$

4. For the complex line bundle $\gamma^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}, c_{1}\left(\gamma^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is a generator of $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Theorem 2.58. For each complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ there exists a canonical choice of cohomology classes that satisfy these four axioms.

Proof. One can construct the Chern classes in several different ways. In chapter 14 of [MS74] for instance, these are constructed using the Euler class associated to the canonical orientation. See Remark 2.63 for another possibility.

Proposition 2.59. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex vector bundle of complex dimension $n$, with base $B$. Then,

1. $c_{n}(\mathcal{E})=e(\mathcal{E})$.
2. The restriction of coefficients $H^{*}(-; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(-; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ sends $c(\mathcal{E})$ to $w\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$.

If $\eta$ is another complex vector bundle over $B$ and $\tau$ the product complex vector bundle over $B$ of some dimension. Then,
3. If $\mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ are isomorphic, then $c(\mathcal{E})=c(\eta)$.
4. $c(\mathcal{E} \oplus \tau)=c(\mathcal{E})$.
5. $c(\mathcal{E} \times \eta)=c(\mathcal{E}) \times c(\eta)$.

Proof. Point 1. follows immediately from the construction in [MS74]. By Proposition 2.52 and point 1., point 2. follows for $c_{n}(\mathcal{E})$. For the lower classes, one uses induction on the complex dimension of the bundle and their definition in chapter 14 of [MS74]. Point 3. is obvious from axiom 2 and point 4 . is Lemma 14.3 in [MS74]. To prove point 5., one can use the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 14.8 in [MS74].

Similarly to the real case, one has
Proposition 2.60. Let $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{\infty}=G r_{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ be the infinite complex projective space. Then,

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{\infty} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[x]
$$

where $x=c_{1}\left(\gamma^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)\right)$.
Proof. Follows from Theorem 14.5 in [MS74].
Theorem 2.61. Let $c_{i}$ denote the $i$-the Chern class of $\gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$. The cohomology ring of $G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ is given by

$$
H^{*}\left(G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]
$$

Proof. See Theorem 14.5 of [MS74].
Proposition 2.62. Let $j: \mathbb{C P}^{\infty} \rightarrow G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ be the canonical inclusion. Then the map

$$
H^{*}\left(G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(\mathbb{C P}^{\infty}\right)^{n} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

is injective. Furthermore, it sends $c_{i}$ to $e_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Proof. The proof of the second statement follows as in the case of Stiefel-Whitney classes. The injectivity part follows from this fact and the previous theorem.

The variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are called the chern roots.

Remark 2.63. To construct the Chern classes, one could also prove first that $H^{*}(B U(n))$ is a polynomial ring in $n$ variables $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ with $c_{i} \in H^{2 i}(B U(n))$ as in Theorem 5.5 in [MT91]. Then, define $c_{i}\left(\gamma^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)\right)=c_{i}$ and use the universality of this bundle to define the chern classes of any bundle $\mathcal{E}$ as $c_{i}(\mathcal{E})=f^{*} c_{i}$ where $f$ is its classifying map.

Remark 2.64. Let $\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{S_{n}}$ denote the algebra of symmetric polynomials in the Chern roots. Proposition 2.62 implies that

$$
H^{*}\left(G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{S_{n}}
$$

We now know the cohomology of $B U(n) \simeq G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\infty}\right)$ and $B O(n) \simeq G r_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}\right)$. Note that $O(n) \subset U(n)$ and, under the identification $G L(n ; \mathbb{C}) \subset G L(2 n ; \mathbb{R})$, one has $U(n)=O(2 n) \cap G L(n ; \mathbb{C})$, so $U(n) \subset O(2 n)$. The maps induced by these inclusions are computed in the following propositions:

Proposition 2.65. Consider $H^{*}\left(B O(n) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$ and $H^{*}\left(B U(n) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]$, where $c_{i}$ denotes the reduction of the $i$-th Chern class to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ coefficients. The inclusion $O(n) \subset U(n)$ induces the map in cohomology

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(B U(n) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) & \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B O(n) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \\
c_{i} & \mapsto w_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See Theorem 5.11 (1) of [MT91].

Proposition 2.66. The inclusion $U(n) \subset O(2 n)$ induces

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(B O(2 n) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) & \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B U(n) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \\
w_{2 i} & \mapsto c_{i} \\
w_{2 i-1} & \mapsto 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See Theorem 3.5.11 (2) of [MT91].

One can also ask whether the inclusions $O(n) \times O(m) \hookrightarrow O(n+m)$ and $U(n) \times U(m) \hookrightarrow U(n+m)$ yield easy relations between characteristic classes. And indeed,

Proposition 2.67. These inclusions induce in cohomology the following maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}(B O(n+m)) & \rightarrow H^{*}(B O(m) \times B O(n)) \\
w_{i} & \mapsto \sum_{j+k=i} w_{j} \times w_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}(B U(n+m)) & \rightarrow H^{*}(B U(m) \times B U(n)) \\
c_{i} & \mapsto \sum_{j+k=i} c_{j} \times c_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See Theorems 3.5.11 (3) and 5.8 (3) of [MT91].

### 2.4 Schur Polynomials

It turns out that the characteristic classes that we will compute in the next chapter can be written as Schur polynomials in the Stiefel-Whitney roots $t_{i}$.

Definition 2.68. A partition of length $n$ (or an n-partition) of a non-negative integer $k$ is a tuple $\lambda=$ ( $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ ) with $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{n}=k$. If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ and $\delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ are two partitions of the same length, then $\lambda+\delta=\left(\lambda_{1}+\delta_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}+\delta_{n}\right)$. If $\lambda$ is a partition of length $n \leq m$, its associated partition of length $m$ is $\tilde{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, 0, \ldots 0\right)$.

A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ can be represented through a Ferrers diagram. This is a diagram of dots with $n$ rows and $\lambda_{i}$ dots on the $i$-th row. For example, the Ferrers diagram for the partition $\lambda=(4,3,1)$ is

Definition 2.69. The conjugate of a partition $\lambda$ is the partition $\lambda^{\prime}$ obtained from $\lambda$ by transposing its Ferrers diagram.

Definition 2.70. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a tuple of non-negative integers. The alternant $a_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the polynomial

$$
a_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} & \cdots & x_{1}^{\alpha_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} & \cdots & x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Definition 2.71 (Schur Polynomial). Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and $\delta$ be the partition ( $n-1, n-$ $2, \ldots, 1,0$ ). For a partition $\lambda$ of length $\leq n$, the Schur polynomial $s_{\lambda}$ of $\lambda$ in $n$ variables is the polynomial

$$
s_{\lambda}=\frac{a_{\tilde{\lambda}+\delta}}{a_{\delta}}
$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the partition of length $n$ associated to $\lambda$.
Remark 2.72. $s_{\lambda}$ is a symmetric polynomial. It is symmetric because it is a quotient of alternants, which are alternating polynomials. Theorem 2.74 gives another possible definition for $s_{\lambda}$, one for which it is clear that $s_{\lambda}$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.73. The $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in $n$ variables is the polynomial

$$
e_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{n}=i \\ j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} \leq 1}} x_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{j_{n}}
$$

The polynomials $e_{i}$ with negative $i$ or $i>n$ are defined to be 0 .

Theorem 2.74 (Second Jacobi-Trudi Formula). Let $\lambda$ be a partition of length $\leq n$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ its conjugate. Then,

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(e_{\lambda_{i}^{\prime}+j-i}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
e_{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}} & e_{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+1} & \cdots & e_{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+n-1} \\
e_{\lambda_{2}^{\prime}-1} & e_{\lambda_{2}^{\prime}} & \cdots & e_{\lambda_{2}^{\prime}+n-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
e_{\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-n+1} & e_{\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-n+2} & \cdots & e_{\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. See formula 3.5 in Chapter I. 3 of [Mac99].

An important special case is the Schur polynomial of $\delta=(n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1,0)$.

## Proposition 2.75.

$$
s_{\delta}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
e_{n-1} & e_{n} & \cdots & e_{2 n-2}  \tag{2.2}\\
e_{n-3} & e_{n-2} & \cdots & e_{2 n-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
e_{-n+1} & e_{-n+2} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)
$$

Proof. A proof can be found in [gri].

### 2.5 Homotopy Pushouts

Another construction that will be useful in the subsequent chapters is the notion of a homotopy pushout. We will consider certain decompositions of spaces (called stratifications) and homotopy pushouts describe the way in which the pieces are glued into the whole space.

Definition 2.76. Consider maps $X \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} Z \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} Y$. The double mapping cylinder of $f$ and $g$ is the quotient space

$$
M(f, g)=\frac{X \sqcup Z \times I \sqcup Y}{(z, 0) \sim f(z) \quad(z, 1) \sim g(z)}
$$

There are canonical inclusions

$$
i_{X}: X \rightarrow M(f, g), \quad i_{Y}: Y \rightarrow M(f, g)
$$

and a canonical homotopy $h: Z \times I \rightarrow M(f, g)$ between $i_{X} \circ f$ and $i_{Y} \circ g$ making the following square homotopy commutatitve:


This square is called the standard homotopy pushout of $f$ and $g$.

Given any other homotopy commutative square

one can construct a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{H}: M(f, g) & \rightarrow W \\
x & \mapsto h(x) \\
y & \mapsto k(y) \\
(z, t) & \mapsto H(z, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.77. A homotopy commutative square

is said to be a homotopy pushout is there exists a homotopy $H: Z \times I \rightarrow W$ between $h \circ f$ and $k \circ g$ such that $\theta_{H}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Homotopy pushouts are invariant under homotopies:

Proposition 2.78. If the diagram

homotopy commutes and the vertical maps are equivalences, then the top square is a homotopy pushout if and only if the bottom square is a homotopy pushout.

Proof. See Proposition 6.3.2 of [Ark11].

Let us present some properties of homotopy pushouts that will be needed later.
Theorem 2.79. Let

be a homotopy pushout, $f$ an n-equivalence and $g$ an m-equivalence. Then, $k$ is an $(n+m)$-equivalence.
Proof. One can assume that $W=M(f, g)$. With this, it is clear that the following diagram homotopy commutes:


Then the result is a direct application of the homotopy excision theorem (Theorem 4.23 in [Hat02]) on the bottom square. Indeed, the bottom square is a pushout and the connectedness of the maps on this square is the same as the one of the maps on top.

Theorem 2.80. Let the following strictly commutative square

be a pushout. If $f(o r g)$ is a cofibration, then the square is a homotopy pushout.
Proof. See Proposition 6.2.6 of [Ark11].

Example 2.81. Let $N$ be a manifold, $M \subset N$ a closed submanifold and $U \subset N$ an open tubular neighborhood of $M$. Then,

is a pushout. If $V \subset \bar{V} \subset U$ is a smaller tubular neighbourhood of $M$,

is also a pushout and, furthermore, $i: \bar{V} \backslash M \rightarrow N \backslash M$ defines an NDR-pair. Indeed, $\bar{V} \backslash M$ is closed and a deformation retract of an open neighbourhood $U \backslash M$. Hence, $i$ is a cofibration and thus, this last square is a homotopy pushout. Using the following diagram, Proposition 2.78 implies that the first square is also a homotopy pushout.


Proposition 2.82. A homotopy pushout

induces a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{*}(W) \xrightarrow{\left(h^{*}, k^{*}\right)} H^{*}(X) \oplus H^{*}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^{*}-g^{*}} H^{*}(Z) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(W) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Proof. The square can be assumed to be a standard homotopy pushout. Then, $W=U \cup V$, where $U$ is an open neighborhood of $X$ that deformation retracts onto $X, V$ is an open neighborhood of $Y$ that deformation retracts onto $Y$ and $U \cap V \cong I \times Z$. Then, the sequence of the statement is the Mayer-Vietoris cohomology sequence associated to this decomposition of $W$.

### 2.6 Locally Trivial Stratifications

Many times, a manifold is best understood by partitioning it into submanifolds in a controlled way. One way to obtain such a partition is through locally trivial stratifications.

Definition 2.83. Let $M$ be a manifold, $K=\{0, \ldots, n\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, for some integer $n$, and $\left\{F_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ a family of closed subsets of $M$ totally ordered by inclusion.

$$
F_{0} \subset F_{1} \subset F_{n}=M
$$

This family is said to be a finite stratification if, for each $k \in K$, the space $R_{k}=F_{k} \backslash F_{k-1}$ is an embedded submanifold of $M$. The submanifolds $R_{k}$ are called the strata of the filtration.

The stratification is said to satisfy the frontier condition if the strata $R_{k}$ satisfy the following property:

$$
R_{j} \cap \overline{R_{k}} \neq \varnothing \Longrightarrow R_{j} \subset \overline{R_{k}}
$$

Definition 2.84. Let $M$ be a manifold with a stratification $\left\{F_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}, N$ a manifold with a stratification $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$. A diffeomorphism of stratifications is a diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that $f\left(F_{k}\right)=\mathcal{G}_{k}$.

Definition 2.85. Let $M$ be a manifold and $\left\{F_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ be a finite stratification. The stratification is said to be locally trivial if for each $k \in K$ and $x \in R_{k}=F_{k} \backslash F_{k-1}$, there is an open neighborhood $V \subset M$ of $x$, a stratified manifold $U$ and a diffeomorphism of stratifications

$$
\phi: V \rightarrow\left(V \cap R_{k}\right) \times U
$$

Here, if $\left\{G_{k^{\prime}}\right\}_{k^{\prime} \in K}$ is the stratification of $U$, then $\left\{\left(V \cap R_{k}\right) \times G_{k^{\prime}}\right\}_{k^{\prime} \in K}$ is the stratification of $\left(V \cap R_{k}\right) \times U$.

We will use two ways of constructing stratifications out of old ones. The first one, introduces a stratification on the total space of a bundle from a stratification on the typical fibre. The second, introduces a stratification on the domain $M$ of a map $s: M \rightarrow N$ out of a stratification $\left\{F_{k}\right\}$ on $N$, if $s$ is transversal to the stratification $\left\{F_{k}\right\}$.

Proposition 2.86. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ define a fibre bundle with fibre $F$ and suppose $F$ has a locally trivial stratification $\left\{F_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}$ that is preserved by the action of the structure group. Then, $E$ has a locally trivial Stratification given by $\left\{\bigcup_{x \in B}\left(F_{k}\right)_{x}\right\}_{k \in K}$.

Proof. For each $k \in K$, let $R_{k}=F_{k} \backslash F_{k-1}, G_{k}=\bigcup_{x \in B}\left(F_{k}\right)_{x}$ and $S_{k}=G_{k} \backslash G_{k-1}$. Fix $k \in K$ and $x \in S_{k}$ There is an open neighborhood $W \subset B$ of $x$ and a diffeomorphism $\psi_{1}: p^{-1}(W) \rightarrow W \times F$ (since the action of the structure group is stratification preserving, $\psi_{1}$ is also stratification preserving). Let $\psi_{1}(x)=(b, f)$. Then, there exists an open neighborhood $\tilde{V} \subset F$ of $f$, a stratified manifold $U$ and a stratification preserving diffeomorphism $\psi_{2}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow\left(\tilde{V} \cap R_{k}\right) \times U$. Then, defining $V=\psi_{1}^{-1}(W \times \tilde{V})$, the following composition is the
desired stratification preserving diffeomorphism $\phi: V \rightarrow\left(V \cap S_{k}\right) \times U$ :

$$
V \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}} W \times \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{i d_{W} \times \psi_{2}} \underbrace{W \times\left(\tilde{V} \cap R_{k}\right)}_{=\psi_{1}\left(V \cap S_{k}\right)} \times U \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}^{-1} \times i d_{U}}\left(V \cap S_{k}\right) \times U
$$

Proposition 2.87. Let $s: M \rightarrow N$ be a map of manifolds and $\left\{F_{k}\right\} \subset N$ a locally trivial stratification of $N$. If $s$ is transversal to each strata $F_{k} \backslash F_{k-1}$, then the family $\left\{s^{-1}\left(F_{k}\right)\right\}$ is a locally trivial stratification of $M$.

Proof. Let $m$ be the dimension of $M$. Given $k$, let us use the notation $R_{k}=F_{k} \backslash F_{k-1}$ and $S_{k}=s^{-1}\left(F_{k} \backslash F_{k-1}\right)$. Since $s$ is transversal to $R_{k}$, it follows that $S_{k}$ is an embedded submanifold of $M$, so $\left\{s^{-1}\left(F_{k}\right)\right\}$ forms a stratification of $M$. Let us now show that it is locally trivial.

Fix $k \in K$ and $x \in S_{k}$. There exists $V^{\prime} \subset N$ an open neighborhood of $s(x), U^{\prime}$ a stratified manifold and a stratification preserving diffeomorphism $\psi: V^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(V^{\prime} \cap R_{k}\right) \times U^{\prime}$. Let $d$ be the dimension of $U^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{2}:\left(V^{\prime} \cap R_{k}\right) \times U^{\prime} \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ be the canonical projection. Then, as $s$ is transversal to $R_{k}$, it follows that the following composition, denoted by $\phi_{2}$, is a submersion at $x$ :

$$
s^{-1}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{s} V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\psi}\left(V^{\prime} \cap R_{k}\right) \times U^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} U^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, there exists $V \subset s^{-1}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ an open neighborhood of $x, U \subset U^{\prime}$ an open neighborhood of $\phi_{2}(x)$ and local coordinates $\alpha_{V}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\beta_{U}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $\beta_{U} \circ \phi_{2} \circ \alpha_{V}^{-1}$ is the projection given by

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{m-d+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)
$$

In the coordinates given by $\alpha_{V}$, points in $V \cap S_{k}$ are written as $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-d}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Let $\phi_{1}: V \rightarrow V \cap S_{k}$ be the map written in the coordinates given by $\alpha_{V}$ as

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-d}\right)
$$

Then, the following map is a diffeomorphism of stratifications:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: V & \rightarrow\left(V \cap S_{k}\right) \times U \\
x & \mapsto\left(\phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Locally trivial stratifications satisfying the frontier condition admit triangulations:
Theorem 2.88. Given $\left\{F_{k}\right\}$ a locally trivial stratification of a manifold $M$, such that $\left\{F_{k}\right\}$ satisfies the frontier condition, there is a triangulation of $M$ with each $F_{k}$ a subcomplex.

Proof. It is easy to prove that every locally trivial stratification satisfying the frontier condition is a Whitney stratification (see the beginning of section 5 in page 480 of [Mat12] for the definition of Whitney stratification).

In page 491 of [Mat12], after Definition 8.2, the author shows that Whitney stratifications are Thom-Mather stratifications (see Definition 8.1 of [Mat12] for the definition). The result then follows from the fact that Thom-Mather stratifications admit triangulations (see Proposition 5 of [Gor78]).

For stratifications $\left\{F_{k}\right\}$ where $\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{k}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{k-1}\right) \geq 2$, Theorem 2.88 in particular implies that $F_{k}$ has a well defined homology class $\left[F_{k}\right] \in H^{*}(M)$. The next theorem (applied with $K=F_{k}$ and $L=\bigcup_{l<k} F_{l}$ ) gives a description of the Poincaré dual of $\left[F_{k}\right]$.

Theorem 2.89. Suppose $M$ is a compact orientable m-manifold and $L \subset K \subset M$ are compact subsets such that $K \backslash L$ is an orientable submanifold of dimension $k$ and $L$ is a union of submanifolds of dimensions $\leq k-2$. Suppose further that there exists a triangulation of $K$ with $L$ a subcomplex. Then, $K$ has a well defined homology class $[K] \in H_{k}(M)$ and its Poincaré dual is the unique class in $H^{m-k}(M)$ whose restriction to $H^{m-k}(M \backslash L)$ is the Thom class of the normal bundle of $K \backslash L$ in $M \backslash L$.

Proof. Let $V$ be an open neighborhood of $L$ in $K$ that deformation retracts onto $L$. The sum of $k$-simplices of the triangulation of $K$ generates $H_{k}(K, V) \cong H_{k}(K, L)$.
Since $L$ is a union of manifolds of dimensions $\leq k-2$, the map $H_{k}(K) \rightarrow H_{k}(K, L)$ is an isomorphism, so the sum of $k$-simplices is a generator of $H_{k}(K)$ - it is the fundamental class. The image of this fundamental class in $H_{k}(M)$ is [ $K$ ]. Now let $j: M \backslash L \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion map and let $U$ be a tubular neighborhood of $K \backslash L$ in $M \backslash L$.


Here, both instances of $D$ denote duality maps, the one on the right being a relative version of duality proved in Theorem 6.2.17 of [Spa66]. Since the codimension of $L$ in $M$ is greater than $m-k+1$, the top map is an isomorphism. Lastly, the fundamental class in $H_{k}(K, L)$ is mapped to the Thom class in $H^{m-k}(U, U \backslash(K \backslash L))$ because $D$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, the dual of [ $K$ ] is the unique class in $H^{m-k}(M)$ that restricts to the (image of the) Thom class in $H^{m-k}(M \backslash L)$.

## Chapter 3

## Degeneracy Loci of 2-forms

### 3.1 Introduction

Let $M$ be a compact $2 m$-manifold and consider the vector bundle $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow M$ of 2 -forms over $M$. Given a generic section $s$ of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ and an integer $k \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, the degeneracy locus of points $x \in M$ where $\operatorname{rank}(s(x)) \leq 2 k$ gives rise to an homology class. The purpose of this chapter is to compute the Poincaré dual of this homology class, following the methods of M. Kazarian in [Kaz06] and of L. M. Fehér and R. Rimányi in [FR04].

The chapter starts by studying the typical fibre $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ and the properties of the following spaces:

$$
R_{k}=\left\{\omega \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\omega)=2 k\right\} \subset \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}
$$

In section 2.3, we define the Thom polynomials as cohomological obstructions. Then, in section 2.5, we compute those cohomological obstructions and show that they are indeed the Poincaré duals of the homology classes of the degeneracy loci. We finish the chapter by computing the Poincaré dual of such a class in a specific example.

### 3.2 The Homogeneous Spaces $R_{k}$ and their Normal Bundles

Consider the vector space $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ endowed with the action of $G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) \times \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} & \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}  \tag{3.1}\\
(A, \omega) & \mapsto A^{*} \omega
\end{align*}
$$

And define $R_{k}=\left\{\omega \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\omega)=2 k\right\}$.

Proposition 3.1. The sets $\left\{R_{k}\right\}_{k=0, \ldots, m}$ are the orbits of action (3.1).
Proof. Given $\omega \in R_{k}$, denote by $O(\omega)$ the orbit of $\omega$. For any $A \in G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}), \operatorname{rank}\left(A^{*} \omega\right)=\operatorname{rank}(\omega)$, so $O(\omega) \subset R_{k}$. The other inclusion follows from the general fact that, for any 2-form $\sigma \in R_{k}$, there exists a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ such that $\sigma$ is represented by

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2 k} & 0  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & 0_{2(m-k)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
0 & I_{2 k} & 0 \\
-I_{2 k} & 0 & \\
\hline 0 & 0_{2(m-k)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ be such a basis for $\omega$. Given any other $\omega^{\prime} \in R_{k}$, let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ such that $\omega^{\prime}$ is represented by $J$. Define $A \in G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ by $A\left(e_{i}\right)=f_{i}$. Then, $\omega^{\prime}=A^{*} \omega$ and $R_{k} \subset O(\omega)$.

Proposition 3.2. $\overline{R_{k}}=\bigcup_{j \leq k} R_{j}=\left\{\omega \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\omega) \leq 2 k\right\}$
Proof. Pick a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$. A form $\omega$, represented in this basis by a matrix $J$, has rank $\leq 2 k$ if and only if all $(2 k+1) \times(2 k+1)$ minors of $J$ are zero. Hence the set $\left\{\omega \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\omega) \leq 2 k\right\}$ is closed. This set obviously contains $R_{k}$ so it also contains $\overline{R_{k}}$.

Now, given some form $\sigma \in\left\{\omega \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\omega) \leq 2 k\right\}$ of rank $2 k^{\prime} \leq 2 k$, pick a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ such that $\sigma$ is represented by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 k^{\prime} \times 2 k^{\prime}}(\mathbb{R})$ is skew-symmetric and non-singular. Consider a sequence $\sigma_{n} \in R_{k}$ given, in the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$, by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{n} M_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) \times 2\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)}(\mathbb{R})$ is also skew-symmetric and non-singular. As $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow \sigma$, we have $\sigma \in \overline{R_{k}}$.

Note that both $\overline{R_{k}}$ and $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}$ are invariant under the action (3.1). Proposition 3.1 implies that each $R_{k}$ is an immersed submanifold of $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ and Proposition 3.2 says that the closures $\overline{R_{k}}$ form a stratification satisfying the frontier condition.

$$
\overline{R_{0}} \subset \overline{R_{1}} \subset \cdots \subset \overline{R_{m}}=\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}
$$

The next theorem improves these results.

Theorem 3.3. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=2(m-k) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{k}$ is an embedded submanifold of $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ of codimension $\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{k}^{2}-d_{k}\right)$. Furthermore, the stratification $\left\{\overline{R_{k}}\right\}_{k=0, \ldots, m}$ is locally trivial.

Proof. Fix $k \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$ and $\omega \in R_{k}$. Pick a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ such that $\omega$ is represented by $J$, as in (3.2). Take a neighborhood $U \subset \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ of $\omega$ such that every form $\sigma \in U$ is written in $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ as

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
-B^{T} & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $A \in \mathcal{M}_{2 k \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R})$ skew-symmetric and non-singular, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{2 k \times 2(m-k)}(\mathbb{R})$ and $C \in \mathcal{M}_{2(m-k) \times 2(m-k)}(\mathbb{R})$ skew-symmetric. Multiplying $G$ on the right by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & -A^{-1} B \\ 0 & I\end{array}\right)$ gives

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
-B^{T} & B^{T} A^{-1} B+C
\end{array}\right)
$$

The rank of this matrix is given by $2\left(k+k^{\prime}\right)$ with $k^{\prime}$ equal to the rank of $B^{T} A^{-1} B+C$. Note that $B^{T} A^{-1} B+C$ is a $2(m-k) \times 2(m-k)$ skew-symmetric matrix, so it represents a 2-form in $\mathbb{R}^{2(m-k)}$, in the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=2 k+1, \ldots, 2 m}$. Consider the stratification of $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2(m-k)}\right)^{*}$ given by $\left\{\overline{R_{k}^{\prime}}\right\}_{k=0, \ldots, m-k}$ with

$$
R_{k}^{\prime}=\left\{\omega \in \wedge^{2} \mathbb{R}^{2(m-k)} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\omega)=2 k\right\}
$$

Then, the map $f$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 k}\right)^{*} \cap G L(2 k ; \mathbb{R})\right) \times \mathcal{M}_{2 k \times 2(m-k)}(\mathbb{R}) \times \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2(m-k)}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{f} U \subset \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \\
(A, B, X) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
-B^{T} & X+B^{T} A^{-1} B
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a diffeomorphism of stratifications, as it satisfies the property: $X$ has rank $2 k^{\prime}$ if and only if $f(A, B, X)$ has rank $2\left(k+k^{\prime}\right)$.

This proves that $\left\{\overline{R_{k}}\right\}$ is a locally trivial stratification and $R_{k}$ is embedded. Its dimension is $\frac{1}{2} 2 k(2 k-$ $1)+(2 k) 2(m-k)+\frac{1}{2} 2(m-k)(2(m-k)-1)$. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} 2 m(2 m-1)-\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{k}^{2}-d_{k}\right)
$$

Fix an element $\omega \in R_{k}$ and denote by $I s o(k)$ the isotropy group of the action (3.1) at $\omega$. Consider the bijective smooth immersion $\phi$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) / I s o(k) & \xrightarrow{\phi} R_{k} \\
A & \mapsto A \cdot \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\phi$ makes $R_{k}$ an immersed submanifold of $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ but, since $R_{k}$ is embedded, the smooth structure induced by $\phi$ must be the same as the one defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3. To better understand $R_{k} \cong G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) / I \operatorname{so}(k)$, let us compute $I \operatorname{so}(k)$ :

Theorem 3.4. $\operatorname{Iso}(k) \cong(S p(2 k ; \mathbb{R}) \times G L(2(m-k) ; \mathbb{R})) \ltimes \mathcal{M}_{2(m-k) \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\operatorname{Sp}(2 k) \times G L(2(m-k) ; \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{2(m-k) \times 2 k}$ in the natural way.

Proof. Pick a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ such that $\omega$ is represented by $J$, as in (3.2). Represent also the elements of $G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ by matrices using the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$. Then $I s o(k)$ is composed of non-singular $2 m \times 2 m$ matrices $A$ such that $A^{T} J A=J$. Decompose $A$ in blocks as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A_{1}$ is $2 k \times 2 k$ and the dimensions of the other blocks are determined by those of $A_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{T} J A=J & \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{T} & A_{3}^{T} \\
A_{2}^{T} & A_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2 k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2 k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{T} J_{2 k} A_{1} & A_{1}^{T} J_{2 k} A_{2} \\
A_{2}^{T} J_{2 k} A_{1} & A_{2}^{T} J_{2 k} A_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2 k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equality implies $A_{1} \in S p(2 k ; \mathbb{R})$ and $A_{2}=0$. Since $A$ must be non-singular, $A_{4} \in G L(2(m-k) ; \mathbb{R})$. One can thus form a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2(m-k) \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{f} I s o(k) \xrightarrow{g} S p(2 k ; \mathbb{R}) \times G L(2(m-k) ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
f\left(A_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
A_{3} & I
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(A_{1}, A_{4}\right)
$$

Moreover, the inclusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
S p(2 k ; \mathbb{R}) \times G L(2(m-k) ; \mathbb{R}) & \hookrightarrow I s o(k) \\
\left(A_{1}, A_{4}\right) & \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provides a right inverse to $g$, so (3.4) splits and $I s o(k)$ is the semidirect product stated in the theorem.

Now let $g$ be an $O(2 m)$-invariant metric on $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ and let $N R_{k} \rightarrow R_{k}$ be the normal bundle of $R_{k}$ with respect to $g$. It is a codim $\left(R_{k}\right)$-vector bundle. $N R_{k} \backslash R_{k} \rightarrow R_{k}$ is the bundle, with fibre homotopy equivalent to $S^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-1}$, obtained by removing the zero section from $N R_{k}$. Using the riemannian exponential map, one can see $N R_{k}$ as a tubular neighborhood $U$ of $R_{k}$ inside the open submanifold $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}$. Under the identification $N R_{k} \cong U, \pi: N R_{k} \rightarrow R_{k}$ can be seen as a retraction of $U$ onto $R_{k}$. In the following, we will often use the notation $N R_{k}$ to mean both the normal bundle and the tubular neighborhood and also denote by $\pi$ both the bundle projection and the retraction.

Proposition 3.5. $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}$ is the homotopy pushout of $R_{k}{ }^{\pi} \leftarrow N R_{k} \backslash R_{k} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}$.

Proof. Denote by $U=N R_{k}$ the tubular neighborhood of $R_{k}$ and consider the pushout


By Example 2.81, this is also a homotopy pushout. Hence, using the equivalences

and Proposition 2.78, the square

is a homotopy pushout.

Remark 3.6. $G L(2 m, \mathbb{R})$ and $I s o(k)$ are semisimple Lie groups ${ }^{1}$ so they deformation retract to their maximal compact subgroups (Theorem 2 in [Mos49]). Let us denote by $G^{c}$ the maximal compact subgroup of a given Lie group $G$. So $G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})^{c}=O(2 m)$ and, since $\operatorname{Sp}(2 k) \cap O(2 k) \cong U(k)$, one has $I$ so $(k)^{c}=$ $I s o(k) \cap O(2 m) \cong U(k) \times O(2(m-k))$.
Define also $R_{k}^{c}:=O(2 m) / I s o(k)^{c}$. Using the homotopy equivalences $G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) \simeq O(2 m)$ and $I s o(k) \simeq$ $I s o(k)^{c}$, the homotopy long exact sequence of the bundles $I s o(k) \hookrightarrow G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow R_{k}$ and $I s o(k)^{c} \hookrightarrow$ $O(2 m) \rightarrow R_{k}^{c}$ and the 5-lemma, one checks that $R_{k} \simeq R_{k}^{c}$.

[^4]Recall that $I s o(k)$ is the isotropy group of a fixed $\omega \in R_{k}$. Consider the following action of $O(2 m)$ on $T_{\omega} \wedge^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \cdot v=(d A)_{\omega} v \quad \forall A \in O(2 m), \quad v \in T_{\omega} \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(d A)_{\omega}: T_{\omega} \wedge^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \rightarrow T_{A^{*} \omega} \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ is the differential of the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} & \xrightarrow{A} \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \\
\sigma & \mapsto A^{*} \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $(d A)_{\omega}$ sends vectors in $T_{\omega} R_{k}$ to vectors in $T_{A^{*} \omega} R_{k}$ so, by invariance of the metric $g,(d A)_{\omega}$ also sends vectors in $\left(T_{\omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$ to vectors in $\left(T_{A^{*} \omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$. In particular, if $A \in I s o(k)^{c}$ then $(d A)_{\omega}$ sends vectors in $\left(T_{\omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$ to vectors in $\left(T_{\omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$ so if we restrict to elements $A \in I s o(k)^{c}$ and $v \in\left(T_{\omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$, then formula (3.6) yields an action of $I s o(k)^{c}$ on $\left(T_{\omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $V_{k}:=\left(T_{\omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$. Then one has the following diagram:


Proof. The inclusion $R_{k}^{c} \hookrightarrow R_{k}$ is an equivalence by Remark 3.6. Consider the following map:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
O(2 m) \times\left._{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{k} \xrightarrow{f} N R_{k}\right|_{R_{k}^{c}} \\
{[A, v] \mapsto(d A)_{\omega} v}
\end{array}
$$

For $A \in O(2 m),(d A)_{\omega}$ restricts to an isomorphism between $V_{k}$ and $\left(T_{A^{*} \omega} R_{k}\right)^{\perp}$ so $f$ is well defined and the restriction of $f$ to each fibre is an isomorphism. It follows that $f$ is a bundle isomorphism.

To finish this section, let us compute $V_{k}$. Recall that we defined $d_{k}=2(m-k)$.

Theorem 3.8. Under the identification of $I s o(k)^{c}$ with $U(k) \times O\left(d_{k}\right)$, the representation $V_{k}$ of $I s o(k)^{c}$ is isomorphic to $\wedge^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*}$ endowed with the action of $U(n) \times O\left(d_{k}\right)$ given by

$$
(A, B) \cdot \sigma=B^{*} \sigma \quad \forall(A, B) \in U(k) \times O\left(d_{k}\right), \quad \sigma \in \wedge^{2} \mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}
$$

Proof. Pick a basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ such that $\omega$ is represented by $J$, as in (3.2).

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2 k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Given $V \in \mathfrak{g l}(2 m)$,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}(\exp (t V) \cdot \omega)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\left(\exp (t V)^{T} J \exp (t V)\right)=V^{T} J+J V
$$

Write $V=\left(\begin{array}{ll}V_{1} & V_{2} \\ V_{3} & V_{4}\end{array}\right)$ with $V_{1}$ a $2 k \times 2 k$ matrix.

$$
V^{T} J+J V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
V_{1}^{T} J_{2 k}+J_{2 k} V_{1} & J_{2 k} V_{2} \\
V_{2}^{T} J_{2 k} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $J_{2 k}$ is non-singular, $V_{1}^{\top} J_{2 k}+J_{2 k} V_{1}$ spans all the $2 k \times 2 k$ skew-symmetric matrices and $J_{2 k} V_{2}$ spans all $2 k \times 2(m-k)$ matrices. Therefore,

$$
T_{\omega} R_{k}=a(\mathfrak{g l}(2 m))_{\omega}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
-B^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, A^{T}=-A\right\}
$$

And so,

$$
V_{k} \cong\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, C^{T}=-C\right\} \cong \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*}
$$

The isotropy action of $A \in \operatorname{Iso}(k)^{c}$ on $M \in T_{\omega} \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ is given by $A \cdot M=A^{T} M A$ and this yields the action on $\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}$ stated in the theorem:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{T} & 0 \\
0 & A_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{4}^{T} C A_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remark 3.9. Observe that $A_{4}$ is the only term that acts on the elements of $V_{k}$ so $V_{k}$ is reduced to an $O\left(d_{k}\right)$-representation.

### 3.3 Cohomology of Degeneracy Loci

The bundle $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow M$ is associated to $T M$ so its structure group is $O(2 m)$. By Theorem 2.13, sections of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ are in one-to-one correspondence with lifts $f$ of $\tau_{M}$ (the classifying map of $T M$ ) to the total space of the universal bundle with fibre $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$.


There is an induced stratification of $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$, given by $\left\{\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right\}_{k=0, \ldots, m}$. Moreover, by definition of $f$, the image of $s$ is contained in $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \backslash \overline{R_{k}}$ if and only if the image of $f$ is contained in $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \backslash\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}=\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$.

This section is devoted to defining cohomological obstructions to lifting $f$ to $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ and finding a method to compute them. Starting with some map $f$ as in (3.7), the existence of a map $g$ homotopic to $f$ that avoids $\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ is expressed in the commutativity (up to homotopy) of the following diagram:


If $g$ exists, then one has in cohomology $f^{*}=g^{*} \circ \iota^{*}$ so the kernel of $\iota^{*}$ is contained in the kernel of $f^{*}$. Thus, for $g$ to exist, $f^{*}$ needs to satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generators of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)$ can therefore be regarded as cohomology classes which obstruct the existence of a lifting of $f$. These will be referred to as the obstruction classes.

Up to degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$ in cohomology, there are no obstructions to the existence of such a lifting $g$.

Proposition 3.10. $\iota$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence. In particular, for degrees $<\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$, $\iota^{*}$ is injective.

Proof. Given $n \leq k$, consider the following square:


All the spaces are well defined as the fibres are invariant by the $O(2 m)$-action (the tubular neighborhood $N R_{n} \backslash R_{n}$ is invariant because the chosen metric $g$ is invariant so the exponential map is equivariant). Using the arguments of Proposition 3.5 and Example 2.81, one can see that (3.10) is a homotopy pushout.
$\left(N R_{n} \backslash R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}}\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ is a bundle with fibre homotopy equivalent to $S^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)-1}$, so by the exact sequence of this bundle, $\pi_{n}$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence. Then, Theorem 2.79 implies that $j_{n}$ is also a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence.

Now, note that $d_{k}=2(m-k) \leq d_{n}$ for $n \leq k, \operatorname{soc} \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} d_{k}\left(d_{k}-1\right) \leq \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)$ for $n \leq k$. Note also that $\iota=j_{0} \circ j_{1} \circ \cdots \circ j_{k}$. It follows that $j_{0}, \ldots, j_{k}$ are all $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalences and hence, so is $\iota$. Thus, in cohomology, $\iota^{*}$ is an isomorphism up to degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-2$ and injective in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-1$.

Remark 3.11. Proposition 3.10 could also be proved using transversality. Indeed, let $n<\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$ and take some map $f: S^{n} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$. Theorem 3.2.5 in [Hir76] implies that there is a smooth map $g$ homotopic to $f$ which is transversal to all submanifodls $R_{0}, \ldots, R_{k}$. By definition of transversality, it follows that the image of $g$ does not intersect any of these sets, therefore it is contained in $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}$. Thus, the inclusion $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence. Using the exact sequences of the bundles $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}} \hookrightarrow\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow B O(2 m)$ and $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \hookrightarrow\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow$ $B O(2 m)$ and the 5-lemma, one concludes that $\iota$ is also a ( $\left.\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence.

In degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$, however, obstructions appear and if the Euler classes ${ }^{2} e_{n} \in H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right)$ of the normal bundles $\left(N R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ are not zero-divisors for $n \geq k$, then the obstructions can be computed using the following maps $\psi_{n}$.


Theorem 3.12. Suppose that for every $n \geq k, e_{n} \in H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right)$ is not a zero-divisor. Then, in $H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\bigcap_{n=k+1}^{m} \operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

Moreover, in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right), \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)\right)=1$, and therefore $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)$ is generated by a single non-zero class which will be denoted by $\mathrm{o}_{k}$.

Proof. Given $n \geq k$, consider the homotopy pushout:


[^5]Passing to cohomology, Proposition 2.82 then gives the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cdots \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{n-1}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(I_{n}^{*} j_{n}^{*}\right)} H^{*}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \oplus H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{n}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow \\
\xrightarrow{\pi_{n}^{*}-i_{n}^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(N R_{n} \backslash R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \tag{3.11}
\end{array}
$$

Consider also the Gysin Sequence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cdots \rightarrow H^{*-\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup e_{n}} H^{*}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(N R_{n} \backslash R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow H^{*-\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)+1}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$

where $\cup e_{n}$ denotes the map given by cup product with $e_{n}$. Since $e_{n}$ is not a zero divisor, the map

$$
\cup e_{n}: H^{*-\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right)
$$

is injective for $* \geq \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)$. Then, exactness of (3.12) implies that $\pi_{n}^{*}$ is surjective for $* \geq \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)$. This turns the Mayer-Vietoris sequence (3.11) into a short exact sequence for each degree $* \geq \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{n-1}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(I_{n}^{*} \cdot j_{n}^{*}\right)} H^{*}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \oplus H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{n}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow \\
\xrightarrow{\pi_{n}^{*}-i_{n}^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(N R_{n} \backslash R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the pair $\left(I_{n}^{*}, j_{n}^{*}\right)$ is injective for $* \geq \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)$. As $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right) \geq \operatorname{codim}\left(R_{n}\right)$ for all $n \geq k$, the pair $\left(I_{n}^{*}, j_{n}^{*}\right)$ is injective in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$.

Starting with $n=k+1$, it follows that, in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$, one has $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(I_{k+1} \circ \iota^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k+1} \circ\right.$ $\left.\iota^{*}\right)$. Note that $\iota \circ I_{k+1}=\psi_{k+1}$, so $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{k+1}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)$.


The result now follows from applying the same reasoning to $\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)$ and then to the maps that follow. For instance, in the next step, one has $\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(I_{k+2}^{*} \circ j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k+2}^{*} \circ j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)$ and $\iota \circ j_{k+1} \circ I_{k+2}=\psi_{k+2}$. Hence, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{k+1}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{k+2}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k+2}^{*} \circ j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)$. In the last step, one has $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\bigcap_{n=k+1}^{m-1} \operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{n}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{m-1}^{*} \circ \cdots \circ j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)$, but $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{m-1}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}=\left(R_{m}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ and $j_{m-1}^{*} \circ \cdots \circ j_{k+1}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}=\psi_{m}^{*}$.

To prove that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)\right)=1$ (in cohomology degree $\left.\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)\right)$, we may apply Lemma 3.13 below to short exact sequence (3.13) with $n=k$ to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k}^{*}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{k}^{*}\right)\right)$.

From Gysin sequence (3.12) also with $n=k$, it follows that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{k}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\cup e_{k}\right)=\left\langle e_{k}\right\rangle$ in cohomology of dimension $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$, hence $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k}^{*}\right)\right)=1$. To conclude, note that $\iota=j_{0} \circ j_{1} \circ \cdots \circ j_{k}$ and $j_{n}^{*}$ are isomorphisms in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$ for $n<k$ (this was observed in the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 3.10). Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{k}^{*}\right)\right)=1$

Lemma 3.13. If $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{(1, j)} B \oplus C \xrightarrow{\pi-i} D \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of vector spaces over a field $K$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{K}(\operatorname{ker}(j))=\operatorname{dim}_{K}(\operatorname{ker}(\pi))$.

Proof. A short exact sequence as the one in the statement yields a pullback of the form


By the universal property of the pullback there is a map $\alpha: \operatorname{ker}(\pi) \rightarrow A$ such that $/ \circ \alpha$ is the inclusion $\operatorname{ker}(\pi) \hookrightarrow B$ and $j \circ \alpha=0$.


Using again the universal property of the pullback (3.14), one can check that the left square of the next diagram is also a pullback.


The universal property of the pullback for the left square is the universal property for the kernel of $j$. Therefore, $\operatorname{ker}(\pi) \cong \operatorname{ker}(j)$.

Theorem 3.12 transforms the problem of computing $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)$ into one of solving the equations $\psi_{n}^{*}(x)=0$ for all $n>k$. In [FR04], the authors also use the equations $\psi_{n}^{*}(x)=0$ to compute the obstruction classes (the generators of $\left.k e r\left(\iota^{*}\right)\right)$ but in a more general context. The authors refer to these equations as the restriction equations and refer to the obstruction classes as Thom polynomials. In the context considered in this chapter, the restriction equations are not very hard to solve and the solution is given in Theorem 3.17. However, before solving the equations, one must first check that we are indeed in the conditions of Theorem 3.12, meaning that the Euler classes are not zero-divisors. That is the goal of next section.

### 3.4 The Euler classes

The Euler class of $\left(N R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow\left(R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ can be easily computed with an appropriate description of the normal bundle:

Lemma 3.14. There is a bundle morphism


Proof. By Proposition 3.7, $R_{k}^{c} \simeq R_{k}$. Using the 5-lemma with the long exact sequences of the bundles $R_{k}^{c} \hookrightarrow$ $\left(R_{k}^{c}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow B O(2 m)$ and $R_{k} \hookrightarrow\left(R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow B O(2 m)$, one can show that $\left(R_{k}^{c}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \simeq\left(R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$. Therefore, one has the following bundle morphism:


On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left.N R_{k}\right|_{\left(R_{k}^{c}\right)}\right)_{h O(2 m)} & \simeq E O(2 m) \times_{O(2 m)}\left(O(2 m) \times_{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{k}\right) \simeq E O(2 m) \times_{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{k} \simeq \\
& \simeq E I s o(k)^{c} \times_{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equivalence follows from Proposition 3.7, the second from point 4 of Proposition 2.20 and the third from Proposition 2.35. Also from Proposition 2.35 and point 5 of Proposition 2.20 it follows that

$$
\left(R_{k}^{c}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \simeq E O(2 m) \times_{O(2 m)} O(2 m) / I s o(k)^{c} \simeq B I s o(k)^{c}
$$

Recall that $d_{k}=2(m-k)$. By Proposition 2.38 and Remark 3.6, BIso $(k)^{c} \simeq B U(k) \times B O\left(d_{k}\right)$ so, from Theorems 2.44 and 2.61, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(\left(R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(B I \operatorname{so}(k)^{c}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d_{k}}\right] . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this identification, one can write a formula for $e_{k}$.

Theorem 3.15. The Euler class of $\left(N R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow\left(R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$ is the Schur polynomial of the partition $\delta=\left(d_{k}-1, d_{k}-2, \ldots, 1,0\right)$ in the Stiefel-Whitney roots $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d_{k}}$ or, equivalently,

$$
e_{k}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{d_{k}-1} & w_{d_{k}} & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-2} \\
w_{d_{k}-3} & w_{d_{k}-2} & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
w_{-d_{k}+1} & w_{-d_{k}+2} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof. By the pullback formula for the Euler class and Lemma 3.14, the goal is to compute the Euler class of $E I s o(k)^{c} \times_{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{k} \rightarrow B I s o(k)^{c}$. Take $\pi$ to be the projection

$$
B I \operatorname{so}(k)^{c} \cong B U(k) \times B O\left(d_{k}\right) \rightarrow B O\left(d_{k}\right)
$$

and consider $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*}$ endowed with the action of $O\left(d_{k}\right)$ given by

$$
A \cdot \omega=A^{*} \sigma \quad \forall A \in O\left(d_{k}\right), \sigma \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*}
$$

Remark 3.9 implies the existence of the next bundle map


So one may compute the Euler class of $E O\left(d_{k}\right) \times_{O\left(d_{k}\right)} \wedge^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*} \rightarrow B O\left(d_{k}\right)$ and pull it back with $\pi^{*}$. Now consider the inclusion of the diagonal matrices $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}} \stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} O\left(d_{k}\right)$. The restriction of the action on $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*}$ to this subgroup yields another square of bundles:


Observe that, by Proposition 2.43, $j^{*}$ is injective on cohomology and, with the identifications $H^{*}\left(B O\left(d_{k}\right)\right)=$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d_{k}}\right]$ and $H^{*}\left(\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d_{k}}\right], j^{*}$ sends $w_{i}$ to the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d_{k}}$. It is therefore sufficient to compute the Euler class of $\left(E \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}} \times \times_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}} \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*} \rightarrow$
$\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}$ and write it in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials.
$\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{k}}\right)^{*}$ has a basis given by $\left\{v_{i j}\right\}_{i<j}$ where $v_{i j}$ is the skew-symmetric $d_{k} \times d_{k}$ matrix with zeros everywhere except in positions $(i, j)$ and $(j, i)$ where it has a 1 and a -1 , respectively. Given an element $A \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}$, the action of $A$ on $v_{i j}$ is given by

$$
A \cdot v_{i j}=A v_{i j} A^{T}
$$

Hence, depending on $A$, the action yields either $v_{i j}$ or $-v_{i j}$. Given $s \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{k}\right\}$, the generator of the $s$-th factor of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}$ is the diagonal matrix $A_{s}=\operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots,-1, \ldots, 1)$ with 1 's along the diagonal, except in the $s$-th position, where it has a -1 . One has, in fact,

$$
A_{s} \cdot v_{i j}= \begin{cases}-v_{i j}, & \text { if } s=i \text { or } j \\ v_{i j}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, for each $(i, j)$ with $i<j$, the subspace spanned by $v_{i j}$ is a one dimensional subrepresentation that is acted on by $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the $i$-th and $j$-th factors of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}$. Moreover, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} & \stackrel{+}{\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\
(a, b) & \mapsto a+b
\end{aligned}
$$

reduces this action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ on $\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{i j}\right)$ to the (only) non-trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ on $\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{i j}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Considering $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the non-trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the + map is covered by a map of bundles:


Furthermore, it can easily be checked that $E \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathbb{R} \cong \gamma^{1}$. Therefore, with $H^{*}\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[t]$, the Euler class of the bundle on the left is $+^{*}(t)$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is discrete, Proposition 2.39 implies that $\pi_{1}\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and the map $\pi_{1}\left(\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ induced by + is just $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Since $\pi_{1}\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=H_{1}\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right),+^{*}$ is the dual of + and it follows that $+{ }^{*}(t)=t_{1}+t_{2}$, where $H^{*}\left(\left(B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$.

Therefore, the Euler class of the $v_{i j}$ summand is $t_{i}+t_{j}$. Since $V_{k}=\bigoplus_{i<j} \operatorname{Span}\left(v_{i j}\right)$, the bundle $\left(E \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}} \times \times_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}} V_{k}$ decomposes as a Whitney sum of line bundles $\left(E \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}} \times \times_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{d_{k}}} \operatorname{Span}\left(v_{i j}\right)$ for $i<j$ and its Euler class is thus the product of the Euler classes of the summands:

$$
e_{k}=\prod_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i<j}}^{d_{k}}\left(t_{i}+t_{j}\right)
$$

It follows from Proposition 2.75 that such a product is equal to $s_{\delta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d_{k}}\right)$ and so the result follows from substituting the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial with $w_{i}$ in the determinantal formula (2.2).

Since the cohomology ring in (3.15) is a polynomial ring and the Euler class $e_{k}$ is clearly non-zero, it follows that $e_{k}$ is not a zero divisor and therefore the hypothesis of Theorem 3.12 is satisfied.

### 3.5 Computing the Obstructions

Recall that, by Theorem 3.12, to compute the obstruction class $\mathrm{o}_{k}$, one must solve the restriction equations $\psi_{n}^{*}(x)=0$ for all $n>k$. To do so, we first need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.16. Recall that $d_{k}=2(m-k)$. One has isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{*}\left(\left(R_{k}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d_{k}}\right] \\
& H^{*}\left(\left(\wedge^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 m}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Under these identifications, the maps $\psi_{k}^{*}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{k}^{*}: \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 m}\right] & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d_{k}}\right]  \tag{3.16}\\
w & \mapsto c w
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ and $w$ denote the total characteristic classes.
Proof. The first isomorphism is the one in (3.15). The second one comes from the fact that the fibres of the bundle $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \rightarrow B O(2 m)$ are vector spaces, hence contractible, so the total space is homotopy equivalent to $B O(2 m)$ by the long exact sequence of the bundle. Thus, one has

$$
H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right) \cong H^{*}(B O(2 m)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 m}\right]
$$

the second isomorphism coming from Theorem 2.44.

To prove the last claim, observe that the following square commutes, by Corollary 2.37.


Denote also by $\psi_{k}: B I s o(k)^{c} \hookrightarrow B O(2 m)$ the bottom map and note that $\psi_{k}$ factors through the composition of inclusions:


Writing $H^{*}\left(B O(2 k) \times B O\left(d_{k}\right)\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d_{k}}\right], v=1+v_{1}+v_{2}+\ldots$ and $w=1+w_{1}+w_{2}+\ldots$, Proposition 2.67 implies that $\beta_{k}^{*}(w)=v w$ and Proposition 2.66 implies that $\alpha_{k}^{*}(v)=c$, so composing $\beta_{k}^{*}$ and $\alpha_{k}^{*}$, the result follows.

Finally, we are ready to compute the obstructions.

Theorem 3.17. For each $0<k \leq m$, the kernel of $\iota^{*}$ in cohomology of degree codim $\left(R_{k}\right)$ is generated by

$$
\mathfrak{o}_{k}=s_{\delta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d_{k}}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{d_{k}-1} & w_{d_{k}} & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-2} \\
w_{d_{k}-3} & w_{d_{k}-2} & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
w_{-d_{k}+1} & w_{-d_{k}+2} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $w_{i}=0$ for $i>2 m$ or $i<0$ and $d_{k}=2(m-k)$.

This looks exactly like the formula for the Euler class. The only difference is that in the Euler class, the elements $w_{i}$ for $d_{k}<i \leq 2 m$ are zero, while those in the obstruction class are not.

Proof. By Theorem 3.12, one only needs to check that $\psi_{n}^{*}\left(\mathrm{o}_{k}\right)=0$ for all $k+1 \leq n \leq 2 m$. For such an $n>k$, by (3.16), one has

$$
\psi_{n}^{*}\left(\mathrm{o}_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
(c w)_{d_{k}-1} & (c w)_{d_{k}} & \ldots & (c w)_{2 d_{k}-2}  \tag{3.18}\\
(c w)_{d_{k}-3} & (c w)_{d_{k}-2} & \ldots & (c w)_{2 d_{k}-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(c w)_{-d_{k}+1} & (c w)_{-d_{k}+2} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $\psi_{n}^{*}\left(\mathrm{0}_{k}\right) \in H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)}\left(\left(R_{n}\right)_{h O(2 m)}\right)$, so for all instances of $w_{i}$ in (3.18), one has $w_{i}=0$ for $i>d_{n}=$ $2(m-n)$. Denote the matrix in (3.18) by $M$. The element of $M$ in position $(i, j)$ is of the form $(c w)_{d_{k}-2 i+j}$. By the product formula,

$$
(c w)_{d_{k}-2 i+j}=\sum_{s=0}^{\left(d_{k}-2 i+j\right) / 2} c_{s} w_{d_{k}-2 i+j-2 s}=w_{d_{k}-2 i+j}+c_{1} w_{d_{k}-2 i+j-2}+\ldots
$$

Thus, $M$ can be written as a product of matrices:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{d_{k}}  \tag{3.19}\\
0 & 1 & c_{1} & \cdots & c_{d_{k}-1} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & c_{d_{k}-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{d_{k}-1} & w_{d_{k}} & \cdots & w_{2 d_{k}-2} \\
w_{d_{k}-3} & w_{d_{k}-2} & \cdots & w_{2 d_{k}-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
w-d_{k}+1 & w_{-d_{k}+2} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The first matrix of the product (3.19) has determinant equal to 1 so

$$
\operatorname{det}(M)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{d_{k}-1} & w_{d_{k}} & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-2}  \tag{3.20}\\
w_{d_{k}-3} & w_{d_{k}-2} & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
w_{-d_{k}+1} & w_{-d_{k}+2} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

But $w_{i}=0$ for $i>d_{n}$ and $n>k \Longrightarrow d_{n}<d_{k}$, therefore $w_{i}=0$ for $i \geq d_{k}-1$. Hence, the first row of the matrix in (3.20) is composed of only zeroes and so has zero determinant. It then follows that $\psi_{n}^{*}\left(0_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}(M)=0$.

Recall diagram (3.7):


Here $s$ was any section, $f$ was the map to the universal bundle induced by $s$ and $\tau_{M}$ was the classifying map of $T M$. We saw in (3.9) that $f^{*}\left(\mathrm{o}_{k}\right)=0$ was a necessary condition for the existence of a lift of $f$ to $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \overline{R_{k}}\right)_{h O(2 m)}$, i.e, a map homotopic to $f$ that avoids $\overline{R_{k}}$. Under the equivalence $\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h O(2 m)} \simeq$ $B O(2 m)$, condition $f^{*}\left(0_{k}\right)=0$ is given by $\tau_{M}^{*}\left(\mathrm{o}_{k}\right)=0$. But, by the properties of the Stiefel-Whitney classes, $\tau_{M}^{*}\left(w_{i}\right)=w_{i}(M)$ where the latter is the Stiefel-Whitney class of $T M$. Therefore, $\tau_{M}^{*}\left({ }^{( }{ }_{k}\right)=0$ translates into

$$
d e t\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{d_{k}-1}(M) & w_{d_{k}}(M) & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-2}(M)  \tag{3.21}\\
w_{d_{k}-3}(M) & w_{d_{k}-2}(M) & \ldots & w_{2 d_{k}-4}(M) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
w_{-d_{k}+1}(M) & w_{-d_{k}+2}(M) & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

A trivial first observation about condition (3.21) is that, as one would expect, if the manifold admits a non-degenerate 2 -form - or equivalently, an almost complex structure - then the classes are automatically
zero. This can be seen using the fact that, on such manifolds, $w_{i}=0$ for odd $i^{3}$. Odd columns of the matrix in (3.21) have only odd classes so certainly there will be columns of zeroes and so the determinant will be zero.

Consider the bundle $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow M$ and let $R_{k}=\left\{\omega_{x} \in \Omega^{2}\left(T_{x} M\right) \mid x \in M, \operatorname{rank}\left(\omega_{x}\right)=2 k\right\}$. Hopefully, this abuse of notation will not cause confusion. Equation (3.21) gives an obstruction to the existence of a section avoiding $\overline{R_{k}}$ and it is valid for any manifold $M$. But when $M$ is compact, the classes $\tau_{M}^{*}{ }^{{ }^{0}}$ g gain another interpretation. They are actually the Poincaré duals of the degeneracy loci $\overline{R_{k}}$. Let us now show that.

Lemma 3.18. $R_{k}$ is an embedded submanifold and the family $\left\{\overline{R_{k}}\right\}$ is a locally trivial stratification. Moreover, given a section $s: M \rightarrow \Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ transversal to the sets $R_{k}$, the spaces $\left(R_{k}\right)_{M}:=s^{-1}\left(R_{k}\right) \subset M$ are also embedded submanifolds and $\left\{\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{M}\right\}$ is also a locally trivial stratification.

Proof. Local coordinates for $R_{k}$ come from a trivializing cover of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ and local coordinates for the fibre $R_{k} \subset \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$. By Theorem 3.3, the fibre $R_{k} \subset \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ is an embedded submanifold of $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$, so $R_{k} \subset \Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ is an embedded submanifold of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M .\left\{\overline{R_{k}}\right\}$ is a locally trivial stratification by Proposition 2.86. By Theorem 1.3.3 of [Hir76], $\left(R_{k}\right)_{M}$ is an embedded submanifold of $M$ and by Proposition 2.87, $\left\{\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{M}\right\}$ forms a locally trivial stratification of $M$.

By Theorem 3.2.5 of [Hir76], generically, a section $s$ is transversal to the spaces $R_{k}$.
Theorem 3.19. The sets ${\overline{\left(R_{k}\right)}}_{M}$ give rise to homology classes $\left[{\overline{\left(R_{k}\right)}}_{M}\right] \in H_{2 m-\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)}(M)$. Moreover, letting $D: H_{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{2 m-*}(M)$ denote the Poincaré duality map, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\left[{\overline{\left(R_{k}\right)}}_{M}\right]\right)=\tau_{M}^{*}{ }^{\mathfrak{o}_{k}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.18, Theorem 2.88 and the fact that $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k-1}\right)-\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right) \geq 2$, it follows that ${\overline{\left(R_{k}\right)}}_{M}$ give rise to homology classes. To prove (3.22), we will show that both $D\left(\left[\overline{\left(R_{k}\right)}{ }_{M}\right]\right)$ and $\tau_{M}^{*}{ }^{\mathfrak{0}_{k}}$ are the restriction of the Thom class of $N\left(R_{k}\right)_{M} \rightarrow M$ to $H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)}(M)$.

To avoid cluttering the proof, let us reduce the notation $X_{h O(2 m)}$ to just $X_{h}$ and write $*$ for the degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$ in cohomology. Let us denote by $u^{N_{h}} \in H^{*}\left(\left(N R_{k}\right)_{h},\left(N R_{k} \backslash R_{k}\right)_{h}\right)$ the Thom class of $\left(N R_{k} \backslash R_{k}\right)_{h} \rightarrow\left(R_{k}\right)_{h}$ and denote by $T_{k} \in H^{*}(B O(2 m))$ the image of $u^{N_{h}}$ by the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{*}\left(\left(N R_{k}\right)_{h},\left(N R_{k} \backslash R_{k}\right)_{h}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{h},\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k}\right)_{h}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{h}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)_{h}^{*}\right)\right) \cong H^{*}(B O(2 m)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We begin by showing that $\tau_{M^{*}}{ }^{\mathfrak{D}_{k}}$ is the restriction of the Thom class of $N\left(R_{k}\right)_{M} \rightarrow M$ to $H^{*}(M)$. First note that $\mathrm{o}_{k} \in H^{*}(B O(2 m))$ is equal to $T_{k}$. Indeed, recall that $\mathrm{o}_{k}$ is the generator of $k e r\left(\iota^{*}\right) \cap H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)}(B O(2 m))$,

[^6]which is one-dimensional by Theorem 3.12. Recall also the inclusions $j_{k}:\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k}\right)_{h} \hookrightarrow\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{h}$. One has $j_{k}^{*}\left(T_{k}\right)=0$ by exactness of the following sequence
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{h},\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k}\right)_{h}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{h}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{k}^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k}\right)_{h}\right),  \tag{3.23}\\
& u^{N_{h}} \mapsto T_{k}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Since $\iota=j_{0} \circ \cdots \circ j_{k}$, one has $\iota^{*}\left(T_{k}\right)=0$. This means that either $T_{k}=0$ or $T_{k}=\mathfrak{o}_{k}$. By exactness of (3.23) and the fact that $u^{N_{h}}$ generates $H^{*}\left(\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{h},\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*} \backslash \bar{R}_{k}\right)_{h}\right)$, it suffices to show that $j_{k}^{*}$ is not injective to see that $T_{k} \neq 0$. Indeed, if $j_{k}^{*}$ were injective then $\iota^{*}$ would too be injective because $\iota=j_{0} \circ \cdots \circ j_{k}$ and the maps $j_{n}^{*}$ for $n<k$ are injective in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)$. However, $\iota^{*}$ is not injective.

Take $h: \Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \rightarrow\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}\right)_{h}$ a bundle map over $\tau_{M}$ and denote by $u^{N}$ the Thom class of $N R_{k} \rightarrow R_{k}$. The restriction of $h$ to the tubular neighborhood $N R_{k}$ yields a bundle map between $N R_{k}$ and $\left(N R_{k}\right)_{h}$. Functoriality of the Thom class implies that $h^{*}\left(u^{N_{h}}\right)=u^{N}$. This, together with the commutativity of (3.24) implies that $h^{*} \mathrm{o}_{k}$ is the restriction of $u^{N}$ to $H^{*}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}\right)$.


Now, denoting by $u^{N_{M}}$ the Thom class of $N\left(R_{k}\right)_{M} \rightarrow M$, one applies the same reasoning using the section $s$ to map $h^{*} \mathrm{o}_{k}$ to the restriction of $u^{N_{M}}$ to $H^{*}\left(M \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}\right)$. Since $s$ is transversal to every stratum, there is a bundle map


Hence $s^{*}\left(u^{N}\right)=u^{N_{M}}$. Then, a commuting diagram as (3.24) shows that $s^{*} h^{*} \mathrm{o}_{k}$ is the restriction of $u^{N_{M}}$ to $H^{*}\left(M \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}\right)$.


Because $\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k-1}\right)>\operatorname{codim}\left(R_{k}\right)+1$, the restriction map $H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(M \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}\right)$ is an isomorphism. And, under the identification $H^{*}(M) \cong H^{*}\left(M \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}\right)$, $s^{*} h^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{k}$ translates to $\tau_{M}^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{k}$. Finally, use Theorem 2.89 with $K=\left(\bar{R}_{k}\right)_{M}$ and $L=\left(\bar{R}_{k-1}\right)_{M}$ to conclude that $D\left(\left[\overline{\left(R_{k}\right)} M\right]\right)$ is also the restriction of $u^{N_{M}}$ to $H^{*}\left(M \backslash \overline{R_{k-1}}\right) \cong H^{*}(M)$.

### 3.6 An Example

Computing the determinant in (3.21) yields in general intricate equations relating the $w_{i}$ 's. However, for low cohomology degrees, the formulas turn out to be relatively simple. For instance, for $\mathfrak{o}_{m-1}$ the obstruction in degree 1 , one has $\mathfrak{o}_{m-1}=w_{1}$. Note that $\tau_{M^{*}}^{*}{ }^{\mathfrak{0}_{1}}=w_{1}(M)$ is zero if and only if $M$ is orientable ${ }^{4}$. The next obstruction in higher degree is $\tau_{M}^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{m-2} \in H^{6}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$,

$$
\mathfrak{o}_{m-2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w_{3} & w_{4} & w_{5} & w_{6} \\
w_{1} & w_{2} & w_{3} & w_{4} \\
0 & 1 & w_{1} & w_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=w_{3}^{2}+w_{1} w_{5}+w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+w_{1}^{2} w_{4} .
$$

If the manifold $M$ is orientable, $w_{1}(M)=0$, thus there is no obstruction in degree 1 and $\tau_{M}^{*}{ }^{\mathfrak{0}_{m-2}}=w_{3}(M)^{2}$. The next proposition proves that $M=G r_{3}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$, the grassmannian of oriented 3-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$, is an orientable 12-manifold with $w_{3}(M)^{2} \neq 0$. Hence, every 2-form on this manifold cannot have rank greater than $2(m-2)=8$ everywhere.

Proposition 3.20. $M=G r_{3}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ is an orientable 12-manifold with $w_{3}(M)^{2} \neq 0$.
Proof. The fact that $M=G r_{3}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ is an orientable 12-manifold is an easy check. The proof of the identity $w_{3}(M)^{2} \neq 0$ can be subdivided into two main steps:

1. $w_{3}(M)^{2} \neq 0$ iff $w_{3}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}$ is not a multiple of $w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$ :

This follows from a version of the Gysin sequence for double coverings and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ coefficients, found in Corollary 12.3 of [MS74]. The sequence applied to the covering $G r_{3}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi} G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$ takes the form

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{*-1}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{U w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)} H^{*}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

As $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\cup w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right)=\left\langle w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right\rangle$, given $x \in H^{*}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right), \pi^{*}(x)=0$ iff $x$ is a multiple of $w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$. Now, note that there is a bundle map

implying that $\pi^{*} w_{3}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)=w_{3}(M)$.
2. $w_{3}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}$ is not a multiple of $w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$ :

Denote by $w_{i}$ the $i$-th Stiefel-Whitney class of $\gamma^{3} \rightarrow B O(3)$. To prove 2., we will show that

$$
w_{3}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)=w_{3}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{3} .
$$

[^7]This is sufficient because the inclusion $G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right) \hookrightarrow B O(3)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology of degree 6 and $w_{3}$ is not a multiple of $w_{1}$ and thus $w_{3}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$ is not a multiple of $w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$. Hence, $w_{3}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$ is also not a multiple of $w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)$.

In the end of page 4 and in page 5 of [Alb], the author shows that $w_{1}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)=w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right.$ and $w_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)=w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}$. Moreover, by Theorem 5.12 of [MT91], one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\right)=w_{3}+w_{1} w_{2} \\
& S q^{1}\left(w_{1}\right)=w_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S q^{1}$ denotes the first Steenrod square ${ }^{5}$ and $H^{*}\left(B O(3), \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right]$. By naturality of the Steenrod squares, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right)=w_{3}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) w_{2}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right), \\
& S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right)=w_{3}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right), \\
& S q^{1}\left(w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right)=w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{3}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) & =S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right)-w_{1}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) w_{2}\left(G r_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) \\
& =S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}\right)-w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\left(w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)\right)+S q^{1}\left(w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}\right)-w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)-w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{3} \\
& =w_{3}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)-w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right) w_{2}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)-w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{3} \\
& =w_{3}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)+w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{3} . \quad\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \text { coefficients }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first to last equality follows from the fact that $S q^{1}\left(w_{1}\left(\gamma^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)\right)^{2}\right)=0$.

[^8]
## Chapter 4

## Thom Polynomials of Smooth Maps to an Almost Symplectic Manifold

### 4.1 Introduction

In the last chapter, we computed the Poincaré duals of homology classes $\left[\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{M}\right] \in H_{*}(M)$ given by degeneracy loci of 2 -forms. To compute these Poincaré dual classes, we first defined and computed, for each $k \in\{0, \ldots m\}$, certain cohomological obstructions $\tau_{M}^{*}{ }^{0} k$, whose non-triviality obstructed the existence of sections which have everywhere rank greater than $2 k$. Then, we proved that each class $\tau_{M}^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{k}$ was in fact the Poincaré dual of $\left[\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{M}\right]$. Although the cohomological obstruction $\tau_{M}^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{k}$ turned out to be equal to the Poincaré dual of a degeneracy locus, the definition of $\tau_{M}^{*}{ }^{{ }^{k}}$ 的 was independent of the existence of $\left[\left(\overline{R_{k}}\right)_{M}\right]$. In this chapter, we will consider the following problem: let $M$ be a $2 m$-manifold, $N$ a $2 n$-manifold with $2 m \leq 2 n$ and $i: M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map. Endow $N$ with an almost symplectic form $\omega$ (meaning a non-degenerate 2 -form not necessarily closed). Take the bundle $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right) \rightarrow M^{1}$ and consider the following sets, for $I \in\{0, \ldots, 2 m\}$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots,\lfloor/ / 2\rfloor\}$ :

$$
S_{I, k}=\left\{\phi: T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{i(x)} N \mid \operatorname{rank}(\phi)=I, \operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)=2 k\right\}
$$

These sets may not give rise to homology classes, but one can nonetheless define and compute, analogously to chapter 3, cohomological obstructions to the existence of sections of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$ that avoid the sets $S_{l, k}$. That is the goal of the present chapter. To do so, we will follow the same methods as in the previous chapter, starting by studying the typical fibre $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ of the bundle in question.

[^9]
### 4.2 The Homogeneous Spaces $S_{I, k}$ and their Normal Bundles

Let $\omega$ be a non-degenerate 2-form on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and consider the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ on $H \circ m\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A, B) \cdot \phi=A \circ \phi \circ B^{-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(A, B) \in S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ and $\phi \in H o m\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1. The spaces $S_{I, k}=\left\{\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2 m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(\phi)=I, \operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)=2 k\right\}$ are the orbits of this action.

Proof. Take $\phi \in S_{l, k}$ and denote the orbit of $\phi$ by $O_{\phi}$. Given $(A, B) \in S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$, since $A$ and $B$ are invertible, the action preserves the rank of $\phi$ and since $A \in S p(2 n),\left(A \phi B^{-1}\right)^{*} \omega=\left(B^{-1}\right)^{*} \phi^{*} \omega$, so the rank of the pullback form is also preserved. Hence, $O_{\phi} \subset S_{l, k}$.

On the other hand, given $\psi \in S_{I, k}$, there is some $A \in S p(2 n)$ such that $A(\operatorname{Im}(\phi))=\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$. This is proved in Lemma 4.2 below. Since $\operatorname{Im}(A \phi)=\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$, there exists some change of basis matrix $B$ such that $A \phi B^{-1}=\psi$. Thus, $S_{l, k} \subset O_{\phi}$.

Given a subspace $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, the symplectic complement of $P$ is the vector space

$$
P^{\omega}=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \mid \omega(u, v)=0 \forall v \in P\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $\phi, \psi \in S_{I, k}$.

1. The condition $\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)=2 k$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}\right)=I-2 k$.
2. Since $\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\psi^{*} \omega\right)$, there exists $A \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ such that $A(\operatorname{Im}(\phi))=\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$.

Proof.

1. Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ be a complement to $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ in the kernel of $\phi^{*} \omega$, denoted by $\operatorname{rad}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)$, so

$$
\operatorname{rad}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)=W \oplus \operatorname{ker}(\phi)
$$

Note that $\left.\phi\right|_{W}$ is injective and $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}=\phi(W)$, so $\operatorname{dim}(W)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{rank}(\phi)=I$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(\phi))=2 m-I$ and so one has

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(W)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)\right)-(2 m-I)
$$

But $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{rad}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)\right)=2 m-\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)$. Thus, one has

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}\right)=2 m-\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)-(2 m-I)=I-\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right) .
$$

2. By Point 1., there exist a basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{I-2 k}\right\}$ for $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ such that $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{I-2 k}\right\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}$ and suppose that $\omega$ restricted to $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 k}\right\}\right)$ is represented in $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 k}\right\}$ by the matrix $J_{2 k}$ in 3.2. One can extend this basis to $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2(n-k)}\right\}$ a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that $\omega\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)=0$ and $\omega$ restricted to $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2(n-k)}\right\}\right)$ is represented in $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2(n-k)}\right\}$ by a matrix $J_{2(n-k)}$ obtained from $J_{2 k}$ by replacing $k$ with $n-k$. In the same way, one can construct a basis $\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{2 k}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{2(n-k)}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that $\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{2 k}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{l-2 k}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{Im}(\psi),\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{l-2 k}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{Im}(\psi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\psi)^{\omega}, \omega$ restricted to $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{2 k}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is represented by $J_{2 k}, \omega\left(u_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\omega$ restricted to $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{l-2 k}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is represented by $J_{2(n-k)}$. Then, the linear isomorphism $A$ defined by $A\left(u_{i}\right)=u_{i}^{\prime}$ and $A\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{i}^{\prime}$ can be checked to be in $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ and satisfy $A(\operatorname{Im}(\phi))=\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$.

Remark 4.3. Not all pairs $(I, k)$ satisfy $S_{l, k} \neq \varnothing$. In fact, for $I \in\{0, \ldots, 2 m\}$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots,\lfloor I / 2\rfloor\}, S_{l, k} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $n-I+k \geq 0$. If $S_{I, k} \neq \varnothing$, then take $\phi \in S_{I, k}$. By definition, $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(\phi))=I$ and, as $\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right)=$ $2 k$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}\right)=I-2 k$. Since $\omega$ is non-degenerate, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}\right)=2 n-I$. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega} \subset \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega} \Longrightarrow I-2 k \leq 2 n-I \Leftrightarrow n-I+k \geq 0
$$

On the other hand, if $n-I+k \geq 0$, then take a basis $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that

$$
\omega\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } j=i+n \\ -1 & \text { for } i=j+n \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Pick also a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ and define $\phi$ by

$$
\phi\left(e_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}f_{i} & \text { for } i \leq I-k \\ f_{i+n-k} & \text { for } I-k+1 \leq i \leq I \\ 0 & \text { for } i>I\end{cases}
$$

$\operatorname{rank}(\phi)=I$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right) \geq 2 k$ since $\omega\left(\phi\left(e_{i}\right), \phi\left(e_{j}\right)\right)=1$ for $i=I-2 k+1, \ldots, I-k$ and $j=i+k$. Because $n-I+k \geq 0, \omega\left(\phi\left(e_{i}\right), \phi\left(e_{j}\right)\right)=0$ for all $i, j \leq I-k$ so $\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right) \leq 2 k$. It follows that $\phi \in S_{I, k}$, so $S_{I, k} \neq \varnothing$.

Let us define a relation $\geq$ between pairs $(I, k)$ and $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I, k) \geq\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow I \geq I^{\prime} \text { and } k \geq k^{\prime} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.4. The closure of $S_{l, k}$ is given by:

$$
\bar{S}_{l, k}=\bigcup_{(I, k) \geq\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)} S_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} .
$$

Remark 4.11 will show that $\geq$ is not a total order. This implies that, in contrast with the family $\left\{\overline{R_{k}}\right\}$ of chapter 3 , the sets $\overline{S_{l, k}}$ are not contained in each other in succession.

Proof. Pick bases for $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. Given $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2 m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, denote by $M$ the matrix representing $\phi$ in the chosen bases. Then, $\operatorname{rank}(\phi) \leq I$ iff all $I+1 \times I+1$ minors of $M$ are zero. In the same way, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\phi^{*} \omega\right) \leq 2 k$ iff all $2 k+1 \times 2 k+1$ minors the matrix representing $\phi^{*} \omega$ are zero. Hence, $\bigcup_{(I, k) \geq\left(l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)} S_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is closed. It also contains $S_{l, k}$, so $\bar{S}_{I, k} \subset \bigcup_{(I, k) \geq\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)} S_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$. To prove the other inclusion, take $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) \leq(I, k)$ and consider two cases:

1. $I^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime} \leq I-2 k$ :

Pick a basis $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that $\omega$ is represented by

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
J_{2 k^{\prime}} & & 0_{2 k^{\prime} \times 2\left(n-k^{\prime}\right)} \\
& & & \\
0_{2\left(n-k^{\prime}\right) \times 2 k^{\prime}} & 0 & 0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
& 0 & J_{2(n-l+k)+2\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)} & 0 \\
& -I_{l-2 k} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where each $J_{2 p}$ is the matrix $J_{2 k}$ in (3.2) with $k$ replaced by $p$. Pick also some basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ and consider the homomorphism $\psi \in S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ represented in $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\prime^{\prime}} & 0 \\
0 & 0_{\left(2 n-l^{\prime}\right) \times\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consider the sequence $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ where each $\psi_{j}$ is represented by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{l^{\prime}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{j} I_{(I-2 k)-\left(l^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}\right)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{j} I_{k-k^{\prime}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{(n-I+k) \times\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{j} I_{k-k^{\prime}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{(n-k) \times(2 m-l)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

One can check that $\operatorname{rank}\left(\psi_{j}^{*} \omega\right)=2 k$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\psi_{j}\right)=I$. Since $\psi_{j} \rightarrow \psi$, one has $\psi \in \overline{S_{l, k}}$.
2. $I^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}>I-2 k$ :

Now pick a basis $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that $\omega$ is represented by

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
J_{2 k^{\prime}} & & 0_{2 k^{\prime} \times 2\left(n-k^{\prime}\right)} \\
& & & \\
& 0 & 0 & I_{\prime^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}} \\
\mathrm{O}_{2\left(n-k^{\prime}\right) \times 2 k^{\prime}} & 0 & J_{2\left(n-l^{\prime}+k^{\prime}\right)} & 0 \\
& -I_{l^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Pick also some basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 m}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ and consider the homomorphism $\psi \in S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ represented in $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\prime^{\prime}} & 0 \\
0 & 0_{\left(2 n-l^{\prime}\right) \times\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let us suppose, to simplify computations, that $I-I^{\prime}$ is even. The other case is similar. Consider the sequence $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H o m\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ where each $\psi_{j}$ is represented by
$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc|l}I_{2 k^{\prime}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{l-2 k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{k-k^{\prime}-\frac{l-l^{\prime}}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{k-k^{\prime}-\frac{l-l^{\prime}}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{j} I_{\frac{l-l^{\prime}}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{n-\frac{l+l^{\prime}}{2}+k^{\prime}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{j} I_{\frac{l-l^{\prime}}{2}}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{n-\frac{l+l^{\prime}}{2}+k^{\prime}} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{j} I_{k-k^{\prime}-\frac{l-l^{\prime}}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 0_{\left(\frac{\left.l+l^{\prime}-k-k^{\prime}\right) \times(2 m-l)}{2}\right.}\end{array}\right)$.

One can check that $\operatorname{rank}\left(\psi_{j}^{*} \omega\right)=2 k$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\psi_{j}\right)=I$. Since $\psi_{j} \rightarrow \psi$, one has $\psi \in \overline{S_{l, k}}$.

In either case, given any other $\phi \in S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$, there exists a pair $(A, B) \in S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ such that $(A, B) \cdot \psi=\phi$. Thus, $(A, B) \cdot \psi_{j}$ is a sequence in $S_{l, k}$ converging to $\phi$ and so $\phi \in \overline{S_{l, k}}$.

Lemma 4.5. Given integers $I \in\{0, \ldots, 2 m\}$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots,\lfloor I / 2\rfloor\}$ and an $I$-plane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(P \cap P^{\omega}\right)=I-2 k$, let $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that

- $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l-2 k}\right\}$ is a basis for $P \cap P^{\omega}$,
- $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right\}$ is a basis for $P$, such that $\omega$ restricted to $\operatorname{Span}\left(f_{l-2 k+1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)$ is represented by $J_{2 k}$ in (3.2),
- $\left\{f_{l+1}, \ldots, f_{2 n-l+2 k}\right\}$ is a basis for a complement of $P \cap P^{\omega}$ in $P^{\omega}$ such that $\omega$ restricted to this complement is represented by $J_{2(n-I+k)}$ as in (3.2),
- $\left\{f_{2 n-I+2 k+1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right\}$ is a basis for a complement of $P+P^{\omega}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

If $A \in S p(2 n)$ satisfies $A(P)=P$, then it is represented in the basis $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ by

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{1} & B_{2} & C_{1} & C_{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
0 & B_{4} & 0 & C_{4} \\
0 & 0 & D_{1} & D_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $B_{1} \in G L(I-2 k ; \mathbb{R}), B_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{I-2 k \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R}), B_{4} \in S p(2 k), D_{1} \in S p(2(n-I+k)), D_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{(2(n-I+k)) \times I-2 k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $C_{1}, C_{4}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfy the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=B_{1} D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1}  \tag{4.4}\\
& C_{4}=J_{2 k}\left(B_{4}^{T}\right)^{-1} B_{2}^{T}\left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}  \tag{4.5}\\
& B_{1}^{-1} C_{2}-C_{2}^{T}\left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}=C_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{4}+D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $C_{2}$ is completely determined by (4.6) and the choice of a $I-2 k \times I-2 k$ symmetric matrix.

Proof. In a basis like $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$, the $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix $J$ representing $\omega$ is of the form

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2}  \tag{4.7}\\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
G_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{l-2 k} & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right), \quad G_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0_{2 k \times 2(n-l+k)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad G_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0_{l-2 k}
\end{array}\right),
$$

A transformation $A \in S p(2 n)$ satisfying $A(P)=P$ is represented in $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ as a matrix $A$ of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
0 & A_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{/ \times /}(\mathbb{R})$ and $A_{3} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 n-/ \times 2 n-/}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $A \in S p(2 n)$, $A$ satisfies the equation $A^{T} J A=J$. Unravelling this equation, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{T} & 0 \\
A_{2}^{T} & A_{3}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2} \\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
0 & A_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2} \\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{T} G_{1} & A_{1}^{T} G_{2} \\
A_{2}^{T} G_{1}-A_{3}^{T} G_{2}^{T} & A_{2}^{T} G_{2}+A_{3}^{T} G_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
0 & A_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2} \\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{1} & A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}+A_{1}^{T} G_{2} A_{3} \\
-\left(A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}+A_{1}^{T} G_{2} A_{3}\right)^{T} & A_{2}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}-A_{3}^{T} G_{2}^{T} A_{2}+A_{2}^{T} G_{2} A_{3}+A_{3}^{T} G_{3} A_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2} \\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get three independent equations:

1. $A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{1}=G_{1}$
2. $A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}+A_{1}^{T} G_{2} A_{3}=G_{2}$
3. $A_{2}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}-A_{3}^{T} G_{2}^{T} A_{2}+A_{2}^{T} G_{2} A_{3}+A_{3}^{T} G_{3} A_{3}=G_{3}$.

To solve equation (1), let us write $A_{1}$ in blocks:

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{I-2 k \times I-2 k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B_{4} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 k \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$
A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{1}=G_{1} \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1}^{T} & B_{3}^{T}  \tag{4.8}\\
B_{2}^{T} & B_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} B_{3} & B_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} B_{4} \\
B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} B_{3} & B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} B_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Equation (4.8) implies that $B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} B_{4}=J_{2 k}$ so $B_{4} \in S p(2 k) ; B_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} B_{4}=0$ and so $B_{3}=0$ since both $J_{2 k}$ and $B_{4}$ are non-singular. The other two equations resulting from (4.8) do not give more restrictions. Therefore, $A_{1}$ is given by

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
0 & B_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $B_{4} \in S p(2 k), B_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{(I-2 k) \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R})$ and because $A_{1}$ must be non-singular, $B_{1} \in G L(I-2 k ; \mathbb{R})$. To solve equations (2) and (3), let us write

$$
A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1} & D_{2} \\
D_{3} & D_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $C_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{(I-2 k) \times 2(n-I+k)}(\mathbb{R}), C_{4} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 k \times(I-2 k)}(\mathbb{R}), D_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{2(n-I+k) \times 2(n-I+k)}(\mathbb{R})$, and $D_{4} \in \mathcal{M}_{(I-2 k) \times(I-2 k)}(\mathbb{R})$. Solving (2), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}+A_{1}^{T} G_{2} A_{3}=G_{2} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1}^{T} & 0 \\
B_{2}^{T} & B_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & D_{2} \\
D_{3} & D_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{3} & B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{4}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}^{T} D_{3} & B_{1}^{T} D_{4} \\
B_{2}^{T} D_{3} & B_{2}^{T} D_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1}^{T} D_{3} & B_{1}^{T} D_{4} \\
B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{3}+B_{2}^{T} D_{3} & B_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{4}+B_{2}^{T} D_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which results in the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{4}=\left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}, \quad D_{3}=C_{3}=0, \\
& C_{4}=J_{2 k}\left(B_{4}^{T}\right)^{-1} B_{2}^{T}\left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1} \quad\left(J_{2 k}^{-1}=-J_{2 k}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving equation (3) in turn yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}^{T} G_{1} A_{2}-A_{3}^{T} G_{2}^{T} A_{2}+A_{2}^{T} G_{2} A_{3}+A_{3}^{T} G_{3} A_{3}=G_{3} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{4}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
D_{4}^{T} C_{1} & D_{4}^{T} C_{2}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C_{1}^{T} D_{4} \\
0 & C_{2}^{T} D_{4}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1} & D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2} \\
D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1} & D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1} & C_{1}^{T} D_{4}+D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2} \\
D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1}-D_{4}^{T} C_{1} & C_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{4}-D_{4}^{T} C_{2}+C_{2}^{T} D_{4}+D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which results in the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1}=J_{2(n-l+k)}  \tag{4.10}\\
& C_{1}=B_{1} D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-I+k)} D_{1}  \tag{4.11}\\
& B_{1}^{-1} C_{2}-C_{2}^{T}\left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}=C_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} C_{4}+D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2} . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.10) implies that $D_{1} \in S p(2(n-I+k)) ;(4.12)$ implies that $C_{2}$ is fully determined by $C_{4}, D_{2}, B_{1}$ and a $I-2 k \times I-2 k$ symmetric matrix. Putting it all together, one gets the matrix (4.3).

Now fix an element $\phi \in S_{I, k}$ and denote by $I s o(I, k)$ the isotropy group of $\phi$.

## Theorem 4.6.

1. $I$ so $(I, k) \cong H_{l, k} \ltimes N_{l, k}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{l, k}=G L(I-2 k ; \mathbb{R}) \times S p(2 k) \times S p(2(n-I+k)) \times G L(2 m-I ; \mathbb{R}) \\
& N_{I, k}=\mathcal{M}_{(I-2 k) \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{M}_{(2 n-2 I+2 k) \times(I-2 k)}(\mathbb{R}) \times S y m(I-2 k ; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{M}_{(2 m-I) \times I}(\mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(B_{1}, B_{4}, D_{1}, F_{3}\right) \in H_{l, k}$ acts on $\left(B_{2}, D_{2}, S, F_{2}\right) \in N_{l, k}$ by

$$
\left(B_{1}, B_{4}, D_{1}, F_{3}\right) \cdot\left(B_{2}, D_{2}, S, F_{2}\right)=\left(B_{1} B_{2} B_{4}^{-1}, D_{1} D_{2} B_{1}^{T}, B_{1} S B_{1}^{T}, F_{3} F_{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & B_{4}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

2. $S_{I, k}$ is an immersed submanifold of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ of codimension $\frac{1}{2}\left((I-2 k)^{2}-(I-2 k)\right)+(2 m-$ l) $(2 n-l)$.

Proof.

1. Pick a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m}\right\}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ such that $\left\{\phi\left(e_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(e_{I-2 k}\right)\right\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}$ and $\left\{\phi\left(e_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(e_{l}\right)\right\}$ is a basis for $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$. Take also a basis $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right\}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ such that

- $f_{i}=\phi\left(e_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq I$;
- $\left\{f_{l+1}, \ldots, f_{2 n-l+2 k}\right\}$ forms a basis for a complement of $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}$ in $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}$;
- $\left\{f_{2 n-l+2 k+1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right\}$ forms a basis for a complement of $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)+\operatorname{Im}(\phi)^{\omega}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

Observe that $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 2 n}$ is a basis of the form considered in Lemma 4.5. In the bases $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2 n}\right\}, \phi$ is represented by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{I} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Furthermore, given a pair $(A, B) \in I s o(I, k), A$ must fix $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ so $A$ must be of the form in (4.3). Write also

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
E_{1} & E_{2} & F_{1} \\
E_{3} & E_{4} & \\
\hline F_{2} & F_{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $E_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{I-2 k \times l-2 k}(\mathbb{R}), E_{4} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 k \times 2 k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F_{3} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 m-I \times 2 m-I}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, $(A, B) \in I$ so $(I, k)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A, B) \cdot \phi=\phi \Leftrightarrow A \phi B^{-1}=\phi \Leftrightarrow A \phi=\phi B \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{1} & B_{2} & C_{1} & C_{2} \\
0 & B_{4} & 0 & C_{4} \\
0 & 0 & D_{1} & D_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
E_{1} & E_{2} & F_{1} \\
E_{3} & E_{4} & \\
F_{2} & F_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
B_{1} & B_{2} & 0 \\
0 & B_{4} & \\
\hline 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
E_{1} & E_{2} & F_{1} \\
E_{3} & E_{4} & \\
\hline 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $E_{1}=B_{1}, E_{2}=B_{2}, E_{3}=0, E_{4}=B_{4}$ and $F_{1}=0$. Moreover, since $B$ must be non-singular, $F_{3}$ must also be non-singular. Therefore,

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
B_{1} & B_{2} & 0_{1 \times 2 m-1}  \tag{4.13}\\
0 & B_{4} & \\
\hline F_{2} & F_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $F_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 m-I \times I}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F_{3} \in G L(2 m-I ; \mathbb{R})$. Thus, any element of $I s o(I, k)$ must be represented by a pair $(A, B)$ with $A$ as in (4.3) and $B$ as in (4.13). On the other hand, given any pair $(A, B)$ with $A$
as in (4.3) and $B$ as in (4.13), it is easily checked that it belongs in $I s o(I, k)$.

One thus has a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow N_{l, k} \stackrel{f}{\hookrightarrow} I s o(I, k) \xrightarrow{g} H_{l, k} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f\left(B_{2}, D_{2}, S, F_{2}\right)=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
I & B_{2} & C_{1} & C_{2} \\
0 & I & 0 & C_{4} \\
0 & 0 & I & D_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
F_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

with $C_{1}$ determined by (4.4), $C_{4}$ determined by (4.5) and $C_{2}$ determined by (4.6) and the symmetric matrix $S$;

$$
g(A, B)=\left(B_{1}, B_{4}, D_{1}, F_{3}\right)
$$

with $A$ as in (4.3) and $B$ as in (4.13). Moreover, (4.14) splits with right inverse of $g$ given by the inclusion $H_{l, k} \hookrightarrow I s o(I, k)$ :

$$
\left(B_{1}, B_{4}, D_{1}, F_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{4.15}\\
0 & B_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(B_{1}^{T}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
B_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & B_{4} & \\
\hline 0 & F_{3}
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

One can easily check that the action of $H_{l, k}$ on $N_{l, k}$ determined by sequence (4.14) is the one stated in the theorem.
2. Since $S_{l, k}$ is an orbit of (4.1), it follows that $S_{l, k} \cong S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) / I s o(I, k)$ is an immersed submanifold of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ of dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{I, k}\right)= & \operatorname{dim}(S p(2 n))+\operatorname{dim}(G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})-\operatorname{dim}(I \operatorname{so}(I, k)) \\
= & n(2 n+1)+(2 m)^{2}-\left((I-2 k)^{2}+k(2 k+1)\right. \\
& +(n-I+k)(2(n-I+k)+1)+(2 m-I)^{2}+2 k(I-2 k) \\
& \left.+(2 n-2 I+2 k)(I-2 k)+(2 m-I) I+\frac{1}{2}(I-2 k)(I-2 k+1)\right) \\
= & 2 m 2 n-\left(\frac{1}{2}\left((I-2 k)^{2}-(I-2 k)\right)+(2 m-I)(2 n-I)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)\right)-\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{I, k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.7. $I s o(I, k)^{c} \cong O(I-2 k) \times U(k) \times U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-I)$. Under this identification, the inclusion $I s o(I, k)^{c} \hookrightarrow U(n) \times O(2 m)=S p(2 n)^{c} \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})^{c}$ is given by (4.15).

Since the sets $\overline{S_{l, k}}$ are not totally ordered by inclusion, care must be taken when constructing the homotopy pushouts. Consider the following order relation for pairs $(I, k)$ and $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right) \neq$ $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)<(I, k) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)>\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

There may, however, exist different pairs whose corresponding spaces have the same codimension. Extend $<$ for such pairs choosing some order for them. This makes $<$ a total order for the pairs $(I, k)$ defining non-empty strata $S_{l, k}$. Denote by $\leq$ the corresponding non-strict total order.

Proposition 4.8. The order $<$ refines the order $<$, defined in (4.2).
Proof. The spaces $S_{l, k}$ are semi-algebraic sets in the sense of Definition 2.1.4 in [BCR98]. Furthermore, Propositions 2.8.13 and 2.8.14 of [BCR98] imply that if $S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \subset \overline{S_{l, k}}$, then $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)>\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)$. Thus, the result follows from Proposition 4.4.

To simplify notation, write $X=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. Now fix a pair $(I, k)$ and define $F_{l, k} \subset X$ to be the set

$$
F_{l, k}=\bigcup_{\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)<(I, k)} S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}
$$

Lemma 4.9. $F_{l, k}$ and $F_{l, k} \cup S_{l, k}$ are both closed subsets of $X$.
Proof. If $S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \subset F_{l, k}$ then, by Proposition 4.4, $\overline{S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}} \subset F_{l, k}$. Hence, $F_{l, k}$ is closed. By the same reasoning, $F_{l, k} \cup S_{l, k}=F_{l, k} \cup \overline{S_{l, k}}$ so $F_{l, k} \cup S_{l, k}$ is also closed.

Pick some $U(n) \times O(2 m)$-invariant metric $g$ on $X$. Let $\pi_{k}: N S_{l, k} \rightarrow S_{l, k}$ be the normal bundle of $S_{l, k}$ in $X \backslash F_{l, k}$ (with respect to $g$ ) and let $N S_{l, k} \backslash S_{l, k}$ be the normal bundle minus the zero section.

Proposition 4.10. For each pair $(I, k)$, the square

is a homotopy pushout.
Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.5. One just substitutes in the proof $R_{k}$ by $S_{l, k}, N R_{k}$ by $N S_{l, k} \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}\right)^{*}$ by $X, \overline{R_{k}}$ by $F_{l, k} \cup S_{l, k}$ and $\overline{R_{k-1}}$ by $F_{l, k}$.

Remark 4.11. It is not obvious which are the strata $S_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ such that $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)<(I, k)$. One could expect, as was the case with the family $\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ in chapter 2 , that $F_{l, k} \cup S_{l, k}=\overline{S_{l, k}}$, but this is not always true. To better understand why, it may be useful to look at some concrete cases. Let $m=2$ and $n=3$.


The image on the left shows the non-empty strata connected by arrows expressing the relation $\geq$, defined in 4.2. There is an arrow pointing from $(I, k)$ to $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ if $(I, k) \geq\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ (not all arrows are displayed, only the ones going to directly below strata). The numbers on the side represent $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)$. Note that there is a bifurcation signalling that the spaces $\overline{S_{l, k}}$ are not contained in succession. As a consequence, $S_{2,1}$ has codimension higher than $S_{3,0}$ but it is not contained in its closure. The image on the right shows the homotopy pushouts of the spaces starring in the bifurcation. In this case, we first remove the stratum $S_{2,1}$ and then $S_{3,0}$. So $F_{2,1} \cup S_{2,1}=\overline{S_{2,1}}$ but $F_{3,0} \cup S_{3,0}=\overline{S_{2,1}} \cup S_{3,0} \neq \overline{S_{3,0}}$

In this example, due to its simplicity, if $I>I^{\prime}$, then $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{I, k}\right)<\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)$, independently of $k$ and $k^{\prime}$. However, this does not happen in general, as one can see when $m=4$ and $n=5$. For instance, in this case, $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{4,2}\right)<\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{5,0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{5,0}\right)=\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{4,1}\right)$ so there are even two different strata with the same codimension.

Let $S_{l, k}^{c}=U(n) \times O(2 m) / I s o(I, k)^{c}$ be the orbits of the action (4.1) restricted to the maximal compact subgroup of $S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$. Consider the tangent action of $(A, B) \in U(n) \times O(2 m)$ on $v \in T_{\phi} X$. For $(A, B) \in U(n) \times O(2 m)$ and $v \in T_{\phi} S_{l, k}$, one has $(A, B) \cdot v \in T_{(A, B) \cdot \phi} S_{l, k}$. In particular, if $(A, B) \in I s o(I, k)^{c}$, then $(A, B) \cdot v \in T_{\phi} S_{l, k}$ for $v \in T_{\phi} S_{l, k}$. Since $g$ is $U(n) \times O(2 m)$-invariant, it follows that the normal space $\left(T_{\phi} S_{l, k}\right)^{\perp}$ is also invariant by the tangent action. Therefore, the restriction of the tangent action to $I s o(I, k)^{c}$ induces an action of $I s o(I, k)^{c}$ on $\left(T_{\phi} S_{l, k}\right)^{\perp}$, making $\left(T_{\phi} S_{l, k}\right)^{\perp}$ an $I s o(I, k)^{c}$-representation, called the orthogonal $I s o(I, k)^{c}$-representation. The restriction $\left.N S_{l, k}\right|_{S_{l, k}^{c}}$ of the normal bundle to $S_{l, k}^{c}$ can be described by the orthogonal $I s o(I, k)^{c}$-representation:

Theorem 4.12. Let $V_{l, k}=\left(T_{\phi} S_{l, k}\right)^{\perp}$. Then,

## 1. There is a diagram


2. Consider the vector space $\wedge^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}\right)^{*} \times \mathcal{M}_{(2 n-I) \times(2 m-I)}(\mathbb{R})$ endowed with the action of $O(I-2 k) \times$ $U(k) \times U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-I)$ given by

$$
\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, B_{1}\right) \cdot(\sigma, M)=\left(A_{1}^{*} \sigma,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{3} & 0  \tag{4.17}\\
0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right) M B_{1}^{T}\right)
$$

for all $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, B_{1}\right) \in O(I-2 k) \times U(k) \times U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-I)$ and $(\sigma, M) \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}\right)^{*} \times$ $\mathcal{M}_{(2 n-l) \times(2 m-l)}(\mathbb{R})$.

Then, under the identification Iso $(I, k)^{c} \cong O(I-2 k) \times U(k) \times U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-l)$, one has $V_{l, k} \cong \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}\right)^{*} \times \mathcal{M}_{(2 n-I) \times(2 m-I)}(\mathbb{R})$ as Iso(I,k)$)^{c}$-representations.

Proof.

1. The homotopy equivalence $S_{l, k}^{c} \hookrightarrow S_{l, k}$ comes from the fact that both inclusions $U(n) \times O(2 m) \hookrightarrow$ $S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ and $I s o(I, k)^{c} \hookrightarrow I s o(I, k)$ are homotopy equivalences. The 5-lemma applied to the exact sequences of the bundles $I s o(I, k)^{c} \hookrightarrow U(n) \times O(2 m) \rightarrow S_{l, k}^{c}$ and $I s o(I, k) \hookrightarrow$ $S p(2 n) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_{l, k}$ yields the desired equivalence. Moreover, the map

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(U(n) \times O(2 m)) \times\left._{I s o(l, k)^{c}} V_{l, k} \xrightarrow{f} N S_{l, k}\right|_{S_{l, k}^{c}} \\
{[(A, B), v] \mapsto(A, B) \cdot v}
\end{array}
$$

is well defined and restricts to an isomorphism between the fibres since $(A, B) \in U(n) \times O(2 m)$ is an isomorphism that maps $V_{l, k}$ onto $\left(T_{(A, B) \cdot \phi} S_{l, k}\right)^{\perp}$. It follows that $f$ is an isomorphism between bundles.
2. Let us firstly compute $T_{\phi} S_{I, k}=a(\mathfrak{s p}(2 n) \times \mathfrak{g l}(2 m))$. Given $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{s p}(2 n) \times \mathfrak{g l}(2 m)$,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\left(\exp \left(t V_{1}\right), \exp \left(t V_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \phi=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \exp \left(t V_{1}\right) \phi \exp \left(-t V_{2}\right)=V_{1} \phi-\phi V_{2}
$$

By picking bases for $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ as the ones in the beginning of the proof of Point 1 of Theorem 4.6, we can assume $\phi=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{l} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and that $\omega$ is represented by a matrix $J$ of the form in (4.7), but substituting $2 m$ by $/$ in every block dimension. Write

$$
V_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & X_{2} \\
X_{3} & X_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad V_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1} & y_{2} \\
y_{3} & y_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $X_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 1}(\mathbb{R}), X_{4} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 n-\mid \times 2 n-l}(\mathbb{R}), Y_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{l \times I}(\mathbb{R})$ and $Y_{4} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 m-l \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$.

$$
V_{1} \phi-\phi V_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{1} & 0  \tag{4.18}\\
X_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y_{1} & Y_{2} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}-Y_{1} & -Y_{2} \\
x_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\mathfrak{g l}(2 m)=\mathcal{M}_{2 m \times 2 m}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $X_{1}-Y_{1}$ spans all matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{/ \times /}(\mathbb{R})$ and $-Y_{2}$ spans all matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{1 \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R}) . V_{1} \in \mathfrak{s p}(2 n)=\left\{X \in \mathcal{M}_{2 n \times 2 n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid X^{\top} J=-J X\right\}$ so the matrices $X_{i}$ have some restrictions imposed on them. To obtain the restrictions imposed on $X_{3}$, let us expand the equation $V_{1}^{\top} J=-J V_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{1}^{T} & X_{3}^{T} \\
X_{2}^{T} & X_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2} \\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & G_{2} \\
-G_{2}^{T} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{1} & X_{2} \\
X_{3} & X_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{1}^{T} G_{1}-X_{3}^{T} G_{2}^{T} & X_{1}^{T} G_{2}+X_{3}^{T} G_{3} \\
X_{2}^{T} G_{1}-X_{4}^{T} G_{2}^{T} & X_{2}^{T} G_{2}+X_{4}^{T} G_{3}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} X_{1}+G_{2} X_{3} & G_{1} X_{2}+G_{2} X_{4} \\
-G_{2}^{T} X_{1}+G_{3} X_{3} & -G_{2}^{T} X_{2}+G_{3} X_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, one gets three independent equations:
(a) $X_{1}^{T} G_{1}-X_{3}^{T} G_{2}^{T}=-G_{1} X_{1}-G_{2} X_{3}$;
(b) $X_{1}^{T} G_{2}+X_{3}^{T} G_{3}=-G_{1} X_{2}-G_{2} X_{4}$;
(c) $X_{2}^{T} G_{2}+X_{4}^{T} G_{3}=G_{2}^{T} X_{2}-G_{3} X_{4}$.

To solve these equations, let us write further the matrices $X_{i}$ in blocks:

$$
X_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1} & D_{2} \\
D_{3} & D_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{I-2 k \times l-2 k}(\mathbb{R}), B_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{l-2 k \times 2(n-l+k)}(\mathbb{R}), C_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{2(n-l+k) \times I-2 k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $D_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{2(n-I+k) \times 2(n-l+k)}(\mathbb{R})$ (the dimensions of the other blocks are determined by those of $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ ). Equation (2a) translates to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{T} & A_{3}^{T} \\
A_{2}^{T} & A_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{1}^{T} & C_{3}^{T} \\
C_{2}^{T} & C_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
I_{l-2 k} & 0
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{1} & C_{2} \\
C_{3} & C_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & A_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} \\
0 & A_{4}^{T} J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{3}^{T} & 0 \\
C_{4}^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
J_{2 k} A_{3} & J_{2 k} A_{4}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{3} & C_{4} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-C_{3}^{T} & A_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} \\
-C_{4}^{T} & A_{4}^{T} J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-C_{3} & -C_{4} \\
-J_{2 k} A_{3} & -J_{2 k} A_{4}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
C_{3}=C_{3}^{T}, \quad C_{4}=-A_{3}^{T} J_{2 k}, \quad J_{2 k} A_{4}=-A_{4}^{T} J_{2 k} .
$$

Equation (2b) translates to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{T} & A_{3}^{T} \\
A_{2}^{T} & A_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}^{T} & C_{3}^{T} \\
C_{2}^{T} & C_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & D_{2} \\
D_{3} & D_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & A_{1}^{T} \\
0 & A_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
C_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
J_{2 k} B_{3} & J_{2 k} B_{4}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{3} & D_{4} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & A_{1}^{T} \\
C_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & A_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-D_{3} & -D_{4} \\
J_{2 k} B_{3} & J_{2 k} B_{4}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
D_{3}=C_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-I+k)}, \quad D_{4}=-A_{1}^{T}, \quad C_{2}=J_{2(n-l+k)} B_{3}^{T} J_{2 k}, \quad B_{4}=-J_{2 k} A_{2}^{T} .
$$

Equation (2c) translates to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}^{T} & B_{3}^{T} \\
B_{2}^{T} & B_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{l-2 k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1}^{T} & D_{3}^{T} \\
D_{2}^{T} & D_{4}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
I_{l-2 k} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1} & D_{2} \\
D_{3} & D_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & B_{1}^{T} \\
0 & B_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0 \\
D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
B_{1} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1} & J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & B_{1}^{T} \\
D_{2}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)} & B_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1} & -J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{2} \\
B_{1} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-I+k)}=-J_{2(n-I+k)} D_{1}, \quad D_{2}=J_{2(n-I+k)} B_{1}^{T}, \quad B_{2}=B_{2}^{T} .
$$

Putting all restrictions together, $v_{1}$ must be of the form

$$
V_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & A_{2} & B_{1} & B_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4} & B_{3} & J_{2 k} A_{2}^{T} \\
C_{1} & J_{2(n-l+k} B_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} & D_{1} & J_{2(n-l+k)} B_{1}^{T} \\
C_{3} & -A_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} & -C_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-1+k)} & -A_{1}^{T}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
J_{2 k} A_{4}=-A_{4}^{T} J_{2 k}, \quad B_{2}^{T}=B_{2}, \quad C_{3}^{T}=C_{3}, \quad D_{1}^{T} J_{2(n-l+k)}=-J_{2(n-l+k)} D_{1} .
$$

In particular,

$$
x_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{1} & -J_{2(n-I+k)} B_{3}^{T} J_{2 k} \\
C_{3} & A_{3}^{T} J_{2 k}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The matrices $C_{1}, B_{3}$ and $A_{3}$ have no restrictions imposed on them, so, by (4.18), the tangent space at $\phi$ is

$$
T_{\phi} S_{l, k}=a(\mathfrak{s p}(2 n) \times \mathfrak{g l}(2 m))=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4} & 0_{2 n-I \times 2 m-1} \\
M_{5} & M_{6} & \left.\mid M_{5}^{T}=M_{5}\right\} . . . ~ . ~ . ~
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

with $M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{/ \times /}(\mathbb{R})$ and $M_{5} \in \mathcal{M}_{/-2 k \times l-2 k}(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, taking a complement,

$$
V_{l, k}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
0 & 0 & \\
\hline 0 & 0 & Z_{2} \\
Z_{1} & 0 &
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, Z_{1}^{T}=-Z_{1}\right\}=\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{R}^{I-2 k} \times \mathcal{M}_{2 n-I \times 2 m-I}(\mathbb{R}) .
$$

An element $(A, B) \in I s o(I, k)^{c}$ is written as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $A_{1} \in O(I-2 k), A_{2} \in U(k), A_{3} \in U(n-I+k)$ and $B_{1} \in O(2 m-I)$ (see (4.15)). The action on $V_{l, k}$ is just

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 \\
Z_{1} & 0
\end{array} Z_{2}\right.
\end{array}\right) B^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Z_{2} \\
Z_{1} & 0 & Z_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1}^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{1}^{T}
\end{array}\right)=
$$

so $V_{l, k}$ is indeed isomorphic to $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}\right)^{*} \times \mathcal{M}_{2 n-/ \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ with action given by (4.17).

Remark 4.13. Note that the $\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}$ term of $V_{l, k}$ is only acted on by $O(I-2 k)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{(2 n-l) \times(2 m-l)}(\mathbb{R})$ is acted on by $O(I-2 k) \times U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-I)$.

### 4.3 Cohomological Obstructions

Since $N$ has an almost symplectic form, $T N$ admits a reduction of structure group to $S p(2 n)$. Also, $U(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $S p(2 n)$, so $B S p(2 n) \simeq B U(n)$.

Consider the bundle $p: \operatorname{Hom}(T M, T N) \rightarrow M \times N$, where

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(T M, T N)=\left\{(x, y, \phi) \mid x \in M, y \in N \text { and } \phi: T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{y} N\right\}
$$

and $p$ is the projection on the first two coordinates. In other words, $\operatorname{Hom}(T M, T N)$ is the bundle with fibre $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ associated to $T M \times T N \rightarrow M \times N$, so its classifying map is $\tau_{M} \times \tau_{N}$. The following diagram of bundles then implies that the classifying map of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{M}, \tau_{N} \circ i\right)$.


Let $X=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$. We wish to define cohomological obstructions to the existence of a lift $g$ of a $\operatorname{map} f$ as the one given below.


Here, the notation $h U(n) \times O(2 m)$ has been reduced to $h$.

As was the case with (3.8) in chapter 3, the obstructions come from the kernel of $\iota^{*}$, in the sense that, for $g$ to exist, $f$ must satisfy equations like (3.9):

$$
f^{*}(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)
$$

The goal is thus to find generators for $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)$, which we will call the obstruction classes.
Proposition 4.14. $\iota$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence. In particular for degrees $<\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right), \iota^{*}$ is injective.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.10. One first observes that for each pair $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)<$ $(I, k)$ (see (4.16)) and for $(I, k)$ itself, the following square is a homotopy pushout.


The map $\pi l_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence, so $j_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is also a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence. Since $S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \subset F_{l, k} \cup S_{l, k}$, it follows that $j_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence. Finally, one notes that $\iota$ is the composition of maps $j_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ for pairs $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ where $S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \subset F_{I, k} \cup S_{I, k}$ (for instance, in the first example of Remark 4.11, for $\left.(ו, k)=(3,0), \iota=j_{3,0} \circ j_{2,1} \circ \cdots \circ j_{0,0}\right)$. Hence, $\iota$ is a $\left(\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)-1\right)$-equivalence.

Now denote the Euler class of $\left(N S_{l, k}\right)_{h} \rightarrow\left(S_{l, k}\right)_{h}$ by $e_{l, k}$ and denote by $\psi_{l, k}$ the inclusions


Theorem 4.15. If for every pair $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ such that $(I, k) \leq\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ (see (4.16)) one has that $e_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is not a zero-divisor, then in $H^{\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)}\left(X_{h}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\bigcap_{(I, k)<\left(l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right), \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)\right)=1$, so $\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)$ is generated by a single non-zero class ${ }^{{ }^{1}, k}$.

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in Theorem 3.12. For each pair $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ such that $(I, k) \leq\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$, the homotopy pushout

yields a long exact sequence of cohomology:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdots \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\left(X \backslash F_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h)}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(l_{1, k^{\prime}}^{*} J_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\right)} H^{*}\left(\left(S_{l, k}\right)_{h}\right) \oplus H^{*}\left(\left(X \backslash\left(F_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \cup S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{h}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \xrightarrow{\pi_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*} i_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(N S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \backslash S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The Gysin Sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
\cdots \rightarrow H^{*-\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup e_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}} H^{*}\left(\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}} H^{*}\left(\left(N S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \backslash S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h}\right) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow H^{*-\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)+1}\left(\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

together with the fact that $e_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is not a zero divisor, implies that $\pi_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$ is surjective in degrees $* \geq$ $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)$. Sequence (4.22), together with surjectivness of $\pi_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}$, implies that the pair $\left(I_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}, j_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\right)$ is injective in degrees $* \geq \operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)$. In particular, $\left(I_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}, j_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\right)$ is injective in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{I, k}\right) \geq$
$\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)$. Let us write $\left(I_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ for the smallest pair (with respect to $\left.<\right)$ such that $(I, k)<\left(I_{1}, k_{1}\right)$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(l_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right) .
$$

In the same way, if $\left(I_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ is the minimum pair such that $\left(I_{1}, k_{1}\right)<\left(I_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{l_{2}, k_{2}}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(j_{l_{2}, k_{2}}^{*} \circ j_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}\right) .
$$

Equality (4.21) follows from continuing this reasoning and noting both that $(2 m, m)$ is the maximum with respect to $<$ and $j_{2 m, m-1}^{*} \circ \cdots \circ j_{l_{1}, k_{1}}^{*} \circ \iota^{*}=\psi_{2 m, m}$.

To prove that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\iota^{*}\right)\right)=1$, observe that (4.23) for $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=(I, k)$ implies that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{I, k}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left\langle e_{l, k}\right)\right\rangle \operatorname{sodim} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{l, k}\right)\right)=1$. Lemma 3.13 then implies that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(j_{l, k}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{l, k}\right)\right)=$ 1 and $\iota^{*}$ is a composition of $j_{l, k}^{*}$ with $j_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}$ for $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)<(I, k)$, which are isomorphisms in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{I, k}\right)$.

Hence, the problem is reduced to solving the equations $\psi_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*} x=0$, which are called the restricting equations (see the discussion immediately before section 3.4). Before solving the restricting equations, let us show that indeed the Euler classes $e_{l, k}$ are not zero-divisors.

### 4.4 The Euler Classes

Lemma 4.16. There is a bundle morphism:


Proof. This now follows exactly the proof of Lemma 3.14. One first notes that $\left(S_{l, k}^{c}\right)_{h} \simeq\left(S_{l, k}\right)_{h}$ and restricts the normal bundle to $\left(S_{l, k}^{c}\right)_{h}$. Then, one uses the equivalences

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left.N S_{l, k}\right|_{\left(S_{l, k}^{c}\right)}\right)_{h} \simeq E U(n) \times E O(2 m) \times_{U(n) \times O(2 m)}\left(U(n) \times O(2 m) \times_{I s o(l, k)^{c}} V_{l, k}\right) \simeq \\
& \simeq E U(n) \times E O(2 m) \times_{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{l, k} \simeq E I s o(k)^{c} \times_{I s o(k)^{c}} V_{k} \\
&\left(S_{l, k}^{c}\right)_{h} \simeq E U(n) \times E O(2 m) \times_{U(n) \times O(2 m)}\left(U(n) \times O(2 m) / I s o(I, k)^{c}\right) \simeq B I s o(I, k)^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark 4.17.

- Let us denote by $w, c, d$ and $v$ the total Stiefel-Whitney (or Chern reduced mod 2) classes in $H^{*}(B O(I-2 k)), H^{*}(B U(k)), H^{*}(B U(n-I+k))$ and $H^{*}(B O(2 m-l))$, respectively. For $i<0$ or $i>I-2 k, w_{i}=0$ and the same goes for the other classes with the appropriate bounds.
- Denote also by $t_{i}$ the Stiefel-Whitney roots corresponding to $w, s_{i}$ the ones corresponding to $v$ and $u_{j}$ the Chern roots of $d$.
- Following Remark 4.7, BIso(I,k) ${ }^{c} \simeq B O(I-2 k) \times B U(k) \times B U(n-I+k) \times B O(2 m-I)$. Thus, one has

$$
H^{*}\left(\left(S_{l, k}\right)_{h}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{l-2 k}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, d_{1}, \ldots d_{n-l+k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 m-l}\right]
$$

Theorem 4.18. The Euler class of $\left(N S_{I, k}\right)_{h}$ is the product

$$
e_{l, k}=\operatorname{det}\left(w_{\delta_{i}-i+j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{I-2 k} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left((w d / v)_{2 n-I-i+j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2 m-l}
$$

where $\delta=(I-2 k-1, I-2 k-2, \ldots, 1)$ and the total class $w d / v$ is the one that satisfies $v \cup(w d / v)=w d$.

Note that the first determinant in the product is the Schur polynomial in the variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l-2 k}$ associated to the partition $\delta$.

Proof. According to Remark 4.13, we may consider the projection of $I$ so $(I, k)^{c}$ onto $G_{l, k}=O(I-2 k) \times$ $U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-I)$, inducing

and compute the Euler class of the bundle on the right. Note that, by the same remark, $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}\right)^{*} \oplus$ $\mathcal{M}_{2 n-I \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ is the direct sum of two subrepresentations and observe that the action on $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{I-2 k}\right)^{*}$ is the same as the action considered in Theorem 3.8 (substituting $2 m$ by $/$ ). Thus, the Euler class of this factor is $s_{\delta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l-2 k}\right)$. Focusing on the other factor, observe that it factors itself into two subrepresentations. Indeed, let $(A, B, C) \in O(I-2 k) \times U(n-I+k) \times O(2 m-l)$ and $M=\binom{M_{1}}{M_{2}} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 n-I \times 2 m-I}(\mathbb{R})$ with $M_{1}$ a $2(n-I+k) \times 2 m-I$ matrix and $M_{2}$ a $I-2 k \times 2 m-I$ matrix. The action on $M$ is then given by

$$
(A, B, C) \cdot M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right)\binom{M_{1}}{M_{2}} C^{T}=\binom{B M_{1} C^{T}}{A M_{2} C^{T}}
$$

So $\mathcal{M}_{2 n-l \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})=\mathcal{M}_{2(n-l+k) \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{l-2 k \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ as a representation. Let us study the classes coming from the factor $\mathcal{M}_{2(n-I+k) \times 2 m-I}(\mathbb{R})$. Take the inclusion $I_{l, k}=\left(S^{1}\right)^{n-I+k} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2 m-I} \hookrightarrow G_{l, k}$ inducing the diagram

and observe that $j^{*}$ is an injective map such that $j^{*}\left(d_{i}\right)$ and $j^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)$ are the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomials in the variables $u_{i}$ and $s_{i}$, respectively. As a representation of $I_{l, k}, \mathcal{M}_{2(n-l+k) \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ breaks up into a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{2(n-l+k) \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{\substack{i=n-l+k \\ j=2 m-1}} \mathbb{C}_{i, j}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{i, j}$ denotes $\mathbb{C}$ with $I_{l, k}$ acting through the projection onto the $i$-th $S^{1}$ and $j$-th $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ factors by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\left(\left(e^{i \theta}, a\right), z\right) & \mapsto a e^{i \theta} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us fix a copy $\mathbb{C}_{i, j}$. The composition $S^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} S^{1} \times S^{1} \xrightarrow{p} S^{1}$, where $p(z, w)=z w$, induces a bundle diagram

where the action of $S^{1}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ considered on $E S^{1} \times_{S^{1}} \mathbb{C}$ is $\left(e^{i \theta}, z\right) \mapsto e^{i \theta} z$. The cohomology of $B S^{1}$ is generated by $t=c_{1}\left(\gamma^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right) \in H^{2}\left(B S^{1}\right)$. It is easy to check that $E S^{1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} \mathbb{C}$ is just the tautological bundle $r^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ so the map $B p \circ B j$ pulls back $t$ to the Euler class of the bundle $E S^{1} \times E \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times{ }_{S^{1} \times S^{1}} \mathbb{C}$. We wish now to write explicitly the map induced in cohomology by $B p \circ B j$. Let us write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{*}\left(B S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[t] \\
& H^{*}\left(B S^{1} \times B S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \\
& H^{*}\left(B S^{1} \times B \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[u, s]
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.65, the map induced by $B j: B S^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow B S^{1} \times B S^{1}$ sends $x_{1}+x_{2} \mapsto u+s^{2}$. To understand $B p^{*}$, consider the long exact sequences of the bundles $S^{1} \hookrightarrow E S^{1} \rightarrow B S^{1}$ and $S^{1} \times S^{1} \hookrightarrow E S^{1} \times E S^{1} \rightarrow$ $B S^{1} \times B S^{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(E S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(B S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
& \cdots \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(E S^{1} \times E S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(B S^{1} \times B S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E S^{1} \times E S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

From the naturality of the sequences and the fact that $E S^{1}$ is contractible, there is a commuting square:


Since $B S^{1}=\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{\infty}$ is simply connected, the Hurewicz Theorem (Theorem 4.37 of [Hat02]) and naturality of the Hurewicz map $h$ imply that


It is easy to see that $p_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is just $(a, b) \mapsto a+b\left(\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\right)$, so the same expression holds for $B p_{*}$. Reducing the homology coefficients to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and dualizing, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
B p^{*}: H^{2}\left(B S^{1}\right) & \rightarrow H^{2}\left(B S^{1} \times B S^{1}\right) \\
t & \mapsto x_{1}+x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(B p \circ B \iota)^{*}(t)=u+s^{2}$ and the Euler class of the $\mathcal{M}_{2(n-l+k) \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ factor is

$$
\prod_{i, j=1}^{\substack{i=n-l+k \\ j=2 m-l}}\left(u_{i}+s_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

The class of the $\mathcal{M}_{l-2 k \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ factor is obtained in a similar but easier way. One considers first the bundle diagram induced by the inclusion $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{I-2 k} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2 m-l} \hookrightarrow G_{l, k}$, then decomposes the restricted representation into a direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{\substack{i=I-2 k \\ j=2 m-1}} \mathbb{R}_{i j}
$$

of $(I-2 k)(2 m-I)$ copies of $\mathbb{R}$ where $R_{i, j}$ is acted on by $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{I-2 k} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2 m-I}$ through the projections onto the $i$-th and $I-2 k+j$-th $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ factors. The Euler class of $\mathbb{R}_{i, j}$ is obtained in a similar way and is $t_{i}+s_{j}$. Hence, the Euler class of the $\mathcal{M}_{l-2 k \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ factor is

$$
\prod_{i, j=1}^{\substack{i=1-2 k \\ j=2 m-1}}\left(t_{i}+s_{j}\right)
$$

The Euler class of the factor $\mathcal{M}_{2 n-l \times 2 m-l}(\mathbb{R})$ will then be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i, j=1}^{\substack{i=n-l+k \\ j=2 m-l}}\left(u_{i}+s_{j}^{2}\right) \prod_{i, j=1}^{\substack{i=l-2 k \\ j=2 m-l}}\left(t_{i}+s_{j}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that $\operatorname{det}\left((w d / v)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2 m-1}$ is mapped to (4.24) when replacing $w, c$ and $v$ by their expansions in terms of the Stiefel-Whitney and Chern roots. A useful notation for $w, d$ and $v$ in terms of their roots is

$$
w=\prod_{i=1}^{l-2 k}\left(1+t_{i} t\right), \quad d=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1+k}\left(1+u_{i} t^{2}\right), \quad v=\prod_{j=1}^{2 m-1}\left(1+s_{i} t\right),
$$

where $w_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ are the coefficients of $t^{i}$ and $d_{i}$ is the coefficient of $t^{2 i}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{2 n-1} p_{j} t^{j}=w d=\prod_{i=1}^{l-2 k}\left(1+t_{i} t\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-l+k}\left(1+u_{i} t^{2}\right), \\
& q(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{2 m-l} q_{j} t^{j}=v=\prod_{j=1}^{2 m-1}\left(1+s_{i} t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The resultant of $q(t)$ and $p(t)$, usually denoted by $\operatorname{Res}(q(t), p(t))$ is the following determinant:

$$
\operatorname{Res}(q(t), p(t))=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & q_{1} & \cdots & q_{2 m-1} & 0 & \cdots & & 0  \tag{4.25}\\
0 & 1 & q_{1} & \cdots & q_{2 m-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & q_{1} & \cdots & q_{2 m-1} \\
1 & p_{1} & \cdots & p_{2 n-1} & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\
0 & 1 & p_{1} & \cdots & p_{2 n-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & p_{1} & \cdots & p_{2 n-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

One can check using formula (4.25) that if $a(t), b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are polynomials, then $\operatorname{Res}(a(t) b(t), c(t))=$ $\operatorname{Res}(a(t), c(t)) \operatorname{Res}(b(t), c(t))$. And the same happens for the other slot. Thus, $\operatorname{Res}(q(t), p(t))$ can be computed by computing the resultants of each pair of factors in the products defining $p(t)$ and $q(t)$. For instance, given some $i, j$, one sees that

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(1+s_{j} t, 1+t_{i} t\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s_{i} \\
1 & t_{i}
\end{array}\right)=t_{i}+s_{j} .
$$

Doing the same for factors of the form $1+u_{i} t^{2}$ and $1+s_{j} t$, it follows that $\operatorname{Res}(q(t), p(t))=(4.24)$. Now let $x(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_{i} t^{i}=1 / q(t)$. Then,

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{2 n+2 m-2 l-1} \\
0 & 1 & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{2 n+2 m-2 /-2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a matrix of determinant 1 , so multiplying the matrix in (4.25) by $X$ does not affect the determinant. Following section 2.4 (i) of [Arb+85], one can see that after multiplying by $\operatorname{det}(X)$, one has

$$
\operatorname{Res}(q(t), p(t))=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
(p / q)_{2 n-I} & (p / q)_{2 n-I+1} & \cdots & (p / q)_{2 n+2 m-2 /-1} \\
(p / q)_{2 n-l-1} & (p / q)_{2 n-I} & \cdots & \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
(p / q)_{2 n-2 m+1} & \cdots & & (p / q)_{2 n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(p / q)_{i} t^{i}=p(t) / q(t)$. Note that $(p / q)_{i}=(w d / v)_{i}$, so this determinant is $\operatorname{det}\left((w d / v)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2 m-1}$ after replacing $w, d$ and $v$ by their expressions in terms of Stiefel-Whitney and Chern roots.

Once again, it is obvious that $e_{l, k}$ is not a zero-divisor so we can move on to computing the cohomological obstructions.

### 4.5 Computing the Obstructions

To solve the restricting equations, one must first write a suitable expression for the maps $\psi_{l, k}$ in (4.20).
Lemma 4.19. One has isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{*}\left(\left(S_{I, k}\right)_{h}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{l-2 k}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, d_{1}, \ldots d_{n-l+k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 m-l}\right], \\
& H^{*}\left(X_{h}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under these identifications, the maps $\psi_{l, k}^{*}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{l, k}^{*}: \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right] & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 m-1}\right]  \tag{4.26}\\
w & \mapsto w c v \\
c & \mapsto w^{2} c d
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first isomorphism was obtained in Remark 4.17, the second comes from the fact that $X$ is contractible, so $X_{h} \simeq B U(n) \times B O(2 m)$. To prove (4.26), observe that there is a commuting diagram by Corollary 2.37:


Thus, under the identifications $\left(S_{l, k}\right)_{h} \simeq B I s O(I, k)^{c}, X_{h} \simeq B U(n) \times B O(2 m), \psi_{l, k}$ is the inclusion $B I s o(I, k)^{c} \stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} B U(n) \times B O(2 m)$. Consider the identification

$$
B I s o(I, k)^{c} \cong B O(I-2 k) \times B U(k) \times B U(n-I+k) \times B O(2 m-I)
$$

and denote by $\pi_{1}: B U(n) \times B O(2 m) \rightarrow B U(n)$ and $\pi_{2}: B(n) \times B O(2 m) \rightarrow B O(2 m)$ the canonical projections. Then, by Remark 4.7, $\pi_{1} \circ j$ decomposes as

where $p_{1}$ is the projection on the first three factors. By Proposition 2.67, $b_{1}^{*}(c)=b \tilde{c} d$, where $b, \tilde{c}$ and $d$ are the total Chern classes in $H^{*}(B U(I-2 k)), H^{*}(B U(k))$ and $H^{*}(B U(n-I+k))$, respectively. $p_{1}^{*}(b \tilde{c} d)=b \tilde{c} d$ and, by Proposition 2.65, $a_{1}^{*}(b \tilde{c} d)=\tilde{w}^{2} \tilde{c} d$, where $\tilde{w}$ is the total Stiefel-Whitney class in $H^{*}(B O(I-2 k))$. In the same way, $\pi_{2} \circ j$ decomposes as

$b_{2}^{*}(w)=\tilde{w} x v$, where $x$ and $v$ are the total Stiefel-Whitney classes in $H^{*}(B O(2 k))$ and $H^{*}(B O(2 m-l))$, respectively. $p_{2}^{*}(\tilde{w} \times v)=\tilde{w} \times v$ and, by Proposition 2.66, $a_{2}^{*}(\tilde{w} \times v)=\tilde{w} \tilde{c} v$.

Finally, we are ready to compute the obstructions.

Theorem 4.20. Recall the inclusion ı defined in (4.19). For each pair $(I, k)$, the kernel of $\iota^{*}$ in degree $\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{l, k}\right)$ is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{v}_{l, k}=\operatorname{det}\binom{\left\{(c / w)_{2 n-I-i+j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2(m-k)}}{\left\{w_{l-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{\substack{i=1, j=1-2 m+l}}^{i, j=l-2 k}} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 4.15, one only needs to check that $\psi_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{0}_{l, k}\right)=0$ for all pairs $\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ such that $(I, k)<\left(I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, by Proposition 4.8, it suffices to check $\psi_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{l}_{l, k}\right)=0$ in two cases:

1. $I^{\prime}>/$ and
2. $I^{\prime} \leq I, k<k^{\prime}$.

By the formula in Lemma 4.19,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{I^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{l, k}\right)=\operatorname{det}\binom{\left\{(w d / v)_{2 n-I-i+j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2(m-k)}}{\left\{(w v c)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i, j=I-2 k}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}=0$ for $i<0$ or $i>l^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}, v_{i}=0$ for $i<0$ or $i>2 m-l^{\prime}, d_{i}=0$ for $i<0$ or $i>n-l^{\prime}+k^{\prime}$ and $c_{i}=0$ for $i<0$ or $i>k^{\prime}$.

1. $I^{\prime}>I$ :

The class $w d / v$ is the only class $x \in H^{*}\left(\left(S_{l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{h}\right)$ that satisfies the equation $v x=w d$. Since the coefficient group for cohomology is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the $i$-th component of $w d / v$ is given by

$$
(w d / v)_{i}=(w d)_{i}+v_{1}(w d / v)_{i-1}+v_{2}(w d / v)_{i-2}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}}(w d / v)_{i-\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)}
$$

In particular, the elements of the first row of the matrix in (4.29) are given by

$$
(w d / v)_{2 n-l-1+j}=(w d)_{2 n-l-1+j}+(w d / v)_{2 n-l-2+j} v_{1}+\ldots+(w d / v)_{2 n-l-2 m+l^{\prime}+j} v_{2 m-l}
$$

Note that $(w d)_{i}=0$ for $i>l^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}+2\left(n-l^{\prime}+k^{\prime}\right)=2 n-l^{\prime}$. Since $2 n-l^{\prime}<2 n-l$, it follows that $(w d)_{2 n-I-1+j}=0$ for all $j$. As $2 m-I^{\prime}<2 m-I$, it follows that the first row is a linear combination of the $2 m-I^{\prime}$ rows below. Therefore, the determinant in (4.29) is zero.

## 2. $I^{\prime} \leq I, k<k^{\prime}$ :

Let us call the matrix in (4.29) by $M$. Firstly, observe that, similarly to (3.19) in the proof of Theorem 3.17 , the class $c$ in the lower submatrix of $M$ can be taken out. That is because $M$ is obtained as the product

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{2 m-I} & & & 0 &  \tag{4.30}\\
& 1 & c_{1} & \cdots & c_{l-2 k-1} \\
& 0 & 1 & \cdots & c_{l-2 k-2} \\
0 & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\left\{(w d / v)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2(m-k)},\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\{(w v)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i, j=l-2 k}
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

The matrix on the left has determinant equal to 1 so, to compute the determinant in (4.29) we may assume $M$ is the second factor in (4.30). Let us write $M$ in six blocks:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
E & F \\
G & H
\end{array}\right)
$$

where,
$A=\left\{(w d / v)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2 m-l^{\prime}}, \quad B=\left\{(w d / v)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=2 m-l^{\prime}+1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2(m-k)}, \quad E=\left\{(w v)_{l-2 k-2 i+j}^{i=l}\right\}_{i=1, j=1-j=1-l^{\prime}}^{i=1}$ $F=\left\{(w v)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=I-l^{\prime}+1}^{i=I-l^{\prime}, j=I-2 k}, \quad G=\left\{(w v)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=I-I l^{\prime}+1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i=I-2 k, j=I-l^{\prime}}, \quad H=\left\{(w v)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=I-l^{\prime}+1, j=I-l^{\prime}+1}^{i, j=I-2 k}$

Denote also the $i$-th column of $A$ by $a_{i}$, the $i$-th column of $B$ by $b_{i}$ and do the same for the other blocks. Recall that the $i$-th component of $w d / v$ is

$$
(w d / v)_{i}=(w d)_{i}+v_{1}(w d / v)_{i-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}}(w d / v)_{i-\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

All elements of $B$ are of the form $(w d / v)_{i}$ with $i \geq 2 n-l-(2 m-l)+\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)+1=2 n-l^{\prime}+1>2 n-I^{\prime}$. Since $(w d)_{i}=0$ for $i>2 n-l^{\prime}$, an element $(w d / v)_{i}$ of $B$ is written as

$$
(w d / v)_{i}=v_{1}(w d / v)_{i-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}}(w d / v)_{i-\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Since $A$ has $2 m-I^{\prime}$ columns, it follows that the first column of $B$ is a linear combination of the columns of $A$ :

$$
b_{1}=v_{1} a_{2 m-l^{\prime}}+v_{2} a_{2 m-l^{\prime}-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} a_{1}
$$

The other columns of $B$ are also linear combinations of the $2 m-I^{\prime}$ previous columns. For instance,

$$
b_{2}=v_{1} b_{1}+v_{2} a_{2 m-\prime^{\prime}}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} a_{2} .
$$

Therefore, multiplying $M$ on the right by

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& v_{2 m-l^{\prime}-1} & v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
I_{2 m-l^{\prime}} & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
& v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{l^{\prime}-2 k} \\
& 1 & v_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & v_{\prime^{\prime}-2 k-1} \\
0_{l^{\prime}-2 k \times 2 m-l^{\prime}} & 0 & 1 & v_{1} & \cdots & \vdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

results in a matrix $\tilde{M}$ given by

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
E & \tilde{F} \\
G & \tilde{H}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $\operatorname{det}(X)=1$ so $\operatorname{det}(M)=\operatorname{det}(\tilde{M})$. If $\tilde{f}_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th column of $\tilde{F}$, then observe that

$$
\tilde{f}_{1}=f_{i}+v_{1} e_{2 m-l^{\prime}}+v_{2} e_{2 m-l^{\prime}-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} e_{1}
$$

The other columns of $\tilde{F}$ are, in the same way, linear combinations of the $2 m-l^{\prime}$ previous columns. For instance,

$$
\tilde{f}_{2}=f_{2}+v_{1} f_{1}+v_{2} e_{2 m-l^{\prime}-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} v_{2}
$$

Likewise, if $\tilde{h}_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th column of $\tilde{H}$, then

$$
\tilde{h}_{1}=h_{1}+v_{1} g_{2 m-l^{\prime}}+v_{2} g_{2 m-l^{\prime}-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}} g_{1} .
$$

And the other columns of $\tilde{H}$ are, in the same way, linear combinations of the $2 m-I^{\prime}$ previous columns. By the product formula, one has

$$
\left(w v^{2}\right)_{i}=(w v \cdot v)_{i}=(w v)_{i}+v_{1}(w v)_{i-1}+\ldots+v_{2 m-l^{\prime}}(w v)_{i-\left(2 m-l^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Therefore,

- $\tilde{F}=\left\{\left(w v^{2}\right)_{l-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=I-l-l^{\prime}+1}^{i=l-l^{\prime}, j=l-2 k}$ and
- $\tilde{H}=\left\{\left(w v^{2}\right)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{\substack{i=I-l^{\prime}+1, j=I-l^{\prime}+1}}^{i, j=I-2 k}$.

Observe that, since coefficients are in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, one has

$$
v^{2}=v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+\ldots
$$

Hence, $\left(v^{2}\right)_{i}=0$ for odd $i$. Thus, one can write $\tilde{M}$ as a product similar to the one obtained in (4.30) with $v^{2}$ playing the role of $c$ :

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{2 m-I} & & & 0 & \\
& 1 & \left(v^{2}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(v^{2}\right)_{I-2 k-1} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \left(v^{2}\right)_{I-2 k-2} \\
& \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
E^{\prime} & F^{\prime} \\
G^{\prime} & H^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{\prime}=\left\{w_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i=l-l^{\prime}, j=l-l^{\prime}}, \quad F^{\prime}=\left\{w_{l-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=l-l-l^{\prime}+1}^{i=l-l^{\prime}, j=l-2 k} \\
& G^{\prime}=\left\{w_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=l-l^{\prime}+1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i=l-2 k, j=l-l^{\prime}}, \quad H^{\prime}=\left\{w_{l-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=l-l^{\prime}+1, j=l-l^{\prime}+1}^{i, j=l-2 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any element of $F^{\prime}$ is of the form $w_{i}$ with $i \geq I-2 k-2\left(I-I^{\prime}\right)+\left(I-I^{\prime}\right)+1=I^{\prime}-2 k+1>I^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}$ since $k^{\prime}>k$. As $w_{i}=0$ for $i>I^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}, F^{\prime}=0$. Moreover, any element of the first column of $H^{\prime}$ is of the form $w_{i}$ with $i \geq I-2 k-2\left(I-I^{\prime}+1\right)+\left(I-I^{\prime}+1\right)=I^{\prime}-2 k-1=I^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}+2\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)-1>I^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}$ since $k^{\prime}-k \geq 1$. Therefore, all elements of the first column of $H^{\prime}$ are zero, thus $\operatorname{det}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=0$. This finishes the proof because

$$
\operatorname{det}(\tilde{M})=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
E^{\prime} & 0 \\
G^{\prime} & H^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}\binom{A}{E^{\prime}} \operatorname{det}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

We saw that the classifying map of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{M}, \tau_{N} \circ i\right)$. Therefore, under the identification $H^{*}\left(X_{h}\right) \cong H^{*}(B U(n) \times B O(2 m))$, one has $f^{*} \mathfrak{p}_{l, k}=\left(\tau_{M}^{*}, i^{*} \tau_{N}^{*}\right) \mathfrak{p}_{l, k}$. Hence, condition $f^{*} \mathfrak{p}_{l, k}=0$ translates into the following:

Theorem 4.21. Let i : $M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between a $2 m$-manifold $M$ and a $2 n$-manifold $N$ endowed with an almost symplectic form $\omega$. Then, the following equation is a necessary condition for the existence of a section $s$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(s(x))>I$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(s(x)^{*} \omega\right)>2 k$ for all $x \in M$.

$$
\operatorname{det}\binom{\left\{\left(i^{*} c(N) / w(M)\right)_{2 n-l-i+j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i=2 m-l, j=2(m-k)}}{\left\{w(M)_{I-2 k-2 i+j}\right\}_{i=1, j=1-2 m+l}^{i, j=I-2 k}}=0
$$

Remark 4.22. Note that when $I=2 m$, one has

$$
\left(\tau_{M}, \tau_{N} \circ i\right)^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{2 m, k}=\tau_{M}^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{k}
$$

where $\mathfrak{o}_{k}$ is the class in Theorem (3.17). One could also prove this fact using the following results:

- The sets $\left\{\overline{S_{2 m, k}}\right\}_{k=0, \ldots, m}$ form a stratification of $\operatorname{Mono}(T M, i * T N)$ - the open sub-bundle of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$ of injective homomorphisms. Moreover, if $s: M \rightarrow \operatorname{Mono}(T M, i * T N)$ is a section transversal to the spaces $S_{2 m, k}$, then

$$
D\left(\left[s^{-1}\left(\overline{S_{l, k}}\right)\right]\right)=\left(\tau_{M}, \tau_{N} \circ i\right)^{*} \mathrm{o}_{2 m, k} .
$$

- The following map is a submersion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Mono}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right) & \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow} \Lambda^{2} T^{*} M \\
\phi & \mapsto \phi^{*} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

and $F^{-1}\left(R_{k}\right)=S_{2 m, k}$.
It follows that if $s: M \rightarrow \operatorname{Mono}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right)$ is a section transversal to the spaces $S_{2 m, k}$, then $F \circ s$ is a section transversal to the spaces $R_{k}$. Therefore, one has

$$
\left(\tau_{M}, \tau_{N} \circ i\right)^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{2 m, k}=D\left(\left[(F \circ s)^{-1}\left(S_{2 m, k}\right)\right]\right)=D\left(\left[s^{-1}\left(R_{k}\right)\right]\right)=\tau_{M}^{*} \mathfrak{0}_{k}
$$

On the other hand, if $I=2 k$, then

$$
\left(\tau_{M}, \tau_{N} \circ i\right)^{*} \mathfrak{v}_{l, / / 2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\{i^{*} c(N) / w(M)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{i, j=2 m-\prime}\right)
$$

is the Giambelli-Thom-Porteous class of the degeneracy locus of points $x \in M$ where $\operatorname{rank}\left((\operatorname{di})_{x}\right) \leq I$ (see (1.1)).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A continuous action of a topological group $G$ on a space $F$ is a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F)$. The action is said to be effective if this homomorphism is injective. This means that every element of the group is uniquely determined by its action on $F$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ If the action is not effective this becomes a $G / H$ bundle with $H=\{g \in G \mid g \cdot e=e \forall e \in E\}$. The transition functions are in this case the composition of the transition functions of $\mathcal{E}$ with the quotient $G \rightarrow G / H$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Definition 2.73

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ If $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are orientend $n$-vector bundles, a bundle map $F: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ is said to be orientation preserving if its restriction to each fibre $\left(F_{1}\right)_{b}$ sends the chosen generator of $H^{n}\left(\left(F_{1}\right)_{b},\left(F_{1}\right)_{b} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ to the chosen generator of $H^{n}\left(\left(F_{2}\right)_{b},\left(F_{2}\right)_{b} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ A semimsimple Lie group is a Lie group whose Lie algebra is semisimple. See section 3.1 of [Hum72] for the definition of semisimple Lie algebra. $G L(n ; \mathbb{R})$ and $S p(2 n ; \mathbb{R})$ are semisimple Lie groups and a finite product of semisimple Lie groups is semisimple.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ Since the cohomology coefficients are $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, by Euler class one means the top Stiefel Whitney class. It is however easier just saying "Euler class" and, due to Proposition 2.52, this terminology should cause no confusion.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ This is a consequence of the fact that an almost complex structure gives $T M$ a structure of complex vector bundle. Then, point 2 of Proposition 2.59 implies that $w_{i}=0$ for odd $i$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{4}$ A proof of this fact can be found in Theorem 12.1 of [Hus94].

[^8]:    ${ }^{5}$ See chapter 4.L. of [Hat02] for a definition of Steenrod squares and basic properties.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T M, i^{*} T N\right) \rightarrow M$ is the pullback by $\left(i d_{M}, i\right): M \rightarrow M \times N$ of the bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(T M, T N) \rightarrow M \times N$. This bundle in turn is the one associated to $T M \times T N$ with fibre $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ and action of $G L(2 n ; \mathbb{R}) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ given by $(A, B) \cdot \phi=A \phi B^{-1}$ for all $(A, B) \in G L(2 n ; \mathbb{R}) \times G L(2 m ; \mathbb{R})$ and $\phi \in H o m\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.

