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Abstract—This paper presents a fully CMOS solution for DC-DC conversion of an organic photovoltaic cell voltage to a standard regulated 1.2V, based on a hard switch boost converter at discontinuous conduction mode (DCM), and a control methodology using a variable frequency LC oscillator (1.2GHz).
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I. INTRODUCTION

A significant evolution of self-sustained electrical circuits is ongoing for energy harvesting (EH) applications, specially due to the expected increase in the IoT applications. The changing of batteries in this type of systems is expensive [1] and eventually causes environmental issues. Solar cells’ availability with reasonable efficiency in indoor environments and the thermolectric generators are already tested solutions in network sensors or biomedical applications [2].

Forecasts for this type of systems indicates that the number of devices will double between 2018 and 2023, which will result in a necessity to power millions of sensors. The energy harvesters are used in many ways and applications in different environments with the advantage of low maintenance and battery exchanges. Therefore, the energy harvesting supplies’ usage brings additional circuits and power management units development [3].

The advance of integrated circuits technology, namely CMOS, contributes to a constant reduction of the electronic circuits dimensions and its power consumption for identical usages [4].

The need for inductive or capacitive step-up converters appears as a solution to convert the energy harvesting low voltage source (typically around hundreds of millivolts) to nominal values such as 1.2V, 2.4V, etc [5], to supply IoT sensors.

Sometimes, the batteries’ replacement for specific equipments, such as monitoring sensors for biomedical parameters or data acquisition systems, for different areas of study or leisure, is not that simple, once its localization can restrict the access to the device, for example, in medical devices for human body implant purposes.

New self-sustained energy harvesting solution are studied to increase the autonomy of the electric equipment, of which can be highlighted the vibration (kinetic energy), temperature (thermal energy), radiation (radiofrequency), or from the sun ( solar energy) [6], [7], [8], [5].

Emissions of polluting or greenhouse gases produced by these energy sources are null, as are the costs of obtaining energy, both of which are the main reasons why renewable energies are increasingly used. Another added value for this type of energy harvesting source is the portability and the increase in the service life of the energy systems, since the life cycle of the batteries is postponed.

The new solutions for everyday applications with wearables through flexible solar cells in conjunction with ultra-thin batteries are the basis of the motivation for technological advancement in this area [9].

The accomplishment of this work seeks to overcome the constraints of the maximum current limitation imposed by the organic photovoltaic cells, which is expected to be significantly low, the dimensions of the circuit since a reduced implementation area is intended at the outer limits of the active area of OPV and a fully integrated system capable of feeding an IoT sensor.

II. POWER MANAGEMENT UNIT

The objective of this work is to implement an ultra-low voltage PMU, figure 1, with an organic photovoltaic cell as the energy source. This section shows the proposals and solutions leading to implementing a fully CMOS integrated system, including the control methodology, to regulate the DC-DC converter output to a standard 1.2V voltage.

The proposed architecture shown in figure 1 is prone to be fully integrated in a 130nm CMOS process, allowing an implementation with a very small Silicon footprint.

A. OPV Characterization and modelling

The characterization of the solar cell to be used as energy harvester is necessary to construct and quantify the model to be included in simulations. This characterization was carried
out using a Keithley K2400 multimeter/source, a solar simulator Oriel Sol A, 69920, Newport with AM1.5G, in order to simulate solar irradiation on the OPV, a Newport 91150V calibration photovoltaic cell, a four-channel Tektronix TDS3054B oscilloscope, a Voltech 7905C multimeter and an Instek GPC-9030DQ power supply.

The organic cell from figure 3 is a flexible OPV, with a open circuit voltage of 0.55V and a short circuit current of 61mA.

**B. The boost Converter**

In order to design the boost converter, it will be necessary to determine the nominal values and dimensions of the four conventional components, namely the inductance value of the L coil, the dimensions and operating parameters of the switch Q1 and the diode D1, implemented with MOS transistors, and the C filtering capacitor. The circuit used is found in figure 4. This subsection will show some preliminary simulations for validating the switching topology under study.

For the NMOS transistor, given that the circuit to be studied is for ultra-low voltage, it is vital that the transistor has a very low threshold ($V_{th}$) voltage, ideally zero. Thus, since the MOS has the function of charging the coil by connecting it to earth, the NMOS 1.2V Native Vt Device transistor was chosen, with a typical $V_{th}$ of approximately 0.09 [11]. The diode was chosen taken into account its conductivity without compromising Silicon area.

Concerning the filtering capacitor C, its design process will be based on the equation (1). The capacity value should be determined to obtain a classical value of ripple of 1% in the output voltage (V). As for the implementation, a 130 nm CMOS technology MIM capacitor will be used. Since this capacitor’s expected value will be high, the adoption of MIM technology over MOM is more convenient. This choice is due to the fact that the capacitors MIM have more capacity per unit area, thus leading to a reduction of the capacitor footprint [12]. Table I resumes the design parameter’s obtained for the boost converter specifications in DCM.

$$\Delta v = \frac{V}{2RC}DT_s \tag{1}$$

**C. Oscillator**

In order to drive the NMOS device some switching solutions were under study. According to the specified switching frequency range (GHz) a cross-coupled type LC oscillator was under evaluation. This circuit outputs a square wave and, simultaneously, provides a control strategy, based on the oscillation frequency tuning through the voltage imposed on the capacitors. The oscillator was designed to operate with a nominal frequency of 1.2GHz. Through the $V_{tune}$ input the oscillator spans from 1.25GHz to 1.31Ghz (with a maximum of $V_{tune}$ of 0.6V) as shown in figure 6.

**D. Control methodology**

The output voltage regulation of the boost DC-DC converter was obtained with a control methodology based on a voltage controlled oscillator. Since the converter was designed to switch in DCM, the output voltage is also dependent on the switching frequency. In this case, by adjusting the voltage applied to the varicap capacitor node, it is possible to increase or decrease the oscillation frequency.
The control implemented consists of comparing a fraction of the output voltage with a reference value to generate a voltage applied to the oscillator’s variable capacitor, so that the frequency varies to obtain a regulated conversion ratio.

The block diagram of the converter is shown in figure 7. The control consists of collecting the proportional value of the output voltage through a resistive divider, to be compared with the bandgap’s reference value. The oscillator output will then drive the NMOS device with the appropriate frequency in order to achieve a negative feedback loop.

The resistive divider is calculated for the case where the converter reaches 1.2V for a bandgap voltage of 0.165 V, using high-value resistors to reduce consumption but not compromising Silicon area.

Figure 8 represents the operation of the control functional block. Until the converter output reaches 1.2V, the control will be feeding the $V_{\text{tune}}$ node of the oscillator with VDD. It is also possible to verify that when the boost reaches 1.2V the control signal (green) is not yet at 0 as theoretically predicted, due to component losses and nonidealities of the OPV supply.
E. Bandgap

The circuit that is responsible for generating the reference voltage comes from adapting the work done in [13]. The electrical schematic of the circuit is shown in figure 9.

Figure 10 shows simulation results to evaluate the response time of the bandgap reference voltage (165mV). The settling time, less than 1ms, is adequate for the application.

![Schematic of the Bandgap under evaluation](image1)

**Fig. 9.** Schematic of the Bandgap under evaluation [13].

**Fig. 10.** Bandgap's response for a square wave type supply.

---

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

After the individual validation of each PMU block, they are interconnected and tested in the final system. Table II summarizes the main components and parameters of the various functional blocks of the electronic system.

**A. Nominal simulations**

The overall PMU testing was started by an evaluation of the load regulation with a scattered input voltage, as shown in figure 14. The lowest output voltage is 1.18V, where it is noticed that the control strategy is no longer working; however, it is possible to see the control’s effectiveness in the other simulations. The switching frequency varies between 1.21 and 1.27 GHz, figure 12. It is visible in figure 13 that the signal varies depending on the input voltage. For the lowest input (0.53 V) the boost output is 1.18V, confirming that the control signal is already set to its maximum (red signal). On the other hand, the purple signal (higher output voltage of boost converter) has the control signal at 0 as it already exceeds the desired 1.2 V. Finally, the bandgap signal does not vary significantly with the input voltage, like depicted in figure 14.

**B. Corners analysis**

After the PMU is completely understood, an analysis of corners is performed. The corners analyzed were temperature and transistors dispersion due to process variations. Figure 15 shows that the output voltage for a temperature of 0, 27 and 80 degrees Celsius varies between 1.15V and 1.34V. Once again, the control acts when the voltage is lower than 1.2V and when the circuit alone reaches this value, figure 17. Regarding the frequency, it increases when the control is activated, figure 16. Finally, the bandgap signal fluctuates slightly with temperature, demonstrating that this reference voltage generator is responsible for the converter output voltage dispersion, figure 18.

Four corner process dispersion scenarios were further analysed: fast-fast, fast-slow, slow-fast and finally slow-slow. A variation of 0.3 V was obtained in the boost’s output voltage.
### TABLE II
**Table of general components and parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>L (nH)</th>
<th>C1 (pF)</th>
<th>C2 (pF)</th>
<th>C_var1 (pF)</th>
<th>C_var2 (pF)</th>
<th>NMOS 0 (W/L)</th>
<th>NMOS 1 (W/L)</th>
<th>NMOS 2 (W/L)</th>
<th>NMOS 3 (W/L)</th>
<th>NMOS 4 (W/L)</th>
<th>R1 (kΩ)</th>
<th>R2 (MΩ)</th>
<th>R3 (kΩ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boost</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>50/120/200nm</td>
<td>50/120/200nm</td>
<td>50/120/200nm</td>
<td>22/19/70μm</td>
<td>22/19/70μm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.445</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oscillator</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>50/200/200nm</td>
<td>50/200/200nm</td>
<td>50/200/200nm</td>
<td>22/19/70μm</td>
<td>22/19/70μm</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bandgap</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 13.** Control signal for different voltage supplies.

**Fig. 14.** Bandgap signal for different voltage supplies.

**Fig. 15.** Output voltage from PMU, temperature corners simulation.

**Fig. 16.** Oscillator frequency, temperature corners simulation.

---

the lowest being 1.1V and the highest 1.41V, figure 19. To understand if the converter remains in the expected operating mode, the current in the inductor, figure 20, was also analysed, and it does not undergo significant changes.

Figure 21 shows the oscillation frequency varying from 1.15 GHz to 1.36 GHz, revealing a high sensitivity to the transistors process dispersion. Finally, as previously mentioned, the bandgap has some disadvantage, and its generated reference voltage varies significantly, from 100mV up to 300mV.

Finally a layout was made to figure what would be the expected area to be used to produce this circuit, figure 23. The occupied area is 442μm².

### IV. Related Work

In this section, a review will be done about the various works carried out in energy harvesting to implement voltage step-up circuits based on CMOS technology.

Many of the proposed topologies for switched DC-DC step-up converters are designed for high-power applications, with
TABLE III
STATE OF THE ART COMPARISON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>[14]</th>
<th>[15]</th>
<th>[16]</th>
<th>[17]</th>
<th>[18]</th>
<th>[19]</th>
<th>[20]</th>
<th>[21]</th>
<th>PMU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0.25 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.35 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.18 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.13 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.18 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.13 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.065 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.13 µm CMOS</td>
<td>0.13 µm CMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topology</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
<td>Boost Inductive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{in}$ (V)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03 (Start@0.3)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.007 (Start@0.21)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{out}$ (V)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area ($mm^2$)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freq. (MHz)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1-0.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 17. Control signal, temperature corners simulation.
Fig. 18. Bandgap signal, temperature corners simulation.
Fig. 19. Output voltage from PMU, transistors corners simulation.
Fig. 20. Oscillator’s inductor current, transistors corners simulation.
In [19] it is explained the development of a solution for energy harvesting using a-Si:H cells as energy obtaining devices. The focus of this work is on obtaining energy from lighting conditions indoor. The system presented is based on a DC-DC boost converter that doubles the voltage-controlled from an MPPT algorithm. The energy storage is in charge of a supercapacitor. The great niche of this work is the fact that it works in shallow lighting conditions.

Regarding the circuit present in [20], an inductive boost with an oscillator, a charge pump is used as a secondary start circuit with a voltage of 210 mV and a start voltage of 7 mV. At the output of the circuit, an LDO and a bandgap are proposed in cascade.

Finally, in the circuit in [21], an inductive boost converter with an external 1.2V starting voltage is used. This circuit is not fully integrated as it has an external 47 µH SMD coil. The circuit is tested for meagre power, with an input voltage of 0.6mV obtaining an output voltage of 1.2V.

In order to increase the applicability in the scope of PMU’s with origin in energy harvesting, the PMU dimensioned is a fully integrated solution, without recourse external SMD coils. Another aspect developed is the control of the converter with a view to regulating the output voltage. As such, it is in the best interest that the regulated output voltage is obtained without the use of auxiliary or starting supplies. Also it is notable from the table III that there are no circuits reaching this frequency.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We present a power management unit, fully integrated, exclusively supplied from an OPV, using 130nm CMOS technology. The designed system includes a boost DC-DC converter, a voltage controlled oscillator with a cross-pair to drive the NMOS switch, and a control block that ensures the output regulation of the power management unit. The circuit is used to increase the output voltage of the OPV which is expected to be non-constant and low (in the order of hundreds of mV) to a standard voltage of 1.2V. After the power management unit’s final implementation, it can concluded that the control strategy is adequate and efficient, but further work must be done in order to improve the bandgap corner dispersion.
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