**PhD Thesis Proposal Evaluation Form**

The chair of the thesis advisory committee (CAT) fills in this form at the end of the thesis proposal exam, all committee members sign the form, and the chair of committee hands it in at the administrative office of the Computer Science and Engineering Department.

**Candidate name:**

**ID:**

When determining the final grade, the following criteria should be used:

**A. Problem description and innovation potential**

[ ] Fail [ ] Fair [ ] Good [ ] Very Good [ ] Outstanding

**B. Quality of the state-of-the-art survey**

[ ] Fail [ ] Fair [ ] Good [ ] Very Good [ ] Outstanding

**C. Proposed approach and methodology**

[ ] Fail [ ] Fair [ ] Good [ ] Very Good [ ] Outstanding

**D. Planning of activities**

[ ] Fail [ ] Fair [ ] Good [ ] Very Good [ ] Outstanding

**E. Oral Presentation and Discussion**

[ ] Fail [ ] Fair [ ] Good [ ] Very Good [ ] Outstanding

Each of these criteria should be graded on the following scale:

Fail – if the work is below standard practices and does not comply with a set of minimum expected requirements.

Fair – if the work is only marginally acceptable and should go through major revisions in order to bring its quality to an acceptable standard.

Good – if the work is of acceptable quality according to international standards, but there are some issues that need to be addressed.

Very good – If the work is above average according to international standards and is able to produce relevant publications in the research field.

Outstanding – reserved for candidates who exceed expectations and show a publication record that competes with top-level research in the field.

Final Grade: (Approved/Failed)

Date: / /

Committee chair:

Supervisor:

Co-supervisor:

Member 1:

Member 2:

Overall comments: