

Duration: **30** minutes

- Write your number and name below.
- Add your answers to this and the following page.
- Please justify all your answers.
- This test has ONE PAGE and TWO QUESTIONS. The total of points is 5.0.

Number:

Name:

1. Suppose that a product is designed to have a time to failure (in hours) with an exponential distribution with parameter λ_1 . A proportion p of the units of the product comes out with an exponential distribution with parameter λ_2 ($\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$). Suppose that all units of the product go through a burn-in for t^* hours.

The time to failure of the units which did not fail during this burn-in, T^* , has reliability function

$$R^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t < t^* \\ \frac{1}{C^*} \times [(1-p) \times e^{-\lambda_1 t} + p \times e^{-\lambda_2 t}], & t \geq t^*, \end{cases}$$

where $C^* = (1-p) \times e^{-\lambda_1 t^*} + p \times e^{-\lambda_2 t^*}$.

- (a) Derive an expression for $E(T^*)$.

(1.5)

• **Relevant failure time**

T^* = time of units which did not fail during the burn-in

• **Requested expected value**

$$\begin{aligned} E(T^*) &\stackrel{T^* \geq 0}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} R^*(t) dt = \int_0^{t^*} dt + \frac{1}{C^*} \times \int_{t^*}^{+\infty} [(1-p) \times e^{-\lambda_1 t} + p \times e^{-\lambda_2 t}] dt \\ &= t^* - \frac{1}{C^*} \times \left(\frac{1-p}{\lambda_1} \times e^{-\lambda_1 t} + \frac{p}{\lambda_2} \times e^{-\lambda_2 t} \Big|_{t^*}^{+\infty} \right) \\ &= t^* + \frac{1}{C^*} \times \left(\frac{1-p}{\lambda_1} e^{-\lambda_1 t^*} + \frac{p}{\lambda_2} \times e^{-\lambda_2 t^*} \right) \\ &= t^* + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 C^*} \times \left[(1-p) \lambda_2 \times e^{-\lambda_1 t^*} + p \lambda_1 \times e^{-\lambda_2 t^*} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Assume from now on that $\lambda_1^{-1} = 10000$, $\lambda_2^{-1} = 100$, $p = 0.05$, and $t^* = 200$. Suppose these values led to: $E(T^*) \approx 10128.6$; a decreasing plot of $[R^*(t)]^{1/t}$, for $t \in (0, +\infty)$. Comment on these results.

(0.5)

• **Comment on $E(T^*) = 10128.6$**

A unit surviving t^* hours of burn-in is expected to operate an additional $E(T^*) - t^*$ hours in the field. If $\lambda_1^{-1} = 10000$, $1/\lambda_2^{-1} = 100$, and $p = 0.05$, then a unit surviving $t^* = 200$ hours of burn-in, is expected to operate an additional $E(T^*) - t^* \approx 10128.6 - 200 = 9928.6$ hours in the field.

• **Comment on the plot of $[R^*(t)]^{1/t}$**

According to Definition 3.32, a decreasing plot of $[R^*(t)]^{1/t}$, for $t \in (0, +\infty)$, means that the r.v. T^* is IHRA (increasing hazard rate in average).

- (c) Capitalize on the stochastic ageing behaviour of T^* to provide: an upper bound to $\sqrt{V(T^*)}$; a lower bound for the expected failure time of a 3-out-of-5 system with units with failure times i.i.d. to T^* .

(1.5)

- **Requested upper bound to $SD(T^*)$**

Since $T^* \in IHRA$, we can invoke Corollary 3.54 and write

$$CV(T^*) = \frac{\sqrt{V(T^*)}}{E(T^*)} \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow SD(T^*) = \sqrt{V(T^*)} \leq E(T^*) \simeq 10128.6.$$

- **Individual failure times**

T_i^* = failure time of valve i $i.i.d.$ T^* , $i = 1, \dots, 5$

$$E(T_i^*) = \mu_i = \mu^* \simeq 10128.6, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5$$

- **System**

3-out-of-5 system

TT = failure time of the 3-out-of-5 system

- **Lower bound for $E(TT)$**

Since $T_i^* \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} T^* \in IHRA$, we can apply Theorem 3.69 and provide a lower bound to $\mu = E(TT)$ depending on the $p^* = \binom{5}{3} = 10$ minimal path sets, all with cardinality 3:

$$\mu \geq \max_{j=1, \dots, p^*} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_j} \mu_i^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right\} \stackrel{\mu_i = \mu^*}{=} \max_{j=1, \dots, p^*} \left\{ \left(\frac{\#\mathcal{P}_j}{\mu^*} \right)^{-1} \right\} = \frac{\mu^*}{\min_{j=1, \dots, p^*} \{\#\mathcal{P}_j\}} = \frac{\mu^*}{3} \simeq 3376.2.$$

[The subsets with cardinality 3 from the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ are: $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 2, 4\}$, $\{1, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 3, 5\}$, $\{1, 4, 5\}$, $\{2, 3, 4\}$, $\{2, 3, 5\}$, $\{2, 4, 5\}$, $\{3, 4, 5\}$.]

[Alternatively, we could have capitalize on the fact that $T_i^* \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} IHRA \stackrel{Prop. 3.36}{\Rightarrow} T_i^* \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} NBUE$ and invoke instead Theorem 3.65, which leads to the same lower bound to $E(TT)$.]

2. A mechanical engineer concluded that the exponential model fits 20 failure times quite well. The complete data set led to a ML estimate of the expected time to failure equal to $\hat{\lambda}^{-1} = 3543.37$ hours.

Identify the pivotal quantity you would use to obtain a 95% confidence interval (CI) for the expected time to failure. Determine this CI (BUT DO NOT DERIVE IT). (1.5)

- **Distribution assumption**

It is fairly reasonable to admit that the failure times T_i are such that:

$$T_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} T \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (n = 20).$$

- **Life test**

Complete data is available!

$$\hat{E}(T) = \hat{\lambda}^{-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i}{n} = 3543.37$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = [\hat{E}(T)]^{-1} = \frac{1}{3543.37}$$

- **95% confidence interval (CI) for $E(T) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$**

- **Pivotal quantity**

$$Z = 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \sim \chi_{(2n)}^2 \quad (\text{check lecture note just after Exercise 5.25})$$

- **Requested CI**

Since

$$CI_{95\%}(\lambda) \stackrel{(5.22)}{=} \left[\frac{\hat{\lambda} \times F^{-1}(\alpha/2)}{\chi_{(2n)}^2}, \frac{\hat{\lambda} \times F^{-1}(1-\alpha/2)}{\chi_{(2n)}^2} \right] = [\lambda_L, \lambda_U]$$

we get the requested CI:

$$\begin{aligned} CI_{95\%}(1/\lambda) &= \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_U}, \frac{1}{\lambda_L} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}^{-1} \times 2n}{F_{\chi_{(2n)}^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha/2)}, \frac{\hat{\lambda}^{-1} \times 2n}{F_{\chi_{(2n)}^2}^{-1}(\alpha/2)} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{3543.37 \times 2 \times 20}{59.34}, \frac{3543.37 \times 2 \times 20}{24.43} \right] \simeq [2388.52, 5801.67]. \end{aligned}$$