

Duration: 90 minutes

Test 1 (Recurso)

- Please justify all your answers.
- This test has TWO PAGES and THREE GROUPS. The total of points is 20.0.

Group 0 — Introduction to Stochastic Processes

2.5 points

Consider a stochastic process $\{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ where: $X(t) = U \cos(t) + V \sin(t)$;¹ U and V are i.i.d. r.v. with common p.f. $P(U = -2) = \frac{1}{3}$ and $P(U = 1) = \frac{2}{3}$.

- (a) Obtain the mean function and the autocovariance function of this stochastic process. (1.5)

Note: $\cos(\alpha \pm \beta) = \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) \mp \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)$.

Stochastic process

$\{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$X(t) = U \cos(t) + V \sin(t)$

U and V two i.i.d. r.v. with common: p.f. $P(U = -2) = \frac{1}{3}$ and $P(U = 1) = \frac{2}{3}$; and mean and variance

$$E(U) = -2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$$

$$V(U) = E(U^2) - E(U)^2 = (-2)^2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1^2 \times \frac{2}{3} = 2$$

Mean function

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(t)] &= E[U \cos(t) + V \sin(t)] \\ &= E(U) \cos(t) + E(V) \sin(t) \\ &\stackrel{E(U)=E(V)=0}{=} 0, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Autocovariance function

Taking advantage of the properties of the covariance operator (it is symmetric, bilinear, etc.) and of the independence between U and V , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} cov(X(t), X(t+h)) &= cov(U \cos(t) + V \sin(t), U \cos(t+h) + V \sin(t+h)) \\ &\stackrel{U \perp V}{=} \cos(t) \cos(t+h) \times V(U) + \sin(t) \sin(t+h) \times V(V) \\ &= [\cos(t) \cos(t+h) + \sin(t) \sin(t+h)] \times V(U) \\ &= \cos(t-t-h) \times 2 \\ &= 2 \cos(h). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Show that $\{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a second order weakly stationary process but not a strictly stationary process. (1.0)

Note: All moments of a strictly stationary process $\{Y(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, e.g. $E[Y^n(t)]$, must be independent of time.

Checking whether the process is (second order weakly) stationary

The mean function $E[X(t)]$ does not depend on t and the autocovariance $cov(X(t), X(t+h))$ only depends on the time lag h , hence $\{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a second order weakly stationary process.

¹ $X(t) = U \cos(t) + V \sin(t) = \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \times \sin\left[t + \arctan\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)\right]$, for $V \neq 0$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arctan\left(\frac{U}{V}\right) < \frac{\pi}{2}$, thus $X(t)$ could represent for instance the cash flow of a company (measured as a percentage of total assets).

Checking whether the process is strictly stationary

Capitalizing on the note and taking $n = 3...$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^3(t)] &= E\{[U \cos(t) + V \sin(t)]^3\} \\ &= E(U^3) \cos^3(t) + 3E(U^2V) \cos^2(t) \sin(t) \\ &\quad + 3E(UV^2) \cos(t) \sin^2(t) + E(V^3) \sin^3(t) \\ &\stackrel{U \perp V}{=} E(U^3) \cos^3(t) + 3E(U^2)E(V) \cos^2(t) \sin(t) \\ &\quad + 3E(U)E(V^2) \cos(t) \sin^2(t) + E(V^3) \sin^3(t) \\ &\stackrel{E(U)=E(V)=0}{=} E(U^3) \cos^3(t) + E(V^3) \sin^3(t) \\ &\stackrel{E(U^3)=E(V^3)=(-2)^3 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1^3 \times \frac{2}{3} = -2}{=} -2[\cos^3(t) + \sin^3(t)] \end{aligned}$$

depends on time t , thus $\{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ cannot be a strictly stationary process.

Group 1 — Poisson Processes

9.0 points

1. Assume that migrants apprehensions at the US-Mexico border occur according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda = 40000$ (migrants per month) in 2018.

- (a) Admit that unaccompanied children account for about 12% of all border apprehensions in 2018.² Obtain an approximate value to the probability that **more than** 28800 unaccompanied children are apprehended in the first semester of 2018. (1.5)

Stochastic process

$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim PP(\lambda)$

$N(t)$ = number of border apprehensions by time t (time in month)

$\lambda = 40000$

$N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$

Split process

$N_{unacc}(t)$ = number of border apprehensions of unaccompanied children by time t

$p = P(\text{apprehension of an unaccompanied children}) = 0.12$

$\{N_{unacc}(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim PP(\lambda p = 40000 \times 0.12 = 4800)$

$N_{unacc}(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda p \times t = 4800 \times t)$

Requested probability

For $t = 6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P[N_{unacc}(6) > 28800] &= 1 - F_{\text{Poisson}(4800 \times 6)}(28800) \\ &\approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{28800 - 4800 \times 6}{\sqrt{4800 \times 6}}\right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi(0) \\ &= 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Border agents apprehended 54000 unaccompanied children in 2018. How many unaccompanied children would you expect to have been apprehended in the first semester of 2018? (0.5)

Conditional distribution

$(N_{unacc}(6) | N_{unacc}(12) = 54000) \sim \text{Binomial}\left(54000, \frac{6}{12}\right)$ (see formulae)

Requested expected value

$$\begin{aligned} E[N_{unacc}(6) | N_{unacc}(12) = 54000] &= 54000 \times \frac{6}{12} \\ &= 27000. \end{aligned}$$

2. Latecomers arrive according to a non-homogeneous Poisson process $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with intensity function (2.0)

²Source: Border apprehensions increased in 2018 – especially for migrant families.

$$\lambda(t) = (t+1)^{-2}, t > 0.$$

Suppose that exactly one latecomer arrived in the first hour. Obtain the expected value of his/her arrival time.

• **Stochastic process**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim NHPP$$

$N(t)$ = number of latecomer by time t (in hours)

• **Intensity function**

$$\lambda(t) = (t+1)^{-2}, t \geq 0$$

• **Mean value function**

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= \int_0^t \lambda(z) dz \\ &= \int_0^t (z+1)^{-2} dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{z+1} \Big|_0^t \\ &= \frac{t}{t+1}, t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

• **Relevant fact**

$(S_1, \dots, S_n | N(t) = n) \sim (Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(n)})$, where $Y_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Y$ with $P(Y \leq u) = \frac{m(u)}{m(t)}$, $0 \leq u \leq t$.

• **Requested expected value**

For $n = 1$ and $t = 1$, we get $(S_1 | N(1) = 1) \sim Y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_1 | N(1) = 1) &= E(Y) \\ &\stackrel{Y \geq 0}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} P(Y > u) du \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[1 - \frac{m(u)}{m(1)} \right] du \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[1 - \frac{u}{1+1} \right] du \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{2u}{u+1} \right) du \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1-u}{u+1} du \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{2}{u+1} - 1 \right) du \\ &= [2 \ln(u+1) - u]_0^1 \\ &= 2 \ln(2) - 1 \\ &\approx 0.386294. \end{aligned}$$

3. Let $N(t)$ represent the number of initiated data transmissions up to time t ($t \geq 0$). Admit that $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ forms a conditional Poisson process with a random rate Λ taking values in $\{r, r+1, \dots\}$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) and with a negative binomial distribution with parameters $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$.

(a) Obtain the probability that at least one data transmission was initiated in a time unit. (1.5)

• **Stochastic process**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim \text{ConditionalPP}(\Lambda)$$

$N(t)$ = number of initiated data transmissions up to time t

• **Random arrival rate**

$$\Lambda \sim \text{NegativeBin}(r, p), r \in \mathbb{N}, p \in (0, 1)$$

$$P(\Lambda = \lambda) = \binom{\lambda-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{\lambda-r}, \lambda = r, r+1, \dots$$

• **Requested probability**

Since

$$P[N(t+s) - N(s) = n] \stackrel{\text{form}}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!} dG(\lambda),$$

where G represents the c.d.f. of Λ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} P[N(1) \geq 1] &= 1 - P[N(1) = 0] \\ &= 1 - \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda} dG(\lambda) \\ &= 1 - E(e^{-\Lambda}) \\ &= 1 - M_{\text{NegativeBin}(r,p)}(-1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} 1 - \left[\frac{pe^{-1}}{1 - (1-p)e^{-1}} \right]^r. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Calculate $P[\Lambda = r | N(1) = 0]$.

(1.5)

• **Requested probability**

Since

$$P[N(t) = n | \Lambda = \lambda] = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

$$P(\Lambda = \lambda) = \binom{\lambda-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{\lambda-r}, \lambda = r, r+1, \dots$$

$$P[N(t) = n] = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!} dG(\lambda),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P[\Lambda = r | N(1) = 0] &\stackrel{\text{Bayes}}{=} \frac{P[N(1) = 0 | \Lambda = r] \times P(\Lambda = r)}{P[N(1) = 0]} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{e^{-r} \times \binom{r-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{r-r}}{\left[\frac{pe^{-1}}{1 - (1-p)e^{-1}} \right]^r} \\ &= \frac{e^{-r} \times p^r}{\left[\frac{pe^{-1}}{1 - (1-p)e^{-1}} \right]^r} \\ &= \left[1 - (1-p)e^{-1} \right]^r. \end{aligned}$$

4. Orders arrive in batches at a depot. Successive batch sizes are i.i.d. r.v. $Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$. The batches themselves arrive according to a non-homogeneous Poisson process $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with mean value function $m(t), t \geq 0$. (2.0)

Derive the moment generating function and the expected value of the total number of orders that arrived up to time t .

• **Auxiliary stochastic processes**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \sim NHPP \text{ with mean value function } m(t), t > 0$$

$N(t)$ = number of batches of orders arrived up to time t

$$N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(m(t))$$

$$\{Y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Y$$

Y_i = number of orders in batch i

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\} \perp\!\!\!\perp \{Y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

• **Relevant stochastic process**

$$\{X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i : t \geq 0\}$$

$X(t)$ = total number of orders that arrived up to time t

• **Requested m.g.f.**

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{X(t)}(s) &= E[e^{sX(t)}] \\ &= E\left\{E\left[e^{s\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i} \mid N(t)\right]\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the r.v. $E\left[e^{s\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i} \mid N(t)\right]$ takes the value

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[e^{s\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i} \mid N(t) = n\right] &\stackrel{N(t) \perp\!\!\!\perp Y_i}{=} E\left[e^{s\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}\right] \\ &\stackrel{Y_i \text{ i.i.d. } Y}{=} E\left[e^{s\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}\right] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n E\left[e^{sY_i}\right] \\ &= [M_Y(s)]^n \end{aligned}$$

with probability $P[N(t) = n]$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{X(t)}(s) &= E\{[M_Y(s)]^{N(t)}\} \\ &= P_{Poisson(m(t))}[M_Y(s)] \quad (\text{p.g.f. of a Poisson r.v.}) \\ &= e^{m(t) \times [M_Y(s) - 1]}. \end{aligned}$$

• **Requested expected value**

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(t)] &= \left. \frac{dM_{X(t)}(s)}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d e^{m(t) \times [M_Y(s) - 1]}}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \\ &= m(t) \left. \frac{dM_Y(s)}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \times e^{m(t) \times [M_Y(s) - 1]} \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= m(t) \times E(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Group 2 — Renewal Processes

8.5 points

1. Let $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a renewal process with i.i.d. inter-renewal times (in hours) with common p.d.f. $f(t) = \lambda^2 t e^{-\lambda t}, t \geq 0$. Assume $N(t)$ represents the number of hospital admissions up to time t .

(a) Compute $P[N(t) = n], n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. (2.0)

• **Renewal process**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$$

$N(t)$ = number of hospital admissions until t

• **Inter-renewal times**

$$X_i \text{ i.i.d. } X, i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)$ because $f(t) = \lambda^2 t e^{-\lambda t} \equiv f_{\text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)}(t), t \geq 0$.

• **Relevant facts**

$$S_n = \text{time of the } n^{\text{th}} \text{ event}$$

$$\sim \text{Gamma}(2n, \lambda), n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$P[N(t) \geq n] = P(S_n \leq t)$$

$$= F_{S_n}(t).$$

• **Requested p.f.**

$$\begin{aligned} P[N(t) = n] &= P[N(t) \geq n] - P[N(t) \geq n + 1] \\ &= F_{S_n}(t) - F_{S_{n+1}}(t) \\ &= F_{\text{Gamma}(2n, \lambda)}(t) - F_{\text{Gamma}(2(n+1), \lambda)}(t) \\ \text{form.} &= [1 - F_{\text{Poisson}(\lambda t)}(2n - 1)] - [1 - F_{\text{Poisson}(\lambda t)}(2(n+1) - 1)] \\ &= F_{\text{Poisson}(\lambda t)}(2n + 1) - F_{\text{Poisson}(\lambda t)}(2n - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=2n}^{2n+1} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^i}{i!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Admit that $\lambda = 5$ and an officer inspected the hospital on February 1. Obtain an approximation to the probability that the last hospital admission before this inspection occurred at most 6 hours ago. (2.0)

• **C.d.f. of the inter-renewal times**

$$X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)$$

$$f_{\text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)}(x) \stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} \lambda^2 x e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0$$

$$F_{\text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)}(x) = 1 - F_{\text{Poisson}(\lambda x)}(1) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} - \lambda x e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0$$

$$E(X) = \frac{2}{\lambda}$$

• **Recurrence time**

$$A(t) \stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} t - S_{N(t)} = \text{time until the last arrival before the inspection at time } t$$

• **Requested probability** (approximate value)

We can once again invoke that $t = 24 \times 31 = 744$ hours is sufficiently large and provide the following approximate value

$$\begin{aligned} P[A(t) \leq x] &\approx \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} P[A(z) \leq x] \\ \text{form.} &= \frac{\int_0^x \{1 - F(u)\} du}{E(X)} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^x \{1 - [1 - e^{-\lambda u} - \lambda u e^{-\lambda u}]\} du}{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^x (\lambda e^{-\lambda u} + \lambda^2 u e^{-\lambda u}) du}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times \left[1 - e^{-\lambda x} + F_{\text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)}(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times \left[1 - e^{-\lambda x} + 1 - e^{-\lambda x} (1 + \lambda x) \right] \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda x} - \frac{\lambda x e^{-\lambda x}}{2} \\ \lambda=5, x=6/24 &= 1 - e^{-5 \times 0.25} - \frac{5 \times 0.25 e^{-5 \times 0.25}}{2} \\ &\approx 0.534430. \end{aligned}$$

2. Consider a renewal process $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with i.i.d. inter-renewal times with common hypo-exponential distribution with parameters λ and μ ($\lambda \neq \mu$). (2.5)

Derive the renewal function $m(t)$ by using the Laplace-Stieltjes transform method and capitalizing on the table of important Laplace transforms in the formulae.

- **Renewal process**

$$\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$$

$N(t)$ = number of events until time t

- **Inter-renewal times**

$$X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} X, i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$X \sim \text{Hypo-exp.}(\lambda, \mu)$, with $\lambda \neq \mu$ is a sum of two independent exponentially distributed r.v. with means λ^{-1} and μ^{-1} .

- **Deriving the renewal function**

The LST of the inter-renewal d.f. of X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(s) &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} dF(x) \\ &= E(e^{-sX}) \\ &= M_X(-s) \\ &= M_{Exp(\lambda)}(-s) \times M_{Exp(\mu)}(-s) \\ \text{form.} &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s} \times \frac{\mu}{\mu + s} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the LST of the renewal function can be obtained in terms of the one of F :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(s) &\stackrel{\text{form.}}{=} \frac{\tilde{F}(s)}{1 - \tilde{F}(s)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s} \times \frac{\mu}{\mu + s}}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s} \times \frac{\mu}{\mu + s}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{(\lambda + s)(\mu + s) - \lambda\mu} \\ &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{(s + 0)[s + (\lambda + \mu)]} \end{aligned}$$

Taking advantage of the LT in the formulae, we successively get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dm(t)}{dt} &= LT^{-1}[\tilde{m}(s), t] \\ &= LT^{-1}\left[\frac{\lambda\mu}{(s + 0)[s + (\lambda + \mu)]}, t\right] \\ &= \lambda\mu \times \frac{e^{-0 \times t} - e^{-(\lambda + \mu) \times t}}{(\lambda + \mu) - 0} \\ &= \lambda\mu \times \frac{1 - e^{-(\lambda + \mu) \times t}}{\lambda + \mu} \\ m(t) &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \int_0^t [1 - e^{-(\lambda + \mu) \times t}] dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \left[t - \frac{1 - e^{-(\lambda + \mu) \times t}}{\lambda + \mu} \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda\mu t}{\lambda + \mu} - \frac{\lambda\mu [1 - e^{-(\lambda + \mu) \times t}]}{(\lambda + \mu)^2}, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. A computer runs continuously as long as two critical parts are working. The **two** parts have mutually independent exponential durations with means equal to 10 and 20 weeks, for parts 1 and 2 (resp.). When a part fails, the computer is shut down, the failed part is replaced. The times to replace parts 1 and 2 have mean of 1 and 2.5 weeks (resp.).

What is the long-run proportion of time that the computer is working?

(2.0)

- **State variable**

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if at least of the two critical parts is not working} \\ 1, & \text{if both critical parts are working} \end{cases}$$

- **Alternating renewal process**

$$\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$$

- **Up time**

U = time system is UP/ON

O_i = operating time of critical part i , $i = 1, 2$

$O_i \stackrel{\text{indep}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, 2$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{10}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{20}$$

$U = \min\{O_1, O_2\} \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{3}{20})$

$$E(U) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = \frac{20}{3}$$

- **Down time**

D = time spent replacing the failed critical part

R_i = time spent replacing critical part i , $i = 1, 2$

$$E(R_1) = 1, \quad E(R_2) = 2.5$$

$$E(D) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if critical part 1 fails before critical part 2 with prob. } \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = \frac{\frac{1}{10}}{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{20}} = \frac{2}{3} \\ 2.5, & \text{otherwise with prob. } \frac{1}{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$E(D) = 1 \times \frac{2}{3} + 2.5 \times \frac{1}{3} = 1.5$$

- **Long-run proportion of time system is working**

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P[X(t) = 1] &\stackrel{[Prop. 2.106]}{=} \frac{E(U)}{E(U) + E(D)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{20}{3}}{\frac{20}{3} + 1.5} \\ &\approx 0.816327. \end{aligned}$$